Practical Nutrition Counseling in Primary Care

Patrick Sheppard, MD

Assistant Professor, Division of General Internal Medicine

Oregon Health and Science University



I have no conflicts of interest to disclose



Before we start – why this talk?

Objectives

- 1. Review basic epidemiology of obesity in the United States
- 2. Convey the value of nutrition counseling in primary care
- 3. Present an example of a practical model for nutrition counseling in primary care
- 4. Provide 3 examples of *specific* nutrition recommendations you can discuss with your own patients

OBESITY

US adults aged 20 and over:

- 31.1% overweight
- 42.5% obesity
- 9.0% severe obesity
- Only 26.4% w/ BMI < 25.0

Figure. Age-adjusted trends in overweight, obesity, and severe obesity among men and women aged 20–74: United States, 1960–1962 through 2017–2018



NOTES: Data are age adjusted by the direct method to U.S. Census 2000 estimates using age groups 20–39, 40–59, and 60–74. Overweight is body mass index (BMI) of 25.0–29.9 kg/m². Obesity is BMI at or above 30.0 kg/m². Severe obesity is BMI at or above 40.0 kg/m². Pregnant women are excluded from the analysis. SOURCES: National Center for Health Statistics, National Health Examination Survey and National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys.

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity, and Severe Obesity Among Adults Aged 20 and Over: United States, 1960–1962 Through 2017–2018

by Cheryl D. Fryar, M.S.P.H., Margaret D. Carroll, M.S.P.H., and Joseph Afful, M.S., Division of Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys

Health in the United States

- According to the World Bank, the United States ranked 49th in life expectancy in 2022
- This is despite us spending more per-capita on healthcare than any other country

U.S. healthcare spending per capita is almost twice the average of other wealthy countries

Healthcare Costs per Capita (\$)

United States		\$12,742
Switzerland	\$9,044	
Germany	\$8,541	
Netherlands	\$7,277	
Sweden	\$7,009	
Belgium	\$6,994	
France	\$6,924	
Average	\$6,850	
Canada	\$6,845	
Australia	\$6,807	
Ireland	\$6,730	
United Kingdom	\$5,867	
Japan	\$5,424	
Italy	\$4,736	

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

Notes: Data are for 2022. Average does not include the United States. The five countries with the largest economies and those with both an above median GDP and GDP per capita, relative to all OECD countries, were included. Chart uses purchasing power parities to convert data into U.S. dollars.



World Bank open data. (n.d.). World Bank Open Data. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.LE00.IN?end=2021&most_recent_v alue_desc=true&start=1960&view=chart



police violence

JAMA. 2018;319(14):1444-1472. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.0158

Are we doing enough?

- Health system in Colorado over 1 year:
 - 164,904 patients with a BMI > 25 (mean BMI in this population was 37)
 - Only 12% (20,383) had a visit where weight was addressed (defined as obesity, overweight, or weight being listed in the chief complaint or by use of ICD-10 code pertaining to overweight or obesity)
- Nationally among eligible individuals
 - < 5% are referred to diabetes prevention programs
 - < 4% are prescribed anti-obesity medications
 - < 1% undergo bariatric surgery

JAMA Network

Original Investigation | Nutrition, Obesity, and Exercise A Primary Care-Based Weight Navigation Program

Research Article Original Research

Baseline Characteristics of PATHWEIGH: A Stepped-Wedge Cluster Randomized Study for Weight Management in Primary Care

Leigh Perreault, Krithika Suresh, Carlos Rodriguez, L. Miriam Dickinson, Emileigh Willems, Peter C. Smith, Johnny Williams, R. Mark Gritz and Jodi Summers Holtrop The Annals of Family Medicine May 2023, 21 (3) 249-255; DOI: https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2966



What are the challenges?

- Lack of confidence
- Lack of time
- Lack of resources
- Sense of futility: can I even make a difference?



Can we make a difference in primary care?

 Patients told by their doctors that they are overweight have nearly four times the odds of attempting weight loss and about twice the odds of succeeding

Review > Int J Obes (Lond). 2013 Jan;37(1):118-28. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2012.24. Epub 2012 Mar 27.

Physician weight loss advice and patient weight loss behavior change: a literature review and metaanalysis of survey data

S A Rose ¹, P S Poynter, J W Anderson, S M Noar, J Conigliaro

Clinical Trial > Obes Res Clin Pract. 2014 Mar-Apr;8(2):e131-9. doi: 10.1016/j.orcp.2013.03.003.

The impact of physician weight discussion on weight loss in US adults

Andrew C Pool ¹, Jennifer L Kraschnewski ¹, Lindsay A Cover ¹, Erik B Lehman ¹, Heather L Stuckey ¹, Kevin O Hwang ¹, Kathryn I Pollak ¹, Christopher N Sciamanna ¹

Figure 3. Pooled Analysis of Weight Change at 12-18 Months in Behavior-Based Weight Loss Interventions Compared With Controls (Key Question 2)

