Prognosis: Renewed Attention to a Lost Art & Science

Alex Smith, MD, MS, MPH

UCSF Division of Geriatrics

GeriPal Podcast

ePrognosis

JAGS

Ellipsis (omission) of Prognosis...

- 1892: Olser's textbook Principles and Practice of Medicine, Chapter on Pneumonia
 - 1/3 Diagnosis, 1/3 Treatment, 1/3 Prognosis
- 1988
 - 100% Diagnosis and Treatment, 0% Prognosis



Nicholas Christakis, "A Death Foretold: Prophecy and Prognosis in Medical Care"

Ellipsis (omission) of Prognosis

- Older physician interviewed about practice in early 1900s
 - Armamentarium was limited
 - Often nothing could do but describe what's ahead
 - Communicating prognosis important to patient & doctor
 - Good prognosis communication = known as a good doctor
- Rise of new diagnostic techniques and treatments
- Reimbursement tied to diagnosis and treatment

Nicholas Christakis, "A Death Foretold: Prophecy and Prognosis in Medical Care"

Renewed attention to prognosis?

- With rise of palliative care???
- Relentless focus on diagnostics and treatment comes at a cost
 - Monetary cost to society: high costs of care at the EOL
 - Costs to patients: potentially burdensome treatment
 - Costs to families: PTSD, complicated grief
- Story of ePrognosis and scientific basis for renewed attention to prognosis
- Prognosis is critical to clinical decision-making for older adults



Prognosis Estimation (Science)

"It is exceedingly difficult to make predictions, particularly about the future"

1

lre

Clinical Decisions Influenced by Life Expectancy

Life Expectancy	Clinical Decision	
>4-6 weeks	SSRI > methylphenidate for depression	
>3 months	Surgery > XRT for malignant spinal cord compression	
>6 months	Hospice, Finasteride	
>1-2 years	Blood Pressure control to prevent stroke	
>2 years	Statins to prevent cardiovascular outcomes	
>8 years	Tight blood sugar control in diabetes	
>10 years	Breast and colon cancer screening	

All tests or treatments Lag time to benefit Lag time to harm

- When will it help?
- Benefits delayed
- Cancer screening: slow growing cancers, take years to develop

- When will it harm?
- Harms often up front
- False positives, workup/treatment for disease never would harm in lifetime



Colorectal Cancer Screening



Lee SJ et al, BMJ 2013 Jan 8; 346. e8441

Glycemic Control: UKPDS



Time from Randomization (years)

Bisphos to Prevent non-Vertebral Fracture



Patient example

- 78 community dwelling woman
- Regular mammograms continue?
- Diabetes
- Former smoker
- Mammography has about a 10 year lag-time to benefit

What is the best way to estimate prognosis for our 78 year old patient?



Ways to Prognosticate



Clinical Judgement



Clinical Judgment



Shortcomings of Clinical Predictions

- Tend to overestimate patient survival by a factor of between 3-5.
- Influenced by relationships
 - The length of doctor patient relationships increases the odds of making an erroneous prediction.



Christakis BMJ 2000

Population Averages



Great Variation in Life Expectancy for People of Similar Ages



How to determine who is in the bottom or top quartile?



Prognostic Indices



Prognostic Indices for Older Adults A Systematic Review

Lindsey C. Yourman, MD	Context To better target services to those who may benefit, many guidelines rec-
Sei J. Lee, MD, MAS	ommend incorporating life expectancy into clinical decisions.
Mara A. Schonberg, MD, MPH	Objective To assess the quality and limitations of prognostic indices for mortality in
Eric W. Widera, MD	older adults through systematic review.
Alexander K. Smith, MD, MS, MPH	Data Sources We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane, and Google Scholar from their inception through November 2011.

