Drug Class Review on Beta Adrenergic Blockers

Final Report Update 3
Evidence Tables

September 2007



Original Report Date: September 2003
Update 1 Report Date: September 2004
Update 2 Report Date: May 2005
A literature scan of this topic is done periodically

The purpose of this report is to make available information regarding the comparative effectiveness and safety profiles of different drugs within pharmaceutical classes. Reports are not usage guidelines, nor should they be read as an endorsement of, or recommendation for, any particular drug, use or approach. Oregon Health & Science University does not recommend or endorse any guideline or recommendation developed by users of these reports.

Mark Helfand, MD, MPH Kim Peterson, MS Tracy Dana, MLS

Oregon Evidence-based Practice Center Oregon Health & Science University Mark Helfand, MD, MPH, Director OREGON
HEALTH

SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY

Copyright © 2007 by Oregon Health & Science University Portland, Oregon 97239. All rights reserved.

Note: A scan of the medical literature relating to the topic is done periodically (see http://www.ohsu.edu/ohsuedu/research/policycenter/DERP/about/methods.cfm for scanning process description). The Drug Effectiveness Review Project governance group elected to proceed with another update of this report. Please see timeline on the DERP website for details on the date of its release. Prior versions of this report can be accessed at the DERP website.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evidence Table 1. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for hypertension	3
Evidence Table 1a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for hypertension	27
Evidence Table 2. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for angina	36
Evidence Table 2a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for angina	57
Evidence Table 3. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for coronary artery bypass graft	58
Evidence Table 3a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for coronary artery bypass graft	
Evidence Table 4. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for post myocardial infarction	65
Evidence Table 4a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for post myocardial infarction	115
Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure	127
Evidence Table 5a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure	186
Evidence Table 6. Outcomes in head to head trials of beta blockers for heart failure	211
Evidence Table 7. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia	213
Evidence Table 7a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia	225
Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine	231
Evidence Table 8a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine	.307
Evidence Table 9. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal varices	.319
Evidence Table 9a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal	
Evidence Table 10. Adverse events in head to head trials of beta blockers for hypertension	343
Evidence Table 11. Safety of all head to head trials of heta blockers	346

Beta adrenergic blockers Page 2 of 347

Author, Year Country	Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Head to head controlled trials			
Walle 1994 Fair	HTH Crossover DB	Patients of either sex, more than 21 years of age, with mild to moderate hypertension (diastolic blood pressure in the range of 95 to 110 mmHg) were eligible for the study. The study subjects were either to have received no previous antihypertensive treatment or to have been previously treated	Cardiiovascular diseases, such as angina pectoris, secondary hypertension, grade II or III AV block, heart failure, or a history of myocardial infarction (within 12 months); cerebrovascular ischemia: asthma/ chronic bronchitis; insulin-dependent diabetes; and malignancy or chronic disease requiring treatment
Sundar 1991	HTH Crossover	Patients, who were between the age 35 and 60 years, either never received antihypertensive treatment or had discontinued the drugs for at least 2 weeks prior to entry into trial	Patients with accociated conditions like moderate to severtr congestive infarction within 6 months, accelerated hypertension and those with severe gastrointestinal, renal or hepatie dysfunction were excluded

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 3 of 347

Author, Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Head to head controlled trials				
Walle 1994	Run-in: 4-wk, SB, placebo Treatment periods:	No	Psychologic General Well-Being (PGWB) index	Mean age: 58 y/o, 43.3% male.
Fair	Metoprolol CR 100 mg vs Atenolol 100 mg x 6 weeks Washout: NR		Minor Symptom Evaluation (MSE) profile	Ethinicity: NR
Sundar 1991	Wash-out period: 2 weeks between the interventions atenolol (ate): 100mg per day propranolol (pro): 80mg per day duration of treatment: 4 weeks	NR	Quality of life questionnaire (5-point scale) -the sense of well being and satisfaction with life -the physical state -the enotional state -intellectual functions -ability to perform in social roles -sexual life	Age, Ethnicity: NR Gender: 100% male

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 4 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes
controlled trials				
Walle 1994	mean weight: 76kg mean height: 171cm mean duration of hypertention: 9 yrs	NR/NR/60	2/0/58	Metoprolol CR vs atenolol PGWB Index (total mean scores): 102.7 vs 102.0; p=NS
Fair	mean BP: 102/178			MSE profile - morning (mean values); all p=NS Contentment: 33.1 vs 32.4 Vitality: 35.2 vs 35.4 Sleep: 31.8 vs 30.0 MSE profile - morning (single items rated using VAS) Sexual interest: favored atenolol (p<0.05) (data NR) Muscular tension, numbness, self-consciousness, sociability, appetite, sweating, physical competance, dreams: p=NS, data NR
Sundar 1991	NR	NR/NR/44	18/0/26	ate vs pro:
				-the sense of well being and satisfaction with life -the physical state -the enotional state -intellectual functions -ability to perform in social roles -sexual life *all NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 5 of 347

Author,	Method of		
Year	adverse effects	3	Withdrawals due to adverse events
Country	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	(%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Head to head			
controlled trials			
Walle	Clinical	Overall AEs: no differences (data NR)	meto vs ate = 0 vs 2 (3.3%)
1994	observation,		
	active	Serious AEs: 0 vs 2 (bradycardia and	
Fair	questionning	syncope; both leading to withdrawal)	

Sundar Reported by ate vs pro (%) 1991 patients headache: 0 vs

headache: 0 vs 0 weakness: 10.5 vs 10.7

warmth: 2.6 vs 0 oedema: 0 vs 0 dyspnoea: 5.3 vs 0 constipation: 0 vs 0 NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 6 of 347

Author,			
Year	Study		
Country	Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Head to head			
controlled trials			
Steiner	HTH	The patients were required to have been	Patients could not have major concomitant medical or mental
1990	Parallel	diagnosed with mild-to-moderate essential hypertension for at least 1 yea, be at least 21 years of age, emloyed or retired, eucated at high-school level or equivalent, and married or libing with an significant other.	problems or significant changes in living conditions (e.g., recent death of spouse), or require concomitant therapy that could confound the study results

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 7 of 347

Author,		Allowed other		Age
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	medications/	Method of Outcome Assessment	Gender
Country	duration)	interventions	and Timing of Assessment	Ethnicity
Head to head controlled trials				
Steiner	placebo run-in for 3-5 weeks	No	Four-point scale in the Symptom	Age, Ethnicity: NR
1990	titration for 1-4 weeks (lowering of		Check List-90-R (SCL) (by patients)	Gender: 100% male
	DBP by at least 10 mmHg or to		Psychological General Well-Being	
	90mmHg or less)		(PGWB) Index (by patients and	
	maintenance for 4 weeks		spouses or significant others)	
			Insomnia Symptom Questionnaire	
	Propranolol 80-240mg per day		Sexual Function Questionnaire for	
	(mean=133.4mg per day)		male patients (modified)	
			Life satisfaction Index	
	Atenolol 50-100mg per day			
	(mean=56.4mg per day)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 8 of 347

Author, Year Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes
Head to head controlled trials				
Steiner 1990	NR	489/360/344 (179 for pro	27/1/151	Propranolol vs. Atenolol PGWB Index (patients)
		and ate)	pro: 73 ate: 78	-Global, anxiety, depressed mood, positive well-being, general health vitality: NS -Self-control: -0.17 vs 0.32, p<0.05
				PGWB Index (significant other) -Global, anxiety, depressed mood, self-control, general health vitality: NS -Positive well-being: -0.65 vs 0.33, p<0.05
				Symptom Checklist -Global: -0.02 vs -3.46, p<0.05 -Anxiety: -0.35 vs -1.49, p<0.05 -Obsession: 0.03 vs -1.34, p<0.05 -Hostility: 0.38 vs -0.65, p<0.05
				Life Satisfaction Index -Global: -1.13 vs 1.19, p<0.05 -Social satisfaction: -0.24 vs 0.71, p<0.05 -Life satisfaction, work satisfaction: NS
				Sleep function, Sexual function: all NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 9 of 347