	Intervention			Control		bi contraction of the second sec		
	Intervention Main Mode		Change From Baseline,		Change From Baseline,	Mean Difference Change From Baseline	Favors	Favors
ource	(Total mo)	No.	Mean (SD), kg	No.	Mean (SD), kg	(95% CI), kg	Intervention	Control
Ackermann et al, ²⁵ 2015	Group (12)	257	-2.5 (NR)	252	-0.2 (NR)	-2.30 (-3.40 to -1.10)		
Ahern et al, 140 2017	Group (12)	528	-6.8 (9.7)	211	-3.3 (9.9)	-3.50 (-5.07 to -1.93)	_ _	
Anderson et al, ²⁷ 2014	Individual (12)	148	-3.5 (4.9)	157	-0.8 (3.8)	-2.69 (-3.67 to -1.70)		
Appel et al, ²⁹ 2011	Mixed (24)	123	-5.4 (7.8)	108	-1.1 (5.2)	-4.30 (-5.90 to -2.60)		
Aveyard et al, ³² 2016	Group (3)	940	-2.4 (6.5)	942	-1.0 (5.5)	-1.43 (-1.97 to -0.89)		
Beeken et al, ¹³⁵ 2017	Individual (3)	143	-2.4 (5.5)	152	-2.3 (5.0)	-0.06 (-1.25 to 1.13)		-
Bennett et al, ³⁵ 2012	Individual (24)	180	-1.4 (5.1)	185	-0.3 (4.9)	-1.05 (-2.09 to -0.01)		
Bhopal et al, ³⁶ 2014	Individual (36)	84	-0.9 (7.2)	83	-0.3 (6.7)	-0.63 (-2.74 to 1.48)		—
Burke et al, ³⁹ 2005	Mixed (16)	106	-3.9 (5.5)	98	-1.4 (5.2)	-2.50 (-3.9 to -1.03)		
Cadmus-Bertram et al,40 2016	Individual (12)	59	-2.9 (4.3)	29	-1.2 (3.8)	-1.70 (-3.47 to 0.07)		-
Christian et al, ⁴² 2011	Technology (6)	133	-1.5 (5.3)	130	0.1 (4.0)	-1.65 (-3.85 to 0.56)		<u> </u>
Cohen et al, ⁴³ 1991 de Vos et al, ⁴⁶ 2014	Individual (12)	15	-0.9 (4.0)	15	1.3 (3.0)	-2.18 (-4.71 to 0.35)		T
Je Vos et al, ~ 2014	Individual (30)	187	-0.6 (5.5)	181	0.6 (5.4)	-1.22 (-2.09 to -0.35)		
Demark-Wahnefried et al, ⁴⁷ 2014 Jaton et al, ⁴⁹ 2016	Technology (12)	23	-3.8 (4.8)	18	-0.9 (3.0)	-2.90 (-5.29 to -0.51)		
Fischer et al, ¹³⁶ 2016	Individual (24)	106 78	-5.4 (7.9) -1.2 (5.8)	105	-3.8 (7.8) -0.3 (4.4)	-1.60 (-3.72 to 0.52) -0.95 (-2.54 to 0.63)		—
itzgibbon et al, ⁵³ 2010	Technology (12) Mixed (18)	93	-2.3 (7.4)	97	-0.5 (4.4)	-2.59 (-4.40 to -0.78)		
Sodino et al, ⁵⁵ 2016	Technology (24)	202	-2.3 (7.4) NR	202	0.5 (5.7) NR	-2.39 (-4.40 to -0.78) -1.33 (-2.30 to -0.35)		
Greaves et al, ⁵⁶ 2015	Group (9)	202	-3.7 (5.2)	53	-1.9 (6.7)	-1.85 (-2.30 to -0.35)		
Haapala et al, ⁵⁸ 2009	Technology (12)	62	-3.1 (4.9)	62	-1.9 (6.7)	-1.85 (-4.08 to 0.38) -2.40 (-4.09 to -0.71)		
Haapata et al, ⁵⁰ 2009 Hunt et al, ⁶² 2014	Group (12)	333	-5.6 (8.1)	355	-0.7 (4.7) -0.6 (5.2)	-2.40 (-4.09 to -0.71) -4.94 (-5.94 to -3.95)		
Huseinovic et al. ⁶³ 2016	Individual (12)	44	-9.3 (4.8)	45	-5.6 (7.3)	-3.70 (-6.26 to -1.14)		
Jakicic et al. ⁶⁴ 2011	Mixed (18)	88	-9.3 (4.8)	84	-0.9 (3.8)	-0.40 (-1.53 to 0.73)		_
ansson et al, ⁶⁵ 2013	Individual (24)	45	-2.5 (5.0)	49	-0.9 (5.8)	-1.70 (-3.80 to 0.40)		-
ebb et al, ⁶⁶ 2011	Group (12)	377	-4.1 (6.0)	395	-1.8 (3.8)	-2.29 (-2.99 to -1.58)		
Iolly et al, ⁶⁸ 2011	Group (3)	100	-2.5 (5.9)	100	-1.1 (5.1)	-1.65 (-3.33 to 0.04)	<u> </u>	
(atula et al, ⁷¹ 2011	Mixed (24)	151	-6.9 (6.9)	150	-2.1 (7.4)	-4.85 (-6.46 to -3.24)		
Knowler et al, ⁷³ 2002	Individual (38)	1026	-6.8 (5.4)	1027	-0.4 (5.4)	-6.34 (-6.81 to -5.87)		
Kuller et al, ⁷⁵ 2012	Group (36)	208	-7.8 (7.1)	213	-1.6 (5.5)	-6.20 (-7.42 to -4.98)		
(ulzer et al, ⁷⁶ 2009	Group (10)	91	-3.8 (5.2)	91	-1.4 (4.0)	-2.40 (-3.75 to -1.05)		
Kumanyika et al, 145 2012	Individual (12)	89	-1.6 (5.1)	98	-0.6 (4.1)	-0.98 (-2.33 to 0.36)		-
ittle et al, ⁷⁸ 2016	Technology (6)	221	-3.8 (7.4)	227	-2.6 (9.2)	-0.37 (-1.66 to 0.92)		L
ogue et al, ¹⁴¹ 2005	Individual (24)	329	-1.4 (3.2)	336	-0.9 (3.4)	-0.52 (-1.02 to -0.02)		
uley et al, ⁷⁹ 2014	Individual (12)	58	-7.3 (6.3)	60	-2.7 (6.5)	-4.50 (-7.40 to -1.70)		
Ma et al, ⁸⁰ 2013	Group (15)	79	-6.3 (8.0)	81	-2.4 (0.1)	-3.90 (-5.66 to -2.14)		
Marrero et al. ⁸¹ 2016	Group (12)	94	-5.5 (6.1)	81	-0.2 (6.2)	-5.30 (-7.14 to -3.46)		
Martin et al, ⁸³ 2008	Individual (6)	68	-1.4 (3.7)	69	-0.2 (3.6)	-1.22 (-2.64 to 0.20)	÷	÷
Mensink et al, ¹⁴² 2003	Individual (24)	40	-3.1 (3.8)	48	-0.2 (3.5)	-2.90 (-4.43 to -1.37)	_	
Moore et al, ⁸⁵ 2003	Individual (12)	279	-0.5 (NR)	286	-0.9 (NR)	1.00 (-1.90 to 3.90)		
Morgan et al, ⁸⁶ 2011	Technology (3)	34	-5.3 (6.4)	31	-3.1 (6.4)	-2.20 (-5.50 to 1.05)		_
Nakade et al, ⁸⁸ 2012	Mixed (12)	115	-4.5 (4.4)	111	0.1 (5.8)	-4.60 (-5.94 to -3.26)	_ _	
Nanchahal et al, ⁸⁹ 2012	Individual (9)	103	-2.4 (5.6)	114	-1.3 (5.1)	-0.70 (-2.17 to 0.76)		-
Nicklas et al. ⁹¹ 2014	Technology (12)	36	-2.8 (6.1)	39	0.5 (5.9)	-3.30 (-6.00 to -0.60)		
Vilsen et al, ¹⁴⁴ 2011	Group (18)	93	-2.5 (9.6)	89	-3.0 (10.1)	0.50 (-2.37 to 3.37)		-
D'Brien et al,138 2017	Group (12)	30	-4.0 (3.9)	28	0.8 (4.0)	-4.80 (-7.30 to -2.20)		
Pacanowski and Levitsky,93 2015	Technology (12)	81	-2.1 (5.6)	67	-0.4 (4.4)	-1.70 (-3.31 to -0.09)	- +	
Patrick et al, ⁹⁵ 2011	Technology (12)	217	-0.9 (7.1)	224	-0.2 (6.9)	-0.69 (-1.52 to 0.14)		-
⁹ enn et al, ⁹⁷ 2009	Individual (60)	51	-2.3 (NR)	51	0.0 (NR)	-2.50 (-4.20 to 0.70)		-
Phelan et al, ¹⁴⁷ 2017	Mixed (12)	174	-3.2 (5.7)	193	-0.9 (5.7)	-2.30 (-3.50 to -1.10)		
Puhkala et al, ¹⁰⁰ 2015	Individual (12)	47	-3.4 (6.6)	48	0.7 (3.9)	-4.00 (-6.20 to -1.90)		
Rock et al, ¹⁰³ 2007	Individual (12)	35	-6.6 (10.2)	35	-0.7 (5.5)	-5.90 (-9.74 to -2.06)		
Rock et al, ¹⁰² 2015	Mixed (24)	297	-5.3 (6.8)	288	-1.2 (6.7)	-4.10 (-5.19 to -3.01)		
Rodriguez-Critobal et al, 146 2017	Group (24)	283	-1.8 (6.7)	302	-1.3 (1.7)	-0.50 (-1.54 to 0.54)		÷ `
Rosas et al, ¹⁰⁴ 2015	Mixed (24)	84	-1.4 (4.9)	41	-0.7 (4.8)	-0.70 (-2.49 to 1.09)		-
Ross et al, ¹⁰⁵ 2012	Individual (24)	249	-2.4 (5.4)	241	-0.9 (5.6)	-1.56 (-2.53 to -0.59)		
shapiro et al, ¹⁰⁷ 2012	Technology (12)	81	-1.7 (5.4)	89	-1.0 (4.3)	-0.62 (-2.10 to 0.86)		<u> </u>
Stevens et al, ¹¹⁵ 1993	Group (18)	293	-3.8 (6.1)	235	0.1 (4.0)	-3.90 (-4.77 to -3.03)		
tevens et al, ¹¹⁶ 2001	Group (36)	545	-2.0 (5.8)	551	0.7 (4.2)	-2.70 (-3.30 to -2.10)	-	
Svetkey et al, 117 2015	Mixed (24)	120	-3.6 (NR)	123	-2.3 (NR)	-1.33 (-3.19 to 0.53)		t i
Thomas et al, ¹³⁹ 2017	Technology (12)	91	-1.6 (4.9)	86	-1.2 (5.0)	-0.40 (-1.85 to 1.05)		
(sai et al, ¹²¹ 2010	Individual (12)	22	-2.3 (4.2)	25	-1.1 (4.0)	-1.20 (-3.56 to 1.16)		
Fuomilehto et al, ¹²² 2001	Individual (48)	256	-4.2 (5.1)	250	-0.8 (3.7)	-3.40 (-4.18 to -2.62)		
von Gruenigen et al, ¹²⁶ 2012	Mixed (12)	41	-3.0 (8.8)	34	1.4 (11.1)	-4.60 (-5.80 to -3.50)		
Wadden et al, ¹²⁷ 2011	Individual (24)	131	-3.4 (6.9)	130	-2.3 (6.8)	-1.10 (-2.76 to 0.56)		-
Whelton et al, ¹⁴³ 1998	Mixed (28)	294	-4.7 (2.6)	291	-1.1 (2.2)	-3.60 (-3.99 to -3.21)		
Wing et al, ¹³¹ 1998	Group (24)	30	-7.4 (9.7)	29	-0.3 (4.5)	-7.10 (-10.94 to -3.26)		
Wylie-Rosett et al, ¹³² 2001	Mixed (12)	194	-3.4 (7.3)	97	-1.0 (5.6)	-2.36 (-3.87 to -0.84)		
Overall (1 ² = 90.0%, P < .001)						-2.39 (-2.86 to -1.93)	\diamond	
							-10 5 (i D