- Systematic review
- Identified 16 validated non-disease specific prognostic indices for older adults
- Evaluated quality: Accuracy and generalizability





HOME ABOUT CALCULATORS CANCER SCREENING DECISION TOOLS COMMUNICATION

 Lee Schonberg Index Population: Community dwelling adults aged 50 and older Outcome: All cause 4, 5, 10 and 14 year mortality Scroll to the bottom for more detailed information 	pañol Français Português
Risk Calculator	
1. How old is your patient?	75-79 💌
2. What is the sex of your patient?	Female O Male
3. What is your patient's BMI?	< 25 💌
4. Which best describes your patient's health in general?	Good
5. Does your patient have chronic lung disease, such as emphysema or chronic bronchitis?	⊖ Yes ● No
6. Has your patient ever had cancer (excluding minor skin cancers)?	O Yes No

). Does your patient have difficulty walking 1/4 mile (several city blocks) without help from other people or special equipment?		nt?
	○ Yes	No
11. During the past 12 months, how many times was your patient hospitalized overnight?	N	one ᅌ
12. Because of a physical, mental or emotional problem, does your patient need the help of others in handling rou as everyday household chores, doing necessary business, shopping, or getting around for other purposes?	utine needs	such
	◯ Yes	 No
13. Because of a health or memory problem, does your patient have difficulty managing money - such as paying track of expenses?	bills and ke	eping
	\bigcirc Yes	No
14. Because of a health or memory problem, does your patient have difficulty with bathing or showering?	⊖ Yes	 No
15. Because of a health problem, does your patient have difficulty pushing or pulling large objects like a living roo	m chair?	
	⊖ Yes	No
Total Lee Index Total Schonber		oints: 8
Your best guess of 10 year mortality risk	15 - 23	3% ᅌ
	Calculate	e Risk ►

Mortality Risk for Schonberg Index					
Points	Risk of FIVE YEAR mortality	Risk of TEN YEAR mortality	Risk of FOURTEEN YEAR mortality		
0 - 1	<3%	5 - 11%	19 - 21%		
2 - 3	3 - 6%	9 - 12%	19 - 24%		
4 - 5	7 - 8%	15 - 21%	27 - 36%		
6-7	10 - 12%	26 - 37%	42 - 52%		
8 - 9	17 - 27%	37 - 44%	42 - 52%		
10 - 11	26 - 29%	53 - 60	74 - 78%		
12 - 13	37 - 41%	60 - 68	81-83%		
14 - 15	47 - 52%	74 - 76	87 - 88%		
16 - 17	60 - 61%	86 - 87	100%		
≥17	70%	92%	100%		

Mortality Risk for Lee Index					
Points	Risk of FIVE YEAR mortality	Risk of TEN YEAR mortality	Life Expectancy (years)		
0-1	1 - 2%	2 - 5%	33.1 - 35.4		
2 - 3	2 - 4%	7 - 10%	23.7 - 30.1		
4 - 5	6 - 8%	15 - 23%	17.7 - 21.1		
6-7	9 - 15%	34 - 43%	12.6 - 14.3		
8 - 9	20%	52 - 58%	8.9 - 10		
10 - 11	28 - 45%	52 - 82%	5.0 - 7.2		
12 - 13	44 - 59%	83 - 91	3.8 - 5.1		
≥14	63%	93%	2.9		



WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE TO SCREEN FOR?

_











RESULTS

IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT GETTING SCREENED FOR BREAST CANCER WILL HELP THIS PERSON.

THIS PERSON'S THOUGHTS AND FEELINGS SHOULD BE THE MAJOR DRIVER OF THE DECISION.

○ VIEW BENEFITS



BENEFITS

HARMS

- A mammogram is more likely to find breast cancer when it is small, improving a woman's chances of only needing a minor surgery.
- Getting a mammogram may lower a woman's
- Getting a mammogram may be uncomfortable or cause anxiety.
- Some women who get a mammogram will experience a false alarm. These women are

Lag time to benefit for other interventions

- Bisphosphonates
- Tight glycemic control
- Statins
- Etc







Non-mortality outcomes

• Older adults care about quality of life, independence, and function as much or more than quantity of life


This Issue Views 1,834 | Citations 0 | Altmetric 37

More *▽*

Original Investigation

 (\times)

December 4, 2023

A

PDF

Development and External Validation of Models to Predict Need for Nursing Home Level of Care in Community-Dwelling Older Adults With Dementia

(11)

Cite

Permissions

W. James Deardorff, MD^{1,2}; Sun Y. Jeon, PhD^{1,2}; Deborah E. Barnes, PhD, MPH^{3,4}; W.
 John Boscardin, PhD^{1,2,3}; Kenneth M. Langa, MD, PhD^{5,6,7,8}; Kenneth E. Covinsky, MD, MPH^{1,9}
 ; Susan L. Mitchell, MD, MPH^{10,11}; Sei J. Lee, MD, MAS^{1,2}; Alexander K. Smith, MD, MS, MPH^{1,2}

» Author Affiliations | Article Information

JAMA Intern Med. 2024;184(1):81-91. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.6548

Models to predict need for nursing home level of care in community-dwelling older adults with dementia

Population: Community dwelling older adults aged 65 years and older with dementia

Outcomes: 2, 5, and 10 year risk and median time to needing nursing home level of care. The outcome of nursing home level of care was defined as one of the following 3 items:

1. ≥3 ADL dependencies (including bathing/showering, getting in/out of bed, dressing, toileting, and walking across the room),

2. ≥2 ADL dependencies and proxy report that the individual wanders or cannot be left alone,

3. eating dependency (e.g., needing help cutting up food).

