A "Surprising" Approach to Implementing Advance Care Planning Rounds at the VA Portland Health Care System (VAPORHCS) Linda Wang^{1,} Emma Peiris¹, Sarah Larsen¹, Maria Peila¹, Megan Moody¹, Jeff Dueker¹, Matt DiVeronica², Shona Hunsaker², Renee Segura², 1. Department of Internal Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University. 2. Division of Hospital and Specialty Medicine, VAPORHCS

INTRODUCTION

Physicians overestimate life expectancy, even in terminally ill patients.¹ Discomfort with prognostication is one of several barriers that can result in delaying advance care planning (ACP) discussions with patients². Local palliative care experts suggest that viewing ACP as a continuum may help providers and patients to more readily engage in ACP conversations³.

Our project aimed to examine whether a standardized ACP process, modeled on this continuum, would improve both proficiency in facilitating and consistency in documenting these conversations during a hospital admission.

> Advance Care Planning Stages The role looks different depending on where the patient is in his or her disease trajectory:

NAME A SURROGATE DECISION MAKER COMPLETE AN ADVANCE DIRECTIVE

GOALS OF CARE CONVERSATION

PHYSICIAN ORDERS FOR LIFE-SUSTAINING TREATMENT (POLST)

> PALLIATIVE CAR CONSULT HOSPICE

CURRENT STATE

One year post hospital discharge mortality rates for VAPORHCS from 2010 – 2013⁴ ranged from 16.1-18.2%. In a random sample of 100 patients discharged from medicine in 2013 who died within one year of discharge, we found the following evidence of ACP

POLST Completion	26%
Palliative Care Consultation	11%
Hospice Referral	9%

METHODS

Following the intervention, charts were reviewed for ACP continuum documentation. Additionally, a survey was administered to residents, attendings, and interprofessional team members who participated in the intervention.

Over a period of three weeks, during daily interprofessional rounds on four medicine teaching services at VAPORHCS, ACP was addressed using a standard script which evolved through weekly PDSA cycles. ACP rounds were led by one of the authors above, who were not members of the inpatient team. Residents were asked to identify the surrogate decision maker (SDM) for each patient and whether or not this was documented in the electronic health record. Additionally, they were asked the "surprise" question: "Would you be surprised if this patient died in the next year?". Facilitators used the following algorithm to provide guidance based on the answer to this question:

t 70