NR indicates not reported.

iama.com

Recommendation Summary

Population	Recommendation	Grade
Adults	The USPSTF recommends that clinicians offer or refer adults with a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or higher (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) to intensive, multicomponent behavioral interventions.	В

"B" rating, meaning there is "high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial"

The AHA requires that interventions with a grade A or B rating be covered without a copay by insurance

> JAMA | US Preventive Services Task Force | RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT Behavioral Weight Loss Interventions to Prevent Obesity-Related Morbidity and Mortality in Adults US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement

Benefits of Weight Loss by Percent Body Weight Lost

0 to 5% ¹	5 to 10% ¹	10 to 15% ^{1,2}	> 1 5% ²⁻⁴	
Hypertension Hyperglycemia	Prevention of T2DM MASLD	CV Disease MASLD OSA	T2DM Remission CV Mortality	
	PCOS Hyperlipidemia	GERD Osteoarthritis	HFpEF	

1. Garvey WT et al. Endocr Pract 2016;22(Suppl. 3):1-203;

2. Look AHEAD Research Group. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2016;4:913-21

3. Lean ME et al. Lancet 2018;391:541-51;

4. Benraoune F and Litwin SE. Curr Opin Cardiol 2011;26:555-61.

Adapted from Barenbaum, S. (2024). *Overview of the Medical Assessment of Adult Obesity*. [Conference Presentation]. 2024 Columbia Cornell Obesity: Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment On-Demand.



One Model for Primary Care





One Model for Primary Care





Start the Conversation

- Ask for permission!

 Supports autonomy
 Signals that you will approach sensitively
- Helpful to tie to other problems (if possible)
- Sometimes may need simply to ask

"I noticed today that your BMI is higher than we would usually recommend. Would it be alright if we talked about that? I know it can be a sensitive topic and only want to do so if you are okay with it and feel it would be helpful" "When someone has back pain, I like to check in on weight. Would it be okay to chat about that for a few minutes today?"