In general, dependency with an ADL was defined by needing help with the task.

Scroll to the bottom for more detailed information.

isk Calculator	
I. Was the information obtained from the patient or via a surrogate?	 Patient Surrogate (e.g., spouse, other family member, or caregiver)
2. What is your patient's age?	 65-69 70-74 75-79 80-84 85-89 90+
3. What is your patient's biological sex?	 Male Female

4. Which of the following ADL and IADL dependencies does your patient have?

Dependency with an ADL/IADL means that the patient requires help performing the specific task and cannot perform it independently. Note: If your patient has 3 ADL dependencies or eating dependency at baseline, they would already be classified as nursing home level of care.

What is your patient's driving status?	Still driving
	Shopping for groceries
	Managing money (such as paying bills and keeping track of expenses)
	Taking medications
	Preparing a hot meal
Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADLs):	Using a telephone
	Using the toilet, including getting up and down
	Dressing, including putting on shoes and socks
	Getting in or out of bed
Activities of Daily Living (ADLs):	Bathing or showering

O No longer driving

Results

For an individual with these bas	eline characteristics, t	he predicted probability o	f nursing home level of ca	re equals:
	2 years			25%
	5 years			45%
	10 years			65%
Median predicted time to nursing	g home level of care (25	i th to 75 th percentile)		4.7 years (1.5 - 11 years





July 8, 2024

Prognoses Associated With Palliative Performance Scale Scores in Modern Palliative Care Practice

Kara E. Bischoff, MD¹; Kanan Patel, MBBS, MPH²; W. John Boscardin, PhD²; David L. O'Riordan, PhD¹; Steven Z. Pantilat, MD¹; Alexander K. Smith, MD, MPH²

» Author Affiliations | Article Information

JAMA Netw Open. 2024;7(7):e2420472. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.20472

Palliative Performance Scale

- Population: Patients who have received a palliative care consultation at an academic medical center.
- Outcome: 1-month mortality, 6-month mortality, median survival in months.
- Scroll to the bottom for more detailed information.

Palliative Performance Scale Score	
1. Is your patient in the inpatient (hospital) or outpatient (home, clinic, or nursing home) setting?	Inpatient •
2. Does your patient have cancer or a non-cancer serious illness, primarily?	Cancer
3. If you know your patient's PPS score input it here:	Unknown
If you don't know your patient's PPS score, complete the following 5 questions to determine their score:	
4. How ambulatory is this patient?	Totally bed bound
5. What is the patient's daily level of activity? Is there any evidence of disease?	Unable to do any activity, exten $^{\!$
6. How much self-care assistance does this patient require?	Total care 🔹
7. How much oral intake does this patient have?	Minimal to sips 💌
8. What is this patient's level of consciousness?	Full or drowsy +/- confusion *
Calculate risk >	

- The Palliative Performance Scale (PPS) has been shown to be both valid and useful for a broad range of palliative care patients: those with advanced cancer diagnoses or life-threatening non-cancer diagnoses in clinics, hospitals, and hospices.
- Data from University of California, San Francisco 1/1/2018-12/31/2020.
- Average age was 63 years, 51% women, 57% white.
- Among inpatients, 62.3% had cancer, 5.1% had neurologic illnesses, and 32.6% had other serious illnesses. Among outpatients, 73.9% had cancer, 11.9% had neurologic illnesses, and 14.2% had other serious illnesses.