Author, Year Country	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Head to head controlled trials			
Steiner 1990	Reported by patients	pro(%) vs ate(%), all NS Bradycardia: 4(4.5) vs 9(10) Gastrointestinal distress: 9(10.1) vs 7(7.8) Dry mouth: 5(5.6) vs 4(4.4) Anxiety: 7(7.9) vs 2(2.2) Sleep disturbance: 4(4.5) vs 6(6.7) Libido decreased/impotence: 8(9): 5(5.6) Weakness/fatigue: 15(16.9) vs 8(8.9) Headache: 12(13.5) vs 9(10) Total: 57(64) vs 50(55.6)	pro: 5(6.85) ate: 0(0)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 10 of 347

Author, Year	Study		
Country	Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Head to head controlled trials			
Dahlof 1988	HTH Crossover	Patients with either sex with mild to moderate primary hypertension, either newly diagnosed or previously treated with monoterapy	 The patient had not followed the instructions to fill in and return the questionnaire on 3 occasions during the run-in period The diastolic blood pressure <90mmHg or >105mmHg Previous treatment with metoprolol or atenolol AV-block 2 or 3 Non-compensated congestive heart failure Insulin-treated diabetes Bradycardia (heart rate <50 beats/min) Bronchial asthma Any serious concomitant illness or drug abuse which can interfere with the treatment Unwillingness to participate in the study
Blumenthal 1988	HTH exposure design unclear	Participants were eligible for the study if they had resting diastolic blood pressures that were within 90 to 110 mmHg on four separate occassions, using a random zero device, during a 2-week screening interval before testing. Subjects did not take any antihypertensive medication for at least 6 weeks before the screening and were free of any significant disease other than hypertension.	NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 11 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
controlled trials Dahlof	placebo run-in: 2 weeks	NR	MSE-profile	mean age: 54.4 <u>+</u> 8.8,
1988	atenolol (ate) 50 mg od		Jern's quality of life questionnaires Beta-blocker questionnaires	51(66%) male
	metoprolol CR (meto) 100 mg od		(subjective symptoms reported)	Ethnicity: NR
	Duration: 6 weeks		Timing: before, during and after the intervention	
Blumenthal 1988	Week 1 (b.i.d): Atenolol (ate): 50mg+placebo Propranolol (pro): 40mg+40mg Placebo (pla): placebo+placebo Week 2 (b.l.d): If BP was not reduced by 10mmHg and remained below 90mmHg, increase dosage to: ate 100mg; pro 80mg.	NR	Psychmetric testing: -The profile of mood states (POMS) -SCL-90 -A side effects measure Timing: before and after drug administration	mean age=42.5, 100% male (22 whites and 4 blacks)
	Duration: 2 weeks			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 12 of 347

Author, Year	Other population characteristics	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/	Outcomes
Country Head to head	(diagnosis, etc)	enronea	analyzed	Outcomes
controlled trials				
Dahlof 1988	Duration of hypertension: 3.5 <u>+</u> 5 years WHO I: 75	NR/NR/77	3/0/74	meto vs ate
	WHO II: 2 Supine BP: SBP 159 <u>+</u> 14.9, DBP 97.8+4.8			MSE-profile, contentment, hedonic tone, vitality, activity, sleep, relaxation: NS
	Heart rate: 74 <u>+</u> 10.4			Subjective symptoms- leg fatigue, constipation, diarrhoea, bradycardia, cold hands and feet, heavy breathing: NS Palpitation: meto> ate, p<0.05
				Preference (n): 31 vs 23, NS
Blumenthal 1988	15 (62%) had not taken any antihypertensive medication at any time before participation in the study. 0 (0%) took any sedative medication 23 (80%) had at least some college education 25 (98%) were employed on a full-time basis.	NR/ NR/ 26	0/0/26	POMS (before vs. after): ate: tension- 11.87 vs. 6.12, p<0.002 depression- NS anger- 7.12 vs. 2.00, p<0.03 pro: all NS; pla: all NS SCL-90 (before vs. after): ate: anxiety- NS hostility- 55.00 vs. 48.37, p<0.04 phobic anxiety- NS; depression- NS pro: all NS; pla: all NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 13 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head	Method of adverse effects assessment?	s Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
controlled trials Dahlof 1988	Beta-blocker questionnaires (subjective symptoms reported)	Subjective symptoms- leg fatigue, constipation, diarrhoea, bradycardia, cold hands and feet, heavy breathing: NS Palpitation: meto> ate, p<0.05	2(2.6%)
Blumenthal 1988	Questionnaire. Reported by patients	sleep items: NS sexual functioning: NS energy: 4 (ate) and 4 (pro) reported being more tired in the morning, while 6 (pla) reported less fatigue.	0

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 14 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head controlled trials Buhler 1986	Study Design HTH Crossover DB	Patients with a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 100-120 mmHg (Korotkoff V) om the seated position	Patients were on other antihypertensive drugs, had contraindications for beta-blocker therapy, severe disease, or who were known for their poor compliance. Patients with impaired renal function, i.e., serum creatinine>150 umol/l, were also excluded.
Placebo controlled trials Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) Fair quality	Placebo-controlled	21-65 years old; between 110 and 160% ideal weight (Metropolitan Life Insurance Height-Weight Tables); diastolic BP at baseline of 90-100 mm Hg	History of myocardial infarction, stroke, or asthma, or a serum creatinine level of 177 mmol/d or greater, insulin-dependent diabetes, allergy to thiazides or beta-blockers, pregnancy, or likelihood of difficulty in complying with the interventions

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 15 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head controlled trials Buhler 1986	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration) Wash-out period: 2 weeks Bisoprolol (bis) 10mg or Atenolol (ate) 50 mg for 2 weeks. Then, if DBP> 95mmHg, increase to: bis 20mg or ate 100mg. Total duraion: 8 weeks Wash-out period: 2 weeks. Then crossover.	Allowed other medications/ interventions NR	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment self-assessment questionnaire	Age Gender Ethnicity 86 (82.7%) male male: mean age=53.8 female: mean age=50.8 Ethinicity: NR
Placebo controlled trials Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) Fair quality	Atenolol (ate) 50 mg Chlorthalidone (chl) 25 mg Placebo (pla)	Dietary interventions 1) Usual Diet 2) Low sodium (goal of 52 mmol/d for participants weighing 50 kg or less to 100 mmol/d for those weighing 92 kg) + high potassium (goal: 62 mmol/d to 115 mmol/d) 3) Weight loss group (goal: 4.5 kg or 10% of baseline weight, whichever was greater)	Life Satisfaction Scale Physical Complaints Inventory Symptoms Checklist	Per protocol analysis (n=697) Mean age=49 56% male 68% white

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 16 of 347

Author,		Number screened/	Number withdrawn/	
Year	Other population characteristics	eligible/	lost to fu/	
Country	(diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed	Outcomes
Head to head controlled trials				
Buhler 1986	10 were not available for the crossover comparison because of: intercurrent disease (n=1), BP response deemed unsatisfactory by the investigator (n=3), and unwanted effects (n=6).	138/134/116	12/0/104	Baseline:bis/ baseline:ate (all NS) headache- 20:7/ 19:9 tiredness- 17:20/ 17:13 Nervousness- 17:10/ 10:8 Sleep problems- 18:11/ 15:10 Cold extremities- 14:13/ 16:12 Sweating- 12:9/ 11:11 Tingling sensations- 12:6/ 9:5 Feeling of weakness- 11:6/ 5:7 Dizziness- 11:3/ 8:7 Joint pain- 9:9/ 6:8 Depressed mood- 12:11/ 9:5 Sex problems- 5:7/ 6:4
Placebo controlled trials				
Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) Fair quality	Previous dug treatment = 66.2% Smokers = 14% Alcohol use (at least once a week) = 39.7%	10, 148 screened/878 eligible/878 randomized	181(20.6%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/697 analyzed	Per protocol analysis (pla n=232; ate n=238) (*negative score indicates improvement) *Total physical problems: pla=(-0.15); ate=(-0.14) *Overall psychological functioning: pla=(-0.14); ate=(-0.14) Overall life satisfaction: pla=(-0.04); ate=0.02 *Sexual physical problems: pla=(-0.12); ate=(-0.09) *Depression: pla=(-0.15); ate=(-0.14) *Anxiety: pla=(-0.14); ate=(-0.15) *Sleep disturbances: (-0.29); ate=(-0.26) *Fatigue: (-0.20); ate=(-0.15) Satisfaction with physical health: pla=0.21; ate=0.19 Sexual satisfaction: pla=(-0.14); ate=0.04
Fair quality				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 17 of 347