Elements of Effective Counseling

- Behavior change needs to come from the patient
- The patient must see a compelling need to make change
- They must feel confident that they can make change
- They need to believe that the proposed change will help
- They need to feel supported in making change







Weight History

- Let the patient tell their story
- Maintain a non-judgmental and curious tone
- Some example questions:
 - Open ended:
 - o "Tell me about how your weight has changed over time?"
 - Factors contributing to weight gain:
 - $\circ~$ "What factors do you think have contributed to your weight gain?"
 - "Were there specific times in your life when you gained more weight?"
 - $\circ~$ Past efforts:
 - "Have you thought about or tried to lose weight before? What happened? What worked well? What were the challenges?"
 - Motivation:
 - "What concerns you most about your weight?"
 - o "What benefits do you think losing weight would have?"
- Elucidate root causes of weight gain for your patient
- Help understand where patients are coming from and how best to help



Robert F. Kushner, MD

Circulation • Volume 126, Number 24 • https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.075424





Education

- 1. Review underlying forces causing weight gain
- 2. Nutritional strategies for weight loss



Figure. Age-adjusted trends in overweight, obesity, and severe obesity among men and women aged 20–74: United States, 1960–1962 through 2017–2018



NOTES: Data are age adjusted by the direct method to U.S. Census 2000 estimates using age groups 20-39, 40–59, and 60–74. Overweight is body mass index (BMI) of 25.0–29.9 kg/m². Obesity is BMI at or above 30.0 kg/m². Severe obesity is BMI at or above 40.0 kg/m². Pregnant women are excluded from the analysis. SOURCES: National Center for Health Statistics, National Health Examination Survey and National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys.

> Again, only about 1 in 4 have a BMI less than 25.0!

 Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight



Adapted from Rosenbaum, M. (2024). *Why is it So Hard to Keep Weight Off?* [Conference Presentation]. 2024 Columbia Cornell Obesity: Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment On-Demand.

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is sub-conscious I'd like to illustrate that for you:



Adapted from Rosenbaum, M. (2024). *Why is it So Hard to Keep Weight Off?* [Conference Presentation]. 2024 Columbia Cornell Obesity: Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment On-Demand.

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is sub-conscious I'd like to illustrate that for you:
 - Average American gains ~1 lb per year from age 25 to 55



Adapted from Rosenbaum, M. (2024). *Why is it So Hard to Keep Weight Off?* [Conference Presentation]. 2024 Columbia Cornell Obesity: Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment On-Demand.

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is *sub-conscious* I'd like to illustrate that for you:
 - Average American gains ~1 lb per year from age 25 to 55
 - 1 lb equals about 3,500 calories so we are eating on average about 3,500 calories extra per year

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is *sub-conscious* I'd like to illustrate that for you:
 - Average American gains ~1 lb per year from age 25 to 55
 - 1 lb equals about 3,500 calories so we are eating on average about 3,500 calories extra per year
 - Average American eats ~900,000 kcal per year

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is *sub-conscious* I'd like to illustrate that for you:
 - Average American gains ~1 lb per year from age 25 to 55
 - 1 lb equals about 3,500 calories so we are eating on average about 3,500 calories extra per year
 - Average American eats ~900,000 kcal per year
- We do this without counting calories

- Our body has a complex neuroendocrine system that regulates our weight
- For the vast majority of us, that process is sub-conscious I'd like to illustrate that for you:
 - Average American gains ~1 lb per year from age 25 to 55
 - 1 lb equals about 3,500 calories so we are eating on average about 3,500 calories extra per year
 - Average American eats ~900,000 kcal per year
- We do this without counting calories
- We do this without measuring number of calories we are burning

 Obesity is a *neuro-metabolic disease* that is characterized by the *inappropriate dysregulation of defended mass* leading to excess accumulation of fat which can lead to poor health outcomes



Obesity Pathogenesis: An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement

Michael W. Schwartz,¹ Randy J. Seeley,² Lori M. Zeltser,³ Adam Drewnowski,⁴ Eric Ravussin,⁵ Leanne M. Redman,⁵ and Rudolph L. Leibel³⁶

Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?



Biology

Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?



Individual

Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

Matthias Blüher

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Environment / Society

Individual


Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Environment / Society

Individual



Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Environment / Society

Individual



Then:

- Food was scarce
- Foods were different
- Foods required more time and energy to obtain, prepare, and consume

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Biology

Environment / Society

Individual



Then:

- Food was scarce
- Foods were different
- Foods required more time and energy to obtain, prepare, and consume



Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Environment / Society

Individual



Now:

- Food is always available
- Food industrially designed to be hyperpalatable
- We are more sedentary



Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

<u>Matthias Blüher</u> 🗹

Nature Reviews Endocrinology 15, 288–298 (2019) Cite this article

Why are we all gaining weight?

Environment / Society

Individual



Now:

- Food is always available
- Food industrially designed to be hyperpalatable
- We are more sedentary



Why are we all gaining weight?

Obesity rates are rising because the biological systems that control our body's weight were not designed for the environment we live in today, and they don't work for most people

Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

Matthias Blüher



The role of gut hormones in the pathogenesis and management of obesity Janine M Makaronidis^{1,2,3} and Rachel L Batterham^{1,2,3}

So what do we do?

Biology



Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

Matthias Blüher

So what do we do?

Biology



Review Article | Published: 27 February 2019

Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis

Matthias Blüher

Education

- 1. Review underlying forces causing weight gain
- 2. Nutritional strategies for weight loss



Many Diets Out There

• We will not go through details on various diets



Many Diets Out There



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

SPECIALTIES V TOPICS V MULTIMEDIA V CURRENT ISSUE V LEARNING/CME V AUTHOR CENTER PUBLICATIONS V

REVIEW ARTICLE | NUTRITION IN MEDICINE

f X in 🖾

Diets

Authors: Mary Yannakoulia, Ph.D. 💿 , and Nikolaos Scarmeas, M.D., Ph.D. Author Info & Affiliations

Published June 12, 2024 | N Engl J Med 2024;390:2098-2106 | DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2211889 | VOL. 390 NO. 22

- Many diets have evidence for weight loss
- We see commonalities among these diets
- You can teach those commonalities and provide patients with *principles* of healthy eating
- Meet patients where they are at – if they want to try a diet, support them in that



FIGURE 27.5 Commonalities and unique features of the DASH diet, Mediterranean-style diets, and the USDA food pattern recommended by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2015. Source: C.K. Richter, A.C. Skulas-Ray, P.M. Kris-Etherton, Recent findings of studies on the Mediterranean diet: what are the implications for current dietary recommendations? Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am. 43 (4) (2014) 963–980.

Nutritional Strategies

- 1. Increase your fiber intake
- 2. Increase your "low calorie density" foods
- 3. Avoid ultra-processed foods



Nutritional Strategies

- 1. Increase your fiber intake
- 2. Increase your "low calorie density" foods
- 3. Avoid ultra-processed foods



What percentage of Americans meet the recommended intake of daily fiber?