PPS	1-month mortality, %	6-month mortality, %	Median survival (95% CI) in months
10 (n=170)	66.5	77.7	0.62 (0.49 - 0.76)
20 (n=89)	57.3	73.0	0.75 (0.53 - 1.08)
30 (n=138)	40.6	58.0	1.68 (1.05 - 6.08)
40 (n=158)	30.4	56.3	2.30 (1.77 - 6.11)
50 (n=121)	14.1	30.6	≥30 (22.28 - ≥30)
60 (n=90)	8.9	28.9	≥30 (14.49 - ≥30)
70 (n=70)	6.3	25.0	≥30 (≥30 - ≥30)
80 (n=27)	0.0	18.5	≥30 (14.95 - ≥30)

*PPS = palliative performance scale, CI = confidence interval

ePrognosis has grown

- Now about 15k uses/month
- 85% clinicians
- Primary care, geriatrics, palliative care, urologists, oncologists
- International user base
 - 50% US
 - 50% International
- Top cities
 - Sao Paulo, New York, Mexico City, Chicago
 - Portland, OR 28th globally

ePrognosis: Next Steps

- R01 to develop prognostic models for hospitalized older adults
 - UCSF, Cleveland Clinic, BIDMC, Hopkins
 - Function
- Prognostic model for incarcerated
 - Compassionate release
- Thiago Silva: Walter index in Brazil
- James Deardorff: Prognosis in SNF
- Website redesign AI for communication?
- EHR integration?



Prognosis Communication (Art)

Why do patients want to know?

• 65 disabled older adults; English, Spanish, Cantonese

• 2/3 want to know if life doctor thought life expectancy < 5 years

Outcome	Life Choices	
Life expectancy	Being at peace with God; preparing my family	
Time to disability	Consider moving in with children; exercise	
Time to difficulty managing finances	Arrange for durable power of attorney for finances	
Time to loss of mobility	Prepare home for worsened mobility	

Ahalt JGIM 2012



DRIGINAL ARTICLE Efficacy and Safety of Acoramidis in Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy





Gain remote access to your institution's NEJM.org site license subscription. →

PERSPECTIVE Transforming Population Health — ARPA-H's New Program Targeting Broken Incentive...

Perspective Discussing Overall Prognosis with the Very Elderly

Alexander K. Smith, M.D., M.P.H., Brie A. Williams, M.D., and Bernard Lo, M.D.





MEDICINE AND SOCIETY The Financialization of Health in the United States



MEDICINE AND SOCIETY On Calling — From Privileged Professionals to Cogs of Capitalism?

Perspective Uncertainty — The Other Side of Prognosis

Alexander K. Smith, M.D., M.P.H., Douglas B. White, M.D., and Robert M. Arnold, M.D.



Prognosis: Family Meetings

VIDEOS IN CLINICAL MEDICINE FREE PREVIEW

Family Meetings on Behalf of Patients with Serious Illness

Eric Widera, M.D., Wendy G. Anderson, M.D., Lekshmi Santhosh, M.D., M.A.Ed., Kanako Y. McKee, M.D., Alexander K. Smith, M.D., M.P.H., and James Frank, M.D.



September 10, 2020

N Engl J Med 2020; 383:e71 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMvcm1913056

Editors

Julie R. Ingelfinger, M.D., Editor

Purchase this article Print Subscriber? Activate your online acce





Pre-meeting

• Pre-meeting is at least as important as meeting



Pre-meeting

- Pre-meeting is at least as important as meeting
- Critical to discuss prognosis



Pre-meeting

- Pre-meeting is at least as important as meeting
- Critical to discuss prognosis
- Anchor prognosis in "worst case" during pre-meeting



Photo Courtesy of George Skidmore





Blake Wales Hendee Smith, 1941-1998

Thank you!

Alexander.Smith@ucsf.edu



Prognostication

Three parts:

- 1. Estimating the probability of an individual developing a particular outcome over a specific period of time (prognosis).
- 2. Communicating the prognosis with the patient and/or family
- **3.** Interpretation of the prognosis by the patient and/or family.



About 1,630,000 results (0.57 seconds)





What % of surrogates rely solely on the physicians estimate when determining prognosis?



Interpretation



Boyd et al. Crit Care Med, 2010; 38: 1270-1275

Surrogates of current ICU patients were asked about prognostic statements.

For example, "If a doctor says 'He will definitely survive,' what does that mean to you?"



Zier Ann Intern Med. 2012;156:360-366.



Zier Ann Intern Med. 2012;156:360-366.



Prognosis & ePrognosis scratches many itches

- "Pointy headed scientist" itch
- "Creative/start-up excitement" itch
- "More fun to work in teams" itch
- "Do something people will use" itch
- "Communication and ethics" itch