Author, Year Country	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Head to head controlled trials Buhler 1986	self- assessment questionnaire	Baseline:bis / baseline:ate (number), all NS headache- 20:7/ 19:9 tiredness- 17:20/ 17:13 Nervousness- 17:10/ 10:8 Sleep problems- 18:11/ 15:10 Cold extremities- 14:13/ 16:12 Sweating- 12:9/ 11:11 Tingling sensations- 12:6/ 9:5 Feeling of weakness- 11:6/ 5:7 Dizziness- 11:3/ 8:7 Joint pain- 9:9/ 6:8 Depressed mood- 12:11/ 9:5 Sex problems- 5:7/ 6:4	bis (1): dizziness ate (5): diarrhea, skin rash, asthmatic bronchitis, vertigo, headache
Placebo controlled trials Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States	NR	NR	NR
Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM) Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 18 of 347

Author, Year	Study		
Country	Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Placebo controlled trials			
Perez-Stable, 2000	Placebo- controlled	Patients with mild hypertension, defined as an average diastolic blood pressure between 90	Concomitant use of insulin, bronchodilators, antidepressants or antihypertensive medications within 1 month of screening; coronary
Fair quality		and 104 mm Hg on three readings taken during each of two screening visits 2 weeks apart; aged 18-59	artery disease, vascular heart disease, renal insufficiency, cerebrovascular disease, and secondary causes of hypertension

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 19 of 347

Author,		Allowed other		Age
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	medications/	Method of Outcome Assessment	Gender
Country	duration)	interventions	and Timing of Assessment	Ethnicity
Placebo controlled trials				_
Perez-Stable, 2000	Propranolol (pro) 80-400 mg daily $(n=156)$	NR	<u>Cognitive Function Test Battery</u> Stimulus Evaluation/Response Selection	Age: Pro=4; Pla=45 % male: Pro=67; Pla=66
Fair quality	Placebo (pla) (<i>n</i> =156)		Continuous Performance Task(CPT) Digit Symbol Substitution Task(DSST) California Veral Learning Test(CVLT) <u>Psychological Measures</u> Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale(CES-D) Beck Depression Inventory(BDI)	% White: Pro=76; Pla=71

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 20 of 347

Author,		Number screened/	Number withdrawn/	
Year	Other population characteristics	eligible/	lost to fu/	
Country	(diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed	Outcomes
Placebo controlled				
trials				
Perez-Stable, 2000	Current smokers: Pro=10%; Pla=11%	nr/nr/312	NR/NR/203	Mean changes in:
	Current daily drinkers of alcohol:			Selection reaction time(ms): pro=(-3); pla=(-10)
Fair quality	Pro=11%; Pla=12%			<u>CPT</u>
	Mean DBP: Pro=96; Pla=96			Reaction time(ms): pro=12; pla=6
	Mean SBP: Pro=140=Pla=141			Correct responses: pro=0; pla=0
				Commission errors: pro=(-1); pla=(-1)
				Omission errors: pro=0.1; pla=0.1
				DSST correct responses: pro=3; pla=5
				<u>CVLT</u>
				Monday total: pro=3; pla=1
				Tuesday list: pro=2; pla=0
				Short-delay free recall: pro=3; pla=2
				Short-delay cued recall: pro=4; pla=3
				Long-delay free recall: pro=5; pla=4
				Long-delay cued recall: pro=5; pla=2
				Recognition: pro=3; pla=3
				CES-D: pro=0; pla=0
				BDI: pro=(-1); pla=baseline value nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 21 of 347

Author, Year Country	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Placebo controlled trials			
Perez-Stable, 2000	NR	NR	NR

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 22 of 347

Author,			
Year	Study		
Country	Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Placebo controlled			
trials			
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984 Anonymous, 1985 Miall, 1987 Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b Anonymous, 1992 Lever, 1993 UK	Placebo- controlled Single blind	Mild hypertension Men and women; aged 35-64; with mild hypertension (diastolic BP 90-109 mm Hg, together with systolic pressure below 200 mm Hg)	Secondary hypertension; already on antihypertensive treatment; cardiac failure; MI or stroke within previous 3 months, angina; intermittent claudication; diabetes; gout; asthma; other serious disease; pregnancy
Medical Research Council (MRC) Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 23 of 347

Author,		Allowed other		Age
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	medications/	Method of Outcome Assessment	Gender
Country	duration)	interventions	and Timing of Assessment	Ethnicity
Placebo controlled trials				
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984 Anonymous, 1985 Miall, 1987 Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b Anonymous, 1992 Lever, 1993 UK	Propranolol (pro) up to 320 mg daily (n=4403) Bendrofluazide (ben) 10 mg daily (n=4297) Placebo (pla) (n=8654) with goal of maintaining DBP below 90 mm Hg x 5 years	Methydopa	Data for terminating events (e.g., strokes, coronary events, all cardiovascular events, and all cause mortality) were analyzed every six months	Mean age: pro=52; ben=52; pla=52 %male: pro=51.9; ben=52.1; pla=52.3 Race nr
Medical Research Council (MRC)				
Fair quality				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 24 of 347

Author, Year Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes
Placebo controlled trials				
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984	(Mean values for men/women) Body weight(kg): pro=81/70; pla=81/70	515,000 screened/46,3	nr/nr/17,354 analyzed	# events/rate per 1000 patient years Strokes: pro=42/1.9; pla=109/2.6
Anonymous, 1985	SBP(mm Hg): pro=158/165; pla=158/165	50	unary zea	Coronary events: pro=103/4.8; pla=234/5.5
Miall, 1987	DBP(mm Hg): pro=98/98; pla=98/98	eligible/17,35 4 enrolled		All cardiovascular events: pro=146/6.7; pla=352/8.2
Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b	% cigarette smokers: pro=30/25; pla=32/27 % with LV hypertrophy on ECG:	4 enroned		Non-cardiovascular deaths: pro=55/2.5; pla=114/2.7 All deaths: pro=120/5.5; pla=253/5.9
Anonymous, 1992	pro=0.3/0.2; pla=0.4/0.4			•
Lever, 1993	% with Q-wave abnormalities: pro=1.2/1.7;			
UK	pla=1.5/1.4 % with history of stroke: pro=0.7/0.7;			
Medical Research	pla=0.7/0.7			
Council (MRC)				
Fair quality				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 25 of 347

Author,	Method of		
Year	adverse effects		Withdrawals due to adverse events
Country	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	(%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Placebo controlled			
trials			
Anonymous, 1977	NR	NR	# patients/%
Greenberg, 1984			Impaired glucose tolerance: pro=43/0.98%;
Anonymous, 1985			pla=82/0.95%
Miall, 1987			Gout: pro=12/0.27%; pla=14/0.16%
Anonymous, 1988a			Impotence: pro=50/1.14%; pla=20/0.23%
Anonymous, 1988b			Raynaud's phenomenon: pro=75/1.70%;
Anonymous, 1992			pla=7/0.08%
Lever, 1993			Skin disorder: pro=21/0.48%; pla=7/0.08%
UK			Dyspnoea: pro=110/2.5%; pla=10/0.12%
			Lethargy: pro=104/2.36%; 13/0.15%
Medical Research			Nausea/dizziness/headache:
Council (MRC)			pro=103/2.34%; pla=49/0.57%
			Overall: pro=518/11.76%; pla=202/2.33%
Fair quality			•