- A. 3%
- B. 11%
- C. 24%
- D. 41%
- E. 55%



What percentage of Americans meet the recommended intake of daily fiber?

- **A. 3%**
- B. 11%
- C. 24%
- D. 41%
- E. 55%



Increase fiber

- Fewer than 3% of Americans reach the recommended daily intake of fiber
- Compare with protein, which 97% of Americans reach the recommended daily intake of



Adapted from Greger, M. (2019). *How not to diet: the groundbreaking science of healthy, permanent weight loss.* Flatiron Books.

Increase fiber

- The "3D's" of Fiber
 - o Distension of stomach
 - o **D**elayed GI transit
 - \circ **D**umping of calories
 - Our bodies can only absorb nutrients through direct physical contact. Fiber is not absorbable and can block absorption of other nutrients
 - Typical American diet: 1 g protein = 4 calories, 1 g fat = 9 calories, 1 g of carbs = 4 calories
 - High fiber diet: 1 g protein = 3.5 calories, 1 g fat = 8.7 calories, 1 g of carbs = 3.8 calories
 - People who eat a high fiber diet poop out about twice as many calories as those eating a typical American diet
 - This leads to, on average, 100 fewer calories per day!

JUICE VS. WHOLE FRUIT



100 calories / day = 700 calories per week = 36,400 calories per year = ~10 lbs!



The Thermic Effect of Food: A Review

Manuel Calcagno^a , Hana Kahleova^a, Jihad Alwarith^a, Nora N. Burgess^a, Rosendo A. Flores^a, Melissa L. Busta^a, and Neal D. Barnard^{a,b}

^aClinical Research, Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, Washington, DC, USA; ^bAdjunct Faculty, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC, USA

- Increase fiber
- Other possible impacts of a high fiber diet on weight:
 - Increased thermic effect of food
 - Interaction with the gut microbiome, which plays an important role in the gut brain axis

Breaking down a gut-to-brain circuit that prevents malabsorption

Brooke C. Jarvie^{1,2} and Zachary A. Knight^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Physiology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA ²Kavli Institute for Fundamental Neuroscience, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA ³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA ^{*}Correspondence: zachary.knight@ucsf.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.012

Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism

CellPress

Review

Influence of the gut microbiota on satiety signaling

Jacco J.A.J. Bastings ⁽⁰⁾, ¹ Koen Venema ⁽⁰⁾, ^{1,2} Ellen E. Blaak ⁽³⁾, ^{1,*} and Tanja C. Adam ⁽³⁾

Increase fiber

 Randomized Controlled Trial
 > Ann Intern Med. 2015 Feb 17;162(4):248-57.

 doi: 10.7326/M14-0611.

Single-component versus multicomponent dietary goals for the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial

Yunsheng Ma, Barbara C Olendzki, Jinsong Wang, Gioia M Persuitte, Wenjun Li, Hua Fang, Philip A Merriam, Nicole M Wedick, Ira S Ockene, Annie L Culver, Kristin L Schneider, Gin-Fei Olendzki, James Carmody, Tingjian Ge, Zhiying Zhang, Sherry L Pagoto

Randomized Controlled Trial > Ann Intern Med. 2015 Feb 17;162(4):248-57. doi: 10.7326/M14-0611.

Single-component versus multicomponent dietary goals for the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial

Yunsheng Ma, Barbara C Olendzki, Jinsong Wang, Gioia M Persuitte, Wenjun Li, Hua Fang, Philip A Merriam, Nicole M Wedick, Ira S Ockene, Annie L Culver, Kristin L Schneider, Gin-Fei Olendzki, James Carmody, Tingjian Ge, Zhiying Zhang, Sherry L Pagoto

Increase fiber

• Randomized controlled trial comparing two groups:

- 1. The American Heart Association (AHA) Diet
 - Consume vegetables and fruits
 - Eat whole grain, high fiber foods (> 30 g / day)
 - Eat fish twice weekly
 - Reduce sugary beverages
 - Consume lean animal and vegetable proteins
 - Minimize sugar intake
 - Minimize sodium intake
 - Moderate to no alcohol intake
 - 30-35% of calories from carbohydrates
 - 15-20% of calories from protein
 - 30-35% of calories from fat
 - Limit saturated fat to < 7% of energy, trans fat to < 1%, and cholesterol to < 300 mg per day
- 2. High fiber diet (> 30 g / day)
 - Eat more fiber! No calorie goals were specified

Randomized Controlled Trial> Ann Intern Med. 2015 Feb 17;162(4):248-57.doi: 10.7326/M14-0611.

Single-component versus multicomponent dietary goals for the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial

Yunsheng Ma, Barbara C Olendzki, Jinsong Wang, Gioia M Persuitte, Wenjun Li, Hua Fang, Philip A Merriam, Nicole M Wedick, Ira S Ockene, Annie L Culver, Kristin L Schneider, Gin-Fei Olendzki, James Carmody, Tingjian Ge, Zhiying Zhang, Sherry L Pagoto

Increase fiber

- Study Design
 - Primary endpoint: weight loss at 12 months
 - Participants all received two individual and 12 group sessions



Randomized Controlled Trial> Ann Intern Med. 2015 Feb 17;162(4):248-57.doi: 10.7326/M14-0611.

Single-component versus multicomponent dietary goals for the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial

Yunsheng Ma, Barbara C Olendzki, Jinsong Wang, Gioia M Persuitte, Wenjun Li, Hua Fang, Philip A Merriam, Nicole M Wedick, Ira S Ockene, Annie L Culver, Kristin L Schneider, Gin-Fei Olendzki, James Carmody, Tingjian Ge, Zhiying Zhang, Sherry L Pagoto



Increase fiber

- Results
 - No significant difference between the two treatment groups
 - Suggests dietary intervention focusing on a targeted fiber goal may be able to achieve clinically meaningful weight loss similar to the more intense AHA dietary guidelines

Quick Fiber Check

Beans:

For each serving of beans or lentils (one serving = 1/2 cup cooked) or any food that includes about this amount of beans or lentils as an ingredient	7 points
Soy: One cup of soy milk or 1/2 cup tofu	1 point
Vegetables: For each serving of vegetables (one serving = 1 cup)	3 points (lettuce = 1 point)
Fruit: Medium piece of fruit (e.g., apple, banana, 1 cup of applesauce, a banana smoothie)	3 points
Grains: Whole grains score higher than processed grains (one serving = 1 cup cooked).	8 points = bran 4 points = oatmeal 3 points = whole grains (e.g., whole-grain cereal, brown rice) 2 points = whole-wheat processed grains (e.g., whole-grain bread, whole-grain pasta) 1 point = processed grains (e.g., white bread, bagel, white rice, processed cereal)
Nuts/Seeds/Avocado (1 ounce): Limit these due to high fat content.	2 points
Meat, Poultry, and Fish	0 points
Eggs and Dairy	0 points
Soda	0 points

The Quick Fiber Check is a handy little tool. Using

its simple scoring concept, which takes only a minute or two to learn, you'll automatically be able to estimate the fiber content of virtually everything in the grocery store.

To check your own meals, write down everything you ate or drank for one full day on this form (see next page). Now, next to each food, jot in its fiber score (each point = 1 gram of fiber), using this guide.

Physicians Committee

for Responsible Medicine PhysiciansCommittee.org

roou (only one ingredient per line)					

Fiber Score

Total Points

Interpreting Your Quick Fiber Check Score



Food (only one ingredient per line)

You need more fiber in your diet. As it is, your appetite will be hard to control, and you may have occasional constipation. Boosting fiber will help tame your appetite and can cut your risk of many health problems.



You are doing better than most people in Western countries, but as you bring more fiber into your diet, you will find that it makes the food you eat more satisfying and cuts your calorie intake a bit.



40 or more:

Congratulations! You have plenty of healthful fiber in your diet. It tames your appetite and helps keep you healthy. Fiber also reduces your risk of cancer, heart disease, diabetes, and digestive problems.

Some Tips for Increasing your Fiber

- A good goal is 30 to 40 g per day
- Try to get through whole foods; if you can't, supplements are okay. If you use supplements, I recommend a *soluble* fiber like psyllium husk
- Increase your fiber gradually if you go too fast, you may struggle with stomach cramping and gas. I recommend starting at an extra 3 or 4 g/day for the first week and then increasing from there

Nutritional Strategies

- 1. Increase your fiber intake
- 2. Increase your "low calorie density" foods
- 3. Avoid ultra-processed foods



Eat more "low calorie density" foods

- The "eat less" approach can leave people hungry and unsatisfied
- Shift from "eat less" to "eat more" of healthy, low energy density foods
- Help you feel full with fewer calories
- Naturally crowd out less healthy alternatives

CALORIE DENSITY OF COMMON FOODS



Research has shown that the total weight of food we eat each day tends to remain constant even if our caloric intake varies greatly. Many otherwise healthy foods may be sabotaging our weight loss efforts simply because they are too calorie-dense.



SOURCE: https://www.nourishlab.com/caloric-density

The number of "stomachfuls" to reach 2,000 calories



Adapted from Greger, M. (2019). *How not to diet: the groundbreaking science of healthy, permanent weight loss*. Flatiron Books.

Average human stomach: 4 cups of food. 1 stomachful of broccoli is about 125 calories

Eat more "low calorie density" foods

Eat More	On Target	Eat Less	Eat Sparingly
< 100 calories per cup	< 300 calories per cup	300-600 calories per cup	> 600 calories per cup
Most fresh fruit	Avocados and bananas	Dried fruit	Oil
Most vegetables	Starchy vegetables	French fries & onion rings	Chocolate
	Whole grain pasta and grains	Bread	Cheese
	Beans, lentils, &	Eggs	Bacon
	chickpeas	Beef, pork, and poultry	
	Yogurt		
	Seafood and wild game		

Greger, M. (2019). *How not to diet: the groundbreaking science of healthy, permanent weight loss.* Flatiron Books.

Example goal

• Four days per week, I would like to start my lunch by eating a piece of fruit or a salad (low-calorie density foods)



Nutritional Strategies

- 1. Increase your fiber intake
- 2. Increase your "low calorie density" foods
- 3. Avoid ultra-processed foods



Ultra-processed foods comprise what percentage (in terms of calorie intake) of the typical American diet?

- A. 40%
- B. 50%
- C. 60%
- D. 70%



Ultra-processed foods comprise what percentage (in terms of calorie intake) of the typical American diet?

- A. 40%
- B. 50%
- **C. 60%**
- D. 70%



Current Obesity Reports (2022) 11:80–92 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-021-00460-y

ETIOLOGY OF OBESITY (M ROSENBAUM, SECTION EDITOR)

Ultra-processed Foods, Weight Gain, and Co-morbidity Risk

Anthony Crimarco¹ • Matthew J. Landry¹ • Christopher D. Gardner¹

What are ultra-processed foods?

Fig. 1 Spectrum of processing of foods based on the NOVA classification. The figure provides examples of foods and types of processing methods within each NOVA classification group. Definitions are adapted from Monteiro et al. (2018) [8]

Group 1 Unprocessed or Minimally Processed Foods

Fresh, dry, or frozen vegetables or fruit, grains, legumes, meat, fish, eggs, nuts and seeds.



Processing includes removal of inedible/unwanted parts. Does not add substances to the original food.

Group 2 Processed Culinary Ingredients

Plant oils (e.g., olive oil, coconut oil), animal fats (e.g., cream, butter, lard), maple syrup, sugar, honey, and salt.





Substances derived from Group 1 foods or from nature by processes including pressing, refining, grinding, milling, and drying.

Increasing Level of Processing

Group 3 Processed Foods

Canned/pickled vegetables, meat, fish, or fruit, artisanal bread, cheese, salted meats, wine, beer, and cider.





Processing of foods from Group 1 or 2 with the addition of oil, salt, or sugar by means of canning, pickling, smoking, curing, or fermentation.



Sugar sweetened beverages, sweet and savory packaged snacks, reconstituted meat products, preprepared frozen dishes, canned/instant soups, chicken nuggets, ice cream.



Formulations made from a series of processes including extraction and chemical modification. Includes very little intact Group 1 foods.

Avoid ultra-processed foods

- Ultra-processed foods comprise about 60% of the caloric intake of the average American adult (and about 70% of the average American child)
- They are inexpensive, have a long shelf life, and are highly convenient (often ready-to-eat or ready-to heat)



Food can be classified in four categories

Note: Nova classification of food categories. "Processed ingredients" are also known as "processed culinary ingredients".

Source: University of Sao Paulo, Brazil, Getty Images

BBC

Clinical and Translational Report

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of Ad Libitum Food Intake

Kevin D. Hall, Alexis Ayuketah, Robert Brychta, ..., Peter J. Walter, Shanna Yang, Megan Zhou

Avoid ultra-processed foods

- Processed foods are often designed to be "hyperpalatable"
- In nature, fat, sugar and salt rarely co-exist. In processed food, these are combined and concentrated.

Flamin' hot addictions: why is America so hooked on ultra-processed foods?