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 26 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head controlled	Randomization described	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
trials					
Walle 1994	NR	NR	Unclear	Mean age=58 years 43.3% male Race NR	60
Sundar 1991	NR	NR	n/a-crossover	Mean age=NR 100% male 100% Indian	NR
Steiner 1990	NR	NR	NR	Baseline characteristics NR	489 screened, 360 eligible

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 27 of 347

Author, Year		Eligibility criteria	Outcome assessors	Care	Patient unaware of	Intention-to-treat (ITT)
Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	specified	blinded	provider blinded	treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Head to head controlled		-				
trials						
Walle 1994	Cardiiovascular diseases, such as angina pectoris, secondary hypertension, grade II or III AV block, heart failure, or a history of myocardial infarction (within 12 months); cerebrovascular ischemia: asthma/ chronic bronchitis; insulin-dependent diabetes; and malignancy or chronic disease requiring treatment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 13 (21.7%) excluded due to protocol violations
Sundar 1991	Patients with accociated conditions like moderate to severtr congestive infarction within 6 months, accelerated hypertension and those with severe gastrointestinal, renal or hepatie dysfunction were excluded	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear
Steiner 1990	Patients could not have major concomitant medical or mental problems or significant changes in living conditions (e.g., recent death of spouse), or require concomitant therapy that could confound the study results	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No; 16 (4.4%) were excluded due to protocol violations

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 28 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head controlled		Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differentia I/high		Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
trials							
Walle 1994	Unclear	Yes No No No	No No	Fair	NR	Yes	6 weeks
Sundar 1991	Unclear	Yes No No No	Unclear Unclear	Poor	NR	Yes	4 weeks
Steiner 1990	Unclear	Yes No No No	NR	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals Group	Yes	4 weeks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 29 of 347

Author, Year Country Head to head controlled trials	Randomization described	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Dahlof 1988	NR	NR	n/a-crossover	Mean age=54.4 66.2% male Race NR	NR
Blumenthal 1988	NR	NR	NR	Mean age=42.5 years 100% male 84.6% white 62% antihypertensive treatment naïve	26
Buhler 1986	NR	NR	n/a - crossover	Mean age=53.3 years 76.1% male Race NR	138

Page 30 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Head to head controlled trials Dahlof 1988	1. The patient had not followed the instructions to fill in and return the questionnaire on 3 occasions during the run-in period 2. The diastolic blood pressure <90mmHg or >105mmHg 3. Previous treatment with metoprolol or atenolol 4. AV-block 2 or 3 5. Non-compensated congestive heart failure 6. Insulin-treated diabetes 7. Bradycardia (heart rate <50 beats/min) 8. Bronchial asthma 9. Any serious concomitant illness or drug abuse which can interfere with the treatment 10. Unwillingness to participate in the study		Yes	Yes	Yes	No; excluded 3 patients (3.9%) due to AE's (1 patient in each group) and noncompliance (group NR)
Blumenthal 1988	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear
Buhler 1986	Patients were on other antihypertensive drugs, had contraindications for beta-blocker therapy, severe disease, or who were known for their poor compliance. Patients with impaired renal function, i.e., serum creatinine>150 umol/l, were also excluded.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No 30 (22.4%) were excluded due to BP limits or nondrug related problems

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 31 of 347

Author, Year Country		Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differentia		Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Head to head controlled							
trials Dahlof 1988	n/a - crossover	Yes No No No	No No	Fair	NR	Yes	6 weeks
Blumenthal 1988	NR	No No No No	NR NR	Poor	John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, National Institutes of Health greants HL30675, HS31514, and AG04238, and	Yes	2 weeks
5.11	V				a grant (RO7233) from the US Public Health Services	v	
Buhler 1986	Yes N=104 Mean age=53.3 82.7% male		No No	Fair	NR	Yes	8 weeks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 32 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Placebo controlled trials					
Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States	NR	NR	NR	Mean age=49 56% male	878 randomized 697 analyzed
Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM)					
Perez-Stable, 2000	Adequate: computer-generated list of random numbers	NR	No; statistically significant differences between the two groups on two tests of cognitive function	Fair Mean age=45.5; 66.5% male	312
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984 Anonymous, 1985 Miall, 1987 Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b Anonymous, 1992 Lever, 1993 Medical Research Council	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age 52 52.1% male	515,000 screened 46,350 eligible 17,354 enrolled
(MRC)					
UK					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 33 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Placebo controlled trials						
Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States	History of myocardial infarction, stroke, or asthma, or a serum creatinine level of 177 mmol/d or greater, insulin-dependent diabetes, allergy to thiazides or beta-blockers, pregnancy, or likelihood of difficulty in complying with the interventions	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM)						
Perez-Stable, 2000	Concomitant use of insulin, bronchodilators, antidepressants or antihypertensive medications within 1 month of screening; coronary artery disease, vascular heart disease, renal insufficiency, cerebrovascular disease, and secondary causes of hypertension	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984 Anonymous, 1985 Miall, 1987 Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b Anonymous, 1992 Lever, 1993	Secondary hypertension; already on antihypertensive treatment; cardiac failure; MI or stroke within previous 3 months, angina; intermittent claudication; diabetes; gout; asthma; other serious disease; pregnancy	Yes	Yes; assessed by an arbitrator ignorant of the treatment regimen	Yes	Yes	Yes
Medical Research Council (MRC)						
UK						

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 34 of 347

Author, Year		Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence,	Loss to follow-up: differentia			Control group	Length of
Country	groups	and contamination	l/high	Score	Funding	standard of care	follow-up
Placebo controlled trials							
Oberman, 1990 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1991 Wassertheil-Smoller, 1992 United States	NR	Attrition: 181(20.6%); compliance(% of patients taking > 80% of the pills): 92%; others NR	None	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals; A.H Robins; National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute	Yes	6 months
Trial of Antihypertensive Interventions and Management (TAIM)							
Perez-Stable, 2000	NR	45% attrition; others NR	NR	Fair	Public Health Services Grants	Yes	12 months
Anonymous, 1977 Greenberg, 1984 Anonymous, 1985 Miall, 1987 Anonymous, 1988a Anonymous, 1988b Anonymous, 1992 Lever, 1993	NR	Attrition due to primary and adverse events reported; others NR	NR	Fair	Duncan, Flockhart and Co Ltd; Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd; CIBA Laboratories; Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd	Yes	5 years
Medical Research Council (MRC)							
UK							

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 35 of 347

Author			
Year Country			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Head to Head trials			
Chieffo 1986 Italy	Patients with comorbid essential hypertension (WHO Classes I-II) and stable angina pectoris	Severe bradycardia (< 50 beats per minute); congestive heart failure; myocardial infarction less than three months before the start of the trial; asthma and renal insufficiency	Labetalol 200 mg + chlorthalidone 20 mg (lab+chl) daily (n=5) Atenolol 100 mg + chlorthalidone 25 mg (ate+chl) (n=5) x 8 weeks
Fair quality RCT			
Dorow 1990	Outpatients aged between 41 and 67 years, suffering from angina pectoris due to coronary artery disease and concomitant reversible, chronic	Unstable angina or angina at rest; myocardial infarction within the last 6 months; heart failure with or without digitalis treatment; arterial hypertension with supine	Atenolol (ate) 50 mg daily Bisoprolol (bis) 5 mg daily x 6 months
Fair quality RCT Crossover	obstructive bronchitis; three angina attacks per week over the last three months (with or without therapy)	diastolic blood pressure values under a thiazide diuretic of >/= 105 mm Hg; cardiac arrhythmias requiring treatment; bronchial asthma; restrictive airway disease; pulmonary hypertension; diseases that could impair the implementations of bicycle ergometry	
Frishman 1979 United States	Patients with angina pectoris due to ischemic coronary artery disease as documented by coronary angiography or previous MI; positive	Co-existent valvular heart disease, congestive heart failure, hypertension, bronchial asthma requiring continued treatment with bronchodilators, severe	Pindolol (pin) 10-40 mg daily (n=23) Propranolol (pro) 40-240 mg daily
Fair quality RCT	treadmill exercise test showing at least a 1 mm ECG ST segment depression of the ischemic type in association with typical angina pectoris pain; at least 5 attacks of angina pectoris/2 weeks for three months with no evidence for an accelerated course	bradycardia, intermittent claudication, and either myocardial infarction or a coronary artery bypass within 3 months	(n=18) x 8 weeks

Page 36 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Head to Head trials				
Chieffo 1986 Italy	sl ntg	Patient daily record	Mean age=56.8 100% male Race nr	NR
Fair quality RCT				
Dorow	Diuretics	Method of measurement of	Mean age: 55	% Smokers: 17.6
1990	Short-acting and other nitrates	'Frequency of angina pectoris attacks' nr	% Male: 82.5 Race nr	% Coronary artery disease: 100 % angina pectoris pretreatment: 80
Fair quality	Bronchodilators	attacks iii	Race III	% MI in case history: 20
RCT Crossover	Inhaled corticoids Antibiotics Mucolytics Expectorants			% pathological exercise ECG: 100
Frishman	Nitroglycerin	Patient daily record	Mean age: 55	Diagnosis of coronary artery disease
1979 United States		Treadmill (protocol nr)	85.4% male Race nr	Coronary angiography: 80.5%
Fair quality RCT				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 37 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Head to Head trials				
Chieffo 1986 Italy Fair quality RCT	NR/NR/10	NR/NR/10 analyzed	Effect on angina(# patients with reduced frequency on both 'daily incidence of angina attacks' and 'dosage of sublingual nitroglycerin'): lab+chl=4/5(80%); ate+chl=3/5(60%)	NR
Dorow 1990 Fair quality	NR/NR/40	0 withdrawn/1 lost/40 analyzed	Angina attacks/week(% decrease in mean): ate=(-82.8%); bis=(-64.3%)	NR
Frishman 1979 United States Fair quality RCT	NR/NR/40	NR/NR/40 analyzed	Angina attacks/2 weeks(% reduction):pin=(-41.8%); pro=(-47.0%) Exercise tolerance(% increase in mets): pin=(+21.2%); pro=(+18.5%)	NR

Page 38 of 347

Author Year Country		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,	_
Study Design	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Head to Head trials			
Chieffo 1986 Italy	NR	NR	Comorbid HTN
Fair quality RCT Dorow	NR	NR	
1990	INK	INK	
Fair quality RCT Crossover			
Frishman 1979 United States	Overall incidence: pin=4/23(17.4%); pro=17/18(94.4%)	NR	
Office States	Pindolol		
Fair quality RCT	Nasal stuffiness=1/23(4.3%) Nocturia=1/23(4.3%) Impotence=1/23(4.3%)		
	Palpitations=1/23(4.3%)		
	Propranolol Rash=1/18(5.5%) Blurred vision=2/18(11.1%) Fatigue=8/18(44.4%) Dyspnea on exertion=1/18(5.5%) Mild hypotension=5/18(27.8%)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 39 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Head to Head			
trials			
van der Does	Male or female (postmenopausal or using reliable	Contraindications to study drugs/exercise testing; other	Carvedilol (car) 100 mg daily
1999	contraceptive methods) treated or untreated	forms of angina pectoris (vasospastic, unstable);	(n=247)
Europe	patients (=80 years) with chronic angina pectoris, stable for at least preceding 2 months</td <td>MI/cardiac surgery within 3 months; main stem stenosis; ventricular aneurysm; marked left ventricular</td> <td>Metoprolol (met) 200 mg daily (n=120) x 3 months</td>	MI/cardiac surgery within 3 months; main stem stenosis; ventricular aneurysm; marked left ventricular	Metoprolol (met) 200 mg daily (n=120) x 3 months
Fair quality	(symptomatic upon exertion and responsive to ntg	hypertrophy; hypertrophic subaortic stenosis;	
RCT	and/or rest); documented coronary heart disease	hemodynamically relevant vascular defects;	
	either by previous angiography (>70% narrowing	decompensated cardiac failure; orthostasis;	
	of a major coronary vessel) or MI	phlebothrombosis; disorders of impulse	
	(electrocardiogram or cardiac enzymes), or a	formation/conduction (resting heart rate <45 beats/min,	
	previous positive exercise test with occurrence of	bundle brach block, pacemaker); obstructive airways	
	angina and ST-segment depression; capable of	disease; insulin-dependent DM; relevant hepatic	
	performing upright bicycle ergometric exercise	impairment; gross obesity; alcohol/drug abuse; epilepsy;	
	tests; not to be at risk while temporarily receiving	concomitant drugs interfering with study objectives (e.g.,	
	placebo	other antianginal agents); other clinical study	
		participation within 30 days	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 40 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Head to Head				
trials van der Does	Nitrates	Erect bicycle ergometric exercise	Mean age: car=62; met=61	%smokers: car=14; met=19
1999	Nitrates	Lieet dicycle ergometric exercise	%male: car=72; met=71	%systemic hypertension: car=38; met=33
Europe			Race nr	%diabetes mellitus: car=15; met=13
1				%dyslipidemia: car=32; met=31
Fair quality				%anterior MI: car=9; met=11
RCT				%posterior MI: car=18; met=17
				%positive angiography: car=23; met=22
				%1-vessel disease: car=13; met=10
				%2-vessel disease: car=5; met=8
				%3-vessel disease: car=5; met=3

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 41 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Head to Head				
trials van der Does	mr/202 omrallad/269	26 with drawn/last mm/2/1/ analyzed for office av	Por protocol analysis, sor—221, mat—112	Valuntaarad by subjects
1999	randomized	36 withdrawn/lost nr/344 analyzed for efficacy	Mean change in total exercise time(s):	Volunteered by subjects or observed by
Europe	randomized		car=(+60); met=(+60)	investigator were
1			Mean change in time to angina(s):	recorded regardless of
Fair quality			car=(+77); met=(+76)	their nature and
RCT				regardless of whether a
				causal relation to study
				medication was
				assumed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 42 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Head to Head			
trials			
van der Does	car n=248; met n=120	AE withdrawals: car=18; met=6	
1999	Any adverse event: car=25%; met=30%		
Europe			
	Most common AE's, n(%)		
Fair quality	Dizziness: car=12(4.8), met=6(5.0)		
RCT	Bronchitis: car=9(3.6); met=3(2.5)		
	Asthenia: car=8(3.2); met=3(2.5)		
	Headache: car=8(3.2); met=4(3.3)		
	Back pain: car=6(2.4); met=2(1.7)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 43 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Head to Head			
trials			
Narahara	Patients of either sex who were > 30 years of age;	Contraindications to beta blockade including sinus	Betaxolol 20 mg once daily
1990	history of stable angina pectoris of > 3 months'	bradycardia (<50 beats/min), greater than first-degree	Betaxolol 40 mg once daily
United States	duration; reproducible exercise-induced angina in	atrioventricular block, congestive heart failure, asthma,	Propranolol 40 mg 4 times daily
	conjunction with ≥ 1 mm of horizontal or	peripheral vascular disease or insulin-dependent diabetes;	Propranolol 80 mg 4 times daily x
Fair quality	downsloping ST-segment depression measured	women of child-bearing potential and patients with	10 weeks
	0.08 second after the J point	unstable angina pectoris or a myocardial infarction within	
		the preceding 3 months	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 44 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Head to Head				
trials	0.11: 1	D (1)	M (1	H
Narahara	Sublingual	Patient diary used to measure (1)	Mean age=61	History of prior $MI = 42\%$
1990	nitroglycerin	angina frequency; and (2)	21.4% female	History of coronary angiography = 59%
United States		nitroglycerin consumption	92.9% white	Coronary angiography patients with NYHA
				functional Class II = 82%
Fair quality		Treadmill exercise testing		Coronary angiography patients with NYHA
1 5		(modified Naughton protocol)		functional Class III = 17%
		used to measure (1) exercise		Tunovional Class III 1770
		. ,		
		duration; and (2) time to angina		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 45 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Head to Head		-		
trials				
Narahara	nr/nr/112	20(17.8%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/90 analyzed	Mean number of angina attacks (%	NR
1990		for angina attacks and nitroglycerin tablet use;	reduction)	
United States		82 analyzed for exercise variables	Betaxolol 20=60	
			Betaxolol 40=77	
Fair quality			Propranolol 160=57	
			Propranolol 320=70	
			NS	
			Nitroglycerin tablets/week (% reduction)	
			Betaxolol 20=48	
			Betaxolol 40=73	
			Propranolol 160=59	
			Propranolol 320=55	
			NS	
			Exercise duration (% increase in minutes)	
			Betaxolol 20=14	
			Betaxolol 40=15	
			Propranolol 160=21	
			Propranolol 320=14	
			NS	