Habit-forming and intrinsically nutritionally unbalanced junk foods elevate the levels of hormones responsible for hunger



Researchers say that junk food can interact with the brain to make it look like a person is consuming a drug. Photograph: Chicago Tribune/MCT/Getty Images



Clinical and Translational Report

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake

Authors Kevin D. Hall, Alexis Ayuketah, Robert Brychta, ..., Peter J. Walter, Shanna Yang, Megan Zhou
Avoid ultra-processed foods

- Have drawn comparisons to other addictive substances:
 - Can trigger the "feel good" messengers in our brains such as dopamine, serotonin, and endocannabinoids
 - Trigger cravings
 - Lead to compulsive overuse in the face of negative consequences

Obesity and food addiction: Similarities to drug addiction

Bruna Campana, Poliana Guiomar Brasiel^{*}, Aline Silva de Aguiar, Sheila Cristina Potente Luquetti Dutra Review What Is the Evidence for "Food Addiction?" A Systematic Review

Eliza L. Gordon ^{1,*}⁽⁰⁾, Aviva H. Ariel-Donges ¹, Viviana Bauman ¹ and Lisa J. Merlo ²

Avoid ultra-processed foods

- To summarize, processed foods tend to be:
 - More calorie dense
 - Less filling and more quickly absorbed (less fiber and less water)
 - Hyperpalatable and hard to stop eating



PHOTO: FOOD & WINE / GETTY IMAGES

Avoid ultra-processed foods

- Is there evidence to suggest they are harming our health?
 - Consistently associated with an increase in all-cause mortality in cohort studies

Ultra-processed food intake and all-cause mortality: DRECE cohort study

Carmen Romero Ferreiro^{1,2,*} , Cristina Martín-Arriscado Arroba^{1,2}, Pilar Cancelas Navia^{1,2}, David Lora Pablos^{1,2,3,4} and Agustín Gómez de la Cámara^{1,2,3} ¹Scientífic Support Unit (i+12), Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Avenida de Córdoba, s/n, Madrid 28041, Spain: ²Spanish Clinical Research Network (SCReN), Madrid, Spain: ³Consorcio de Investigación Biomédica en Red de epidemiología y solud pública (CIBEResp), Madrid, Spain: ⁴Faculty of Statistics, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain

Submitted 1 March 2021: Final revision received 17 July 2021: Accepted 2 August 2021: First published online 5 August 2021



Consumption of Ultra-Processed Foods and Mortality: A National Prospective Cohort in Spain

Ruth Blanco-Rojo, PhD; Helena Sandoval-Insausti, MD, MPH; Esther López-Garcia, MhPharm, PhD; Auxiliadora Graciani, MD, PhD; Jose M. Ordovás, PhD; Jose R. Banegas, MD, PhD; Femando Rodríguez-Artalejo, MD, PhD; and Pilar Guallar-Castillón, MD, PhD

Original Investigation

February 11, 2019

Association Between Ultraprocessed Food Consumption and Risk of Mortality Among Middle-aged Adults in France

Laure Schnabel, MD, MSc^{1,2}; Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, PhD¹; Benjamin Allès, PhD¹; <u>et al</u>

 \gg Author Affiliations | Article Information

JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179(4):490-498. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.7289

Association between consumption of ultra-processed foods and all cause mortality: SUN prospective cohort study

FREE

Anaïs Rico-Campà,^{1,2} Miguel A Martínez-González,^{1,2,3,4} Ismael Alvarez-Alvarez,¹ Raquel de Deus Mendonça,^{1,5} Carmen de la Fuente-Arrillaga,^{1,2,3} Clara Gómez-Donoso,¹ Maira Bes-Rastrollo^{1,2,3}

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake

Authors

Kevin D. Hall, Alexis Ayuketah, Robert Brychta, ..., Peter J. Walter, Shanna Yang, Megan Zhou



Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake

Authors



Figure 1. Overview of the Study Design

Twenty adults were confined to the metabolic ward at the NIH Clinical Center, where they were randomized to consumed either an ultra-processed or unprocessed diet for 2 consecutive weeks followed immediately by the alternate diet. Every week, subjects spent 1 day residing in a respiratory chamber to measure energy expenditure, respiratory quotient, and sleeping energy expenditure. Average energy expenditure during each diet period was measured by the doubly labeled water (DLW) method. Body composition was measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and liver fat was measured by magnetic resonance imaging/spectroscopy (MRI/MRS).

Cell Metabolism

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake

Table 1. Diet Composition of the Average 7-Day Rotating MenuPresented to the Subjects during the Ultra-Processed andUnprocessed Diet Periods

	Ultra- Processed Diet	Unprocessed Diet
Three Daily Meals		
Energy (kcal/day)	3,905	3,871
Carbohydrate (%)	49.2	46.3
Fat (%)	34.7	35.0
Protein (%)	16.1	18.7
Energy density (kcal/g)	1.024	1.028
Non-beverage energy density (kcal/g)	1.957	1.057
Sodium (mg/1,000 kcal)	1,997	1,981
Fiber (g/1,000 kcal)	21.3	20.7
Sugars (g/1,000 kcal)	34.6	32.7
Saturated fat (g/1,000 kcal)	13.1	7.6
Omega-3 fatty acids (g/1,000 kcal)	0.7	1.4
Omega-6 fatty acids (g/1,000 kcal)	7.6	7.2
Energy from unprocessed (%) ^a	6.4	83.3
Energy from ultra-processed (%) ^a	83.5	0



Cell Metabolism

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie Intake and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake



Figure 3. Body Weight and Composition Changes





Cell Metabolism

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie In and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake

Ultra-processed Unprocessed

Cell Metabolism

Ultra-Processed Diets Cause Excess Calorie In and Weight Gain: An Inpatient Randomized Controlled Trial of *Ad Libitum* Food Intake





Example goal

 I want to cook two dinners each week that are completely free of ultra-processed foods



Is it easy to identify ultraprocessed foods?



The New York Times







Ingredients 🖓

Ingredients ~



Take a virtual shopping trip to learn more about what's on grocery store shelves. By Jancee Dunn Illustrations by Simon Bailly Jan. 5, 2025

The New Hork Times

Post Original Spoon Size Shredded Wheat Not Ultraprocessed



This cereal, a favorite among nutritionists, has only two ingredients: whole-grain wheat and BHT, a common preservative. The presence of preservatives does not make a food ultraprocessed.

Special K Fruit & Yogurt Cereal Ultraprocessed



The first ingredient in this cereal is whole-grain wheat, but it also contains corn syrup as well as soy lecithin, an emulsifier derived from soybeans. These ingredients make it a UPF.

Nature Valley Oats and Honey Protein Granola Ultraprocessed



This cereal has soy protein isolate and soy lecithin, making it ultraprocessed.