Page 46 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Head to Head trials			
Narahara 1990 United States	Overall side effects (considered to be due to drug therapy): B20=50%; B40=37%; P160=42%; P320=45%	NR	
Fair quality	# patients; sample sizes nr Fatigue: B20=1; B40=3; P160=4; P320=3 Increased sweating: B20=0; B40-3; P160=0; P320=0 Headache: B20=2; B40=0; P160=2; P320=0 Parasthesia: B20=0; B40=0; P160=0; P320=0 Diarrhea: B20=2; B40=0; P160=0; P320=0 Dyspepsia: B20=0; B40=2; P160=0; P320=0 Tinnitus: B20=2; B40=0; P160=0; P320=0 Angina: B20=0; B40=0; P16-2; P320=0 Depression: B20=0; B40=2; P160=0; P320=0 Dyspnea: B20=0; B40=2; P160=0; P320=0 Abnormal vision: B20=0; B40=2; P160=0; P320=0		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 47 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	
Head to Head trials Frishman 1989 United States	Patients with documented stable angina pectoris and mild to moderate hypertension	Patients with coexistent valvular heart disease, congestive heart failure, bronchial asthma, severe bradycardia (resting heart rate less than 50 beats/min), intermittent	Labetalol (lab) 200-1600 mg daily Propranolol (pro) 80-640 mg daily x 4 months	
Poor quality RCT		claudication, myocardial infarction within 3 months, and age above 70 years or under 18 years	4 months	
Placebo controlled trials				
Destors 1989 Europe Fair Quality RCT	Male and female patients who were less than 70 years of age were considered for the study if they had coronary heart disease with chronic angina stabilized for at least 3 months. Women could be included if menopausal for at least 2 years or exhibiting coronary lesions at angiography. Demonstration of at least 8 attacks of angina during the last 14 days or 5 attacks of angina during the last 7 days of the 2-8 week washout period.	Suffering exclusively at rest or had nocturnal attacks; angina pectoris not secondary to atherosclerosis; unstable angina pectoris; so called Prinzmetal's angina or myocardial infarction within the past 6 months; inability to assess pain and fill in diary cards; any contraindication to either active treatment; liver or kidney conditions likely to modify drug metabolism or all reasons preventing close compliance to study protocol	Bepridil (bep) 100-400 mg daily Propranolol (pro) 60-240 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 24 weeks	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 48 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design Head to Head	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
trials Frishman 1989 United States Poor quality RCT	HCTZ 50 mg daily (if standing DBP > 100 mm Hg)	Treadmill ergometer exercise tests (Bruce protocol) Patient diary	Center 1 Mean age: lab=58; pro=57 Gender (%male): lab=66.7; pro=100 Race nr Center 2 Mean age: lab=51; pro=58 Gender(%male): lab=100; pro=100% Race nr	NR
Placebo controlled trials Destors 1989 Europe Fair Quality RCT	sl short-acting trinitrin	Bicycle ergometer x wks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20 & 24 Patient diary cards x wks 8, 24	Mean age: pla=54.3; pro=56.1 % Male: pla=57.1; pro=73.1 Race nr	History of MI: pla=31.4%; pro=37.2% Positive ECG for exercise: pla=77.1%; pro=76.9% Positive ECG for attacks: pla=57.1%; pro=56.4% Angina duration(mos): pla=69.6; pro=66.6 Mean weekly attacks: pla=10.3; pro=12.4 Mean curative ntg tablets/wk: pla=10.6; pro=12.6 Mean preventive ntg tablets/wk: pla=2.6; pro=3.0 Mean attack-free days/wk: pla=1.2; pro=1.5 Mean exercise test duration(min): pla=9.3; pro=9.7

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 49 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Head to Head trials Frishman 1989 United States Poor quality RCT	NR/NR/41	12 withdrawn/1 lost to fu/34 analyzed for efficacy	Total exercise time (%D in sec) Center 1: lab=(+7); pro=(+12) Center 2: lab=(+23); pro=(+40) Time to angina onset(%D in sec) Center 1: lab=(+29); pro=(+38) Center 2: lab=(+58); pro=(+66) Number of patients with angina endpoint(D%) Center 1: lab=(-67); pro=(-63) Center 2: lab=(-38); pro=(-50)	Questioned generally about occurrence of adverse events specifically regarding occurrence of dyspnea, palpitations, sexual dysfunction, GI disturbances and dizziness
Destors 1989 Europe Fair Quality RCT	NR/NR/191	38 withdrawals/15 lost to fu/analyzed 191	Angina attacks/week(% reduction) Week 8: pla=(-49%); pro=(-65%) Week 24: pla=(-77%); pro=(-71%) Ntg consumption(% reduction) Week 8: pla=(-57%); pro=(-73%) Week 24: pla=(-79%); pro=(-74%) Number of attack-free days Week 8: pla=190; pro=193 Week 24: pla=270; pro=204 Total work(mean % increase): Week 8: pla=13%; pro=48% Week 24: pla=20%; pro=50% Maximum workload(mean % increase): Week 8: pla=6%; pro=27% Week 24: pla=14%; pro=30% Exercise duration(mean % increase): Week 8: pla=7%; pro=22% Week 24: pla=8%; pro=24%	NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 50 of 347

Α	u	th	or

Year		Withdrawals due to	
Country		adverse events (%,	
Study Design	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Head to Head			
trials			
Frishman	NR	NR	Center 1 measured exercise parameters
1989			at or close to peak drug effect
United States			Center 2 measured exercise parameters
			at or close to trough drug effect
Poor quality			
RCT			

Placebo controlled trials

Destors	Number of patients with:	Death due to
1989	Hypotension: pla=1; pro=4	MI(# pts): pla=0; pro=1
Europe	Bronchospasm: pla=1; pro=1	CVA(# pts): pla=1; pro=1
	Allergic reaction: pla=0; pro=1	
Fair Quality	Raynaud phenomenon: pla=0; pro=1	Severe clinic events(# pts):
RCT	Fatigue: pla=2; pro=14	pla=1; pro=2
	Psychiatric problems: pla=1; pro=2	Adverse reaction(# pts): pla=0;
	Gastrointestinal problems: pla=2; pro=10	pro=1
	Other: pla=1; pro=6	
	Any: pla=6; pro=23	
	Severe coronary events(cardiac death, MI, angina	
	deterioration): pla=2(5.7%); pro=8(10.2%)	
	Development of heart failure/AV block/rhythm	
	disturbances: pla=0; pro=5	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 51 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Head to head controlled trials					
Frishman 1989 United States	NR	NR	Not clear	Good mean age=56 91.2% male	34
van der Does 1999 Europe	Block randomization (sets of 6); method of sequence generation nr	NR	Yes	Good mean age >55 higher %male	393 enrolled 368 randomized
Narahara 1990 United States	nr	nr	yes	yes	112
Dorow 1990	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Sample of patients cormorbid with chronic obstructive bronchitis	40

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 52 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Head to head controlled trials						
Frishman 1989 United States	Coexistent valvular heart disease, congestive heart failure, bronchial asthma, severe bradycardia (resting heart rate less than 50 beats/min), intermittent claudication, myocardial infarction within 3 months, and age above 70 years or under 18 years	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
van der Does 1999 Europe	Contraindications to study drugs or exercise testing; other forms of angina pectoris (vasospastic, unstable); myocardial infarction or cardiac surgery within 3 months; main stem stenosis; ventricular aneurysm; marked left ventricular hypertrophy; hypertrophic subaortic stenosis; hemodynamically relevant vascular defects; decompensated cardiac failure; orthostasis; phlebothrombosis; disorders of impulse formation/conduction (e.g., resting heart rate <45 beats/min, bundle brach block, pacemaker); obstructive airways disease; insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus; relevant hepatic impairment; gross obesity; alcohol or drug abuse; epilepsy; concomitant drugs interfering with the study objectives (e.g., other antianginal agents); participation in another clinical study within 30 days	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Narahara 1990 United States	Contraindications to beta blockade including sinus bradycardia (<50 beats/min), greater than first-degree atrioventricular block, congestive heart failure, asthma, peripheral vascular disease or insulin-dependent diabetes; women of child-bearing potential and patients with unstable angina pectoris or a myocardial infarction within the preceding 3 months	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Dorow 1990	Unstable angina or angina at rest; myocardial infarction within the last 6 months; heart failure with or without digitalis treatment; arterial hypertension with supine diastolic blood pressure values under a thiazide diuretic of >/= 105 mm Hg; cardiac arrhythmias requiring treatment; bronchial asthma; restrictive airway disease; pulmonary hypertension; diseases that could impair the implementations of bicycle ergometry	Yes	nr	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 53 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: Differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Head to head controlled trials							
Frishman 1989 United States	NR	Attrition reported; other nr	No	Poor	In part by Schering Plough	- Yes	4 months
van der Does 1999 Europe	NR	Attrition reported; other nr	NR	Fair	Boehringer Mannheim	Yes	3 months
Narahara 1990 United States	nr	Yes No No No	No No	Fair	Lorex Pharmaceuticals	Yes	10 weeks
Dorow 1990	N/A	Attrition and compliance reported; others nr	None	Fair	NR	Yes	1 year

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 54 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Head to head controlled trials					
Frishman 1979 United States	NR	NR	Baseline comparisons nr. Run-in mean attack frequencies (95% CI): pin=18.4(17.4-19.4); pro=28.5(26.4-30.6)	Good mean age=55 85.4% male	40 enrolled
Chieffo 1986 Italy	NR	NR	NR	Cormorbid hypertension and angina Good mean age=56.8 100% male	10 enrolled
Placebo controlled trials Destors 1989 Europe	NR	NR	Yes	Good mean age=55.3 66.5% male	191 enrolled

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 55 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Head to head controlled trials						
Frishman 1979 United States	Co-existent valvular heart disease, congestive heart failure, hypertension, bronchial asthma requiring continued treatment with bronchodilators, severe bradycardia, intermittent claudication, and either myocardial infarction or a coronary artery bypass within 3 months	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chieffo 1986 Italy	Severe bradycardia (< 50 beats per minute); congestive heart failure; myocardial infarction less than three months before the start of the trial; asthma and renal insufficiency	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Placebo controlled	i					
Destors 1989 Europe	Suffering exclusively at rest or had Nocturnal attacks; angina pectoris Not secondary to atherosclerosis; unstable angina pectoris; so called Prinzmetal's angina or myocardial infarction within the past 6 months; inability to assess pain and fill in diary cards; any contraindication to either active treatment; liver or kidney conditions likely to modify drug metabolism or all reasons preventing close compliance to study protocol	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 56 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: Differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up	
Head to head controlled trials								
Frishman 1979 United States	NR	NR	NR	Fair	Sandoz, Inc.	Yes	8 weeks	
Chieffo 1986 Italy	NR	NR	NR	Fair	NR	Yes	8 weeks	
Placebo controlled trials Destors 1989 Europe	NR	Attrition and compliance reported; others nr	7.8% at week 24	Fair	NR	Yes	24 weeks	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 57 of 347

Author				
Year	Study Design		Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country Placebo controlled trials	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Anonymous (MACB Study	RCT	Patients referred for CABG	Simultaneous valve surgery	Metoprolol (met) 200 mg daily (n =480) Placebo (n =487) x 2 years
Group) 1995 Sweden				Treatment interval: 5-21 days post-CABG
Fair quality				
Sjoland	RCT	All CABG patients at 15 regional	n = 1398 excluded	n= 967
1995 Sweden Poor quality		hospitals in 3 year period	Simultaneous valve surgery = 261(19%) No informed consent = 254 (18%) Need beta blockade = 194 (14%) Age over 75 = 170 (12%) Systolic blood pressure<100 mm Hg = 57 (4%) Severe obstructive pulmonary disease = 62 (4%) In other randomized trials = 61 (4%) Death = 42 (3%) Heart rate < 45 beats/min, severe heart failure, poor peripheral circulation, advanced atrioventricular block	metoprolol (met): 100 mg/day x 2 wks, then 200 mg/day x 2 yrs vs. placebo (pla) x 2 yrs
			or previous participation in study = $87 (6\%)$ Other = $387 (28\%)$	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 58 of 347

Author Year Country	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Placebo controlled trials				(magnesse, essy
Anonymous (MACB Study Group) 1995 Sweden Fair quality	Aspirin 250 mg daily Dipyridamole TID Angina: Long-acting nitrates, Calcium channel blockers Hypertension: thiazide diuretic, calcium channel blocker, ACE inhibitor Supraventricular arrhythmias: digitalis, disopyramide, calcium antagonist Ventricular arrhythmias: class I anti-arrhythmic drug	Endpoints: Ischemic events including death, myocardial infarction, development of unstable angina pectoris, need for coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty	%male: met=84; pla=87	Previous history of(%): Angina: met=20.4; pla=20.1 Functional class I: met=0.4; pla=0.4 Functional class II: met=2.5; pla=2.5 Functional class III: met=11.9; pla=12.1 Functional class IV: met=6.0; pla=5.5 Duration of angina (median months): met=36; pla=39 MI: met=11.5; pla=12.5 Hypertension: met=6.9; pla=6.2 Diabetes: met=2.7; pla=2.3 CHF: met=2.9; pla=2.7 CABG: met=0.8; pla=1.0 PTCA: met=1.5; pla=1.0 Smokers: met=2.3; pla=2.5 Ex-smokers: met=12.7; pla=12.5
Sjoland 1995 Sweden Poor quality	Calcium antagonists, long-acting nitrates, diuretics for heart failure, digitalis, other treatment for heart failure, antihypertensives, antiarrhythmics, acetylsalicylic acid, anticoagulation	Exercise test after 2 years	Mean age ≥ 65 = (46%) Mean age < 65 =(54%) % male = 85 Race: NR	•