However, federal guidelines recommend that adults consume at least 48 grams of whole grains per day, and one serving of this cereal provides more than half that amount. Many UPFs provide essential nutrients, such as protein, fiber and vitamins and minerals.

Fiber One Original Bran Breakfast Cereal Ultraprocessed



This cereal is high in fiber. But it also contains additives that make it a UPF, including guar gum and cellulose gum, both of which are often used as thickeners. It also contains sucralose, an artificial sweetener that makes it a UPF.







Take a virtual shopping trip to learn more about what's on grocery store shelves. By Jancee Dunn Illustrations by Simon Bailly Jan. 5, 2025

The New Hork Times





With familiar ingredients such as chicken, dried apples and garlic, this sausage is not ultraprocessed.

Arnold Whole Grains 100% Whole Wheat Bread Ultraprocessed



It can be difficult to find packaged breads that are not ultraprocessed. Many have at least one or two ingredients that make them a UPF. This one has wheat gluten, commonly used to make dough more elastic, and soy lecithin, an emulsifier that can increase a bread's volume.

Progresso Southwest-Style Black Bean Soup Ultraprocessed



This soup is ultraprocessed because it has ingredients such as modified food starch and soy protein isolate. It also has some good things going for it: It's high in fiber and contains a half-cup of vegetables.

Jif Reduced Fat Creamy Peanut Butter Spread
Ultraprocessed



Some peanut butters contain just two or three ingredients (peanuts, oil and salt). This version is ultraprocessed because it has extra ingredients like mono and diglycerides, which are emulsifiers, and corn syrup solids, a dehydrated version of corn syrup typically used to add sweetness. When something is labeled "reduced-fat," as this peanut butter is, it is often a UPF. TrueFood is a user-friendly interface designed to unveil the degree of processing of food products, powered by GroceryDB, a comprehensive database. GroceryDB is part of a research project [Prevalence of Processed Food in Grocery Stores, Nature Food, 2024], that provides the data and methodologies necessary to quantify food processing and analyze ingredient structures within the U.S. food supply. By integrating large-scale data on food composition with machine learning, TrueFood offers valuable insights into the current state of food processing in the U.S. grocery landscape, highlighting distributions of food processing scores and the variability in product offerings across different grocery stores.

Disclaimer and terms of use.



Nutritional Strategies

- 1. Increase your fiber intake
- 2. Increase your "low calorie density" foods
- 3. Avoid ultra-processed foods





Goal Setting

	MART Goal Setting Worksheet
/hat is the goal?	2. Why is the goal important?
	-
SMART goal checklist	
Pecific Is the goal clearly written, with no ambivalence? Is it clear who needs to accomptish the goal, and any support they might expect?	4. List potential problems that might keep you from completing your goal.
Measurable Does the goal answer the questions of how many, how much and/or how often?	
Can you get the support needed to achieve the goal by the target date? Do you have all the resources needed to achieve the goal? Are the results expected realistic?	
Does the goal make a difference in your career? Is it going to make an improvement in your personal life? Is it going to significantly make a difference to your business?	
Does the goal state a clear and specific	

In addition to setting short term SMART goals, it can also be helpful for patients to set long term goals:

- "I want to be able to hike with my kids when we go camping"
- "I want to feel more confident"
- "I want to fit into my old clothes again"







Follow-Up

- More frequent follow-up has been associated with better results for weight loss
- Frequent visits allows for:
 - Celebration of success
 - Accountability
 - Support
 - Refreshers on nutritional or behavioral strategies
 - Problem solving
- I recommend every 4 weeks for the first 6 months, if you and your patients can swing it
- Virtual okay if patients are self-monitoring





Select Resources







Learn how to eat healthy with MyPlate

Remember the food pyramid? Meet MyPlate, the official symbol of the five food groups. Learn how to make MyPlate work for you.







Learn how to eat healthy with MyPlate

Remember the food pyramid? Meet MyPlate, the official symbol of the five food groups. Learn how to make MyPlate work for you.









Learn how to eat healthy with MyPlate

Remember the food pyramid? Meet MyPlate, the official symbol of the five food groups. Learn how to make MyPlate work for you.











Learn how to eat healthy with MyPlate

Remember the food pyramid? Meet MyPlate, the official symbol of the five food groups. Learn how to make MyPlate work for you.



Summary

- Obesity is hurting our health
- Obesity is not an individual failing
- Weight loss through lifestyle changes is doable
- 3 Nutritional Strategies:
 - 1. Increase fiber intake
 - 2. Increase "low calorie density" foods
 - 3. Avoid processed foods

Objectives

- 1. Review basic epidemiology of obesity in the United States
- 2. Convey the value of nutrition counseling in primary care
- 3. Present an example of a practical model for nutrition counseling in primary care
- 4. Provide 3 examples of *specific* nutrition recommendations you can discuss with your own patients



4. Sleep

- While insufficient sleep increases energy expenditure by ~100 kcal / day, it increases energy intake by > 250 kcal / day
- Sleep restriction increases drive for hedonic eating: poor dietary choices (high carbohydrate foods, fats, sugar-sweetened beverages, and alcohol)

The role of insufficient sleep and circadian misalignment in obesity

Jean-Philippe Chaput ^{IZI}, Andrew W. McHill, Rebecca C. Cox, Josiane L. Broussard, Caroline Dutil, Bruno G. G. da Costa, Hugues Sampasa-Kanyinga & Kenneth P. Wright Jr



REVIEWS

*When energy intake controlled; opposite effects when energy intake ad libitum

Fig. 4 Insufficient sleep affects energy intake and energy expenditure, which leads to a positive energy balance and the risk of weight gain. During experimental

Goal Setting

	MART Goal Setting Worksheet
/hat is the goal?	2. Why is the goal important?
	-
SMART goal checklist	
Pecific Is the goal clearly written, with no ambivalence? Is it clear who needs to accomptish the goal, and any support they might expect?	4. List potential problems that might keep you from completing your goal.
Measurable Does the goal answer the questions of how many, how much and/or how often?	
Can you get the support needed to achieve the goal by the target date? Do you have all the resources needed to achieve the goal? Are the results expected realistic?	
Does the goal make a difference in your career? Is it going to make an improvement in your personal life? Is it going to significantly make a difference to your business?	
Does the goal state a clear and specific	

In addition to setting short term SMART goals, it can also be helpful for patients to set long term goals:

- "I want to be able to hike with my kids when we go camping"
- "I want to feel more confident"
- "I want to fit into my old clothes again"



• Strategies for Eating Well on a Budget - The Nutrition Source