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 59 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Placebo		y			
controlled trials					
Anonymous (MACB Study Group) 1995 Sweden	2365/2365/967	Total withdrawn: met=165(34%); pla=212(44%) Lost nr Analyzed: met=480; pla=487	Mortality: met=16(3.3%); pla=9(1.8%) Infarct development: met=9(1.9%); pla=10(2.1%) Development of unstable angina pectoris: met=14(2.9%); pla=17(3.5%) Need for CABG: met=2(0.4%); pla=1(0.2%) Need for PTCA=1(0.2%); pla=2(0.4%) Total endpoints: met=42(8.8%); pla=39(8.0%)	NR	NR
Sjoland 1995 Sweden	2291 (74 died before screen) 2365 eligible	Withdrawn = 193/967 (20%) Lost (admin) =	Exercise capacity (median): met = 130W pla = 140W (p=0.02)	NR	Cardiac events (total): met = 19/307 (6%) pla = 19/311 (6%)
Sweden	CABG	148/967 (15%)	pia = 140 W (p=0.02)		pia = 19/311 (0/0)
Poor quality	967 enrolled	Lost $(nr) = 8/967$	Angina pectoris at exercise:		Hypotension:
		(1%)	met = 48/306 (16%)		met = 6/307 (2%)
		Analyzed = 618/967 (64%)	pla = 33/311 (11%)		pla = 4/311 (1%)
		,	Terminated exercise due to chest pain:		Bradycardia:
			met =18/307 (6%)		met = 7/307 (2%)
			pla = 10/311 (3%)		pla = 1/311 (0.3%)
			Subjective symptom means: Effort (1-10): met = 7.6; pla = 7.4 Dyspnoea (0-10): met = 6.6; pla = 6.5 Chest pain (0-10): met = 1.1; pla = 0.6 (p=0.001)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 60 of 347

Year Withdrawals due to adverse Country events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)

Placebo

controlled trials

Anonymous Bradycardia: met=12(2%); pla=4(0.8%)

(MACB Study (p=0.05)

Group) Hypotension: met=6(1%); pla=11(2%)

1995 (NS)

Sweden Congestive heart failure: met=13(3%);

pla=6(1%) (NS)

Fair quality Poor peripheral circulation: met=8(2%);

pla=13(3%)

Atrioventricular block II/III: met=1(0.2%); pla=1(0.2%)

Severe obstructive pulmonary disease:

met=6(1%); pla=4(0.8%)

Sjoland

NR

1995 Sweden

Poor quality

Page 61 of 347

Evidence Table 3a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for coronary artery bypass graft

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Anonymous (MACB Study Group) 1995	NR	NR	Yes	Median age=64 85.5% male	967
Sjoland 1995	NR	NR	No; patients in met group significantly older than those in pla group (p=0.02)	Mean age NR 86.6% male	618

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 62 of 347

Evidence Table 3a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for coronary artery bypass graft

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Anonymous (MACB Study Group) 1995	Simultaneous valve surgery	Minimal	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sjoland 1995	Simultaneous valve surgery = 261(19%) No informed consent = 254 (18%) Need beta blockade = 194 (14%) Age over 75 = 170 (12%) Systolic blood pressure<100 mm Hg = 57 (4%) Severe obstructive pulmonary disease = 62 (4%) In other randomized trials = 61 (4%) Death = 42 (3%) Heart rate < 45 beats/min, severe heart failure, poor peripheral circulation, advanced atrioventricular block or previous participation in study = 87 (6%) Other = 387 (28%)	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 63 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 3a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for coronary artery bypass graft

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Anonymous (MACB Study Group) 1995	NR	Attrition=38.9%; others NR	NR	Fair	NR	Yes	2 years
Sjoland 1995	NR	Attrition=36.1%; others NR	NR	Poor	NR	Yes	2 years

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 64 of 347

Study

Author,

Evidence Table 4. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for post myocardial infarction

Year Country	Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Head to head controlled trials				
Wilcox 1980 UK Fair quality	RCT	Clinical diagnosis of suspected MI within the previous 24 hours	Already taking a beta blocker; severe heart failure; sinus bradycardia of under 40 beats per minute; in second or third degree heart block; systolic BP of >90 mm Hg; history of asthma or diabetes; residence too far away.	Propranolol (pro) 120-160 mg daily Atenolol (ate) 100 mg daily Placebo x one year Treatment initiated within 24 hours post-MI
Jonsson 2005 Norway	Open RCT	Age 18-80 w/chest pain for more than 30 mins consistent with acute MI if admitted to hospital w/in 24hrs after onset with diagnosis confirmed by significant increase in cardiac enzymes with or without EKG changes.	Use of beta blockers during 3 mos preceding trial, history of cardiomyopathy, myopericarditis, cardiac surgery (w/in 1 mo of trial), bradycardia, hypotension, AV block grade 2-3, severe COPD, hemodynamically significant valvular defects including aortic stenosis, SBP <100 or >220 mmHg or DBP >120 mmgHg, Killip class 4 shock or heart failure, renal failure w/serum creatinine >160 mmol/L, hepatic impairment or platelet count <100,000 or white cell count <2000. Patients <18 or	atenolol 12.5mg bid titrated to 50mg bid by 6 wks carvedilol 6.25mg bid titrated to 25mg bid by 6 wks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 65 of 347

consent.)

>80 yrs also excluded as were patients with any routine regulatory reason (participating in another study, drug contraindication, risk of teratogen effect, alcohol or drug abuse, psychatric disorder, serious concomitant disease, cancer or inability to give

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Head to head controlled trials			
Wilcox 1980 UK Fair quality	NR	Clinic visits at 3-month intervals Cause of death was established from hospital and general practitioners' records and from postmortem reports	Mean age(% patients) <35 yrs: pro=3.8; ate=3.9; pla=2.3 -45 yrs: pro=12.9; ate=10.2; pla=16.3 -55 yrs: pro=33.3; ate=35.4; pla=31.0 -65 yrs: pro=32.6; ate=27.6; pla=31.0 >65 yrs: pro=17.4; ate=22.8; pla=19.4 % male: Pro=84%; Ate=89%; Pla=81% Race: NR
Jonsson 2005 Norway	Statins Aspirin Warfarin Diuretics ACE inhibitor/ARB	Hospital and clinic assessments weekly weeks 1-6; clinic assessment month 3 and 12 CV endpoints evaluated by investigators and controlled by blinded endpoint committee	<u>Carvedilol</u> 59.5 (SD 11.2) yrs 85% male 93% white <u>Atenolol</u> 61.7 (SD 11.4) yrs 71% male 93% white

Page 66 of 347

		Number	Number
Author,		screened/	withdrawn/
Year		eligible/	lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed

Head to head controlled trials

Wilcox Hypertension: Pro=11%; Ate=10%; Pla=15% 662 screened/388 Withdrawn=171(44. 1980 Angina: Pro=27%; Ate=31%; Pla=24% eligible/388 1%)

UK Infarction: Pro=21%; Ate=16%; Pla=19% randomized /lost to fu NR

Drugs being taken for cardiovascular system: Pro=14%; Ate=14%; /analyzed=388

Fair quality Pla=20%

Drugs taken for other purposes: Pro=14%; Ate=14%; Pla=11%

Jonsson *Previous MI:* Car=6%; Ate=6% 2005 *Angina:* Car=55%; Ate=54% Norway *Hypertension:* Car=20%; Ate=19%

Hypertension: Car=20%; Ate=19% Hyperlipidemia: Car=9%; Ate=11%

Additional medications:

aspirin: Car 89%; Ate 95% (p=0.044) warfarin + aspirin: Car 7%; Ate 1% (p=0.022) diuretics: Car 8%; Ate 21% (p=0.004)

NSD between groups for use of warfarin (4% both groups), ACE

inhibitors/ARBs (27;33%) or statins (97%; 98%)

Page 67 of 347

nr/nr/232

11/nr/232 (safety

analysis; unclear if

this is the same for

efficacy analysis)

Author, Year Country	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Head to head controlled trials			
Wilcox 1980 UK Fair quality	Mortality At 6 weeks: pro=10(7.5%); ate=11(8,6%); pla=15(11.6%) At 1 year: pro=17(12.9%); ate=19(14.9%); pla=19(14.7%)	Side effects separately recorded as either volunteered or elicited	NR
Jonsson 2005 Norway	CV events Time to first serious CV event - unadjusted analysis Car vs Ate RR 0.88 (95% CI59-1.30; p=0.524) Adujsted for diuretic use Car vs Ate RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.6-1.5; p=0.990) LVEF at 12 mos Car 57.1%; Ate 56.0% (p=NS)	Clinical exams and information on all AEs registered at every visit	No serious AEs reported Cold hands/feet: Car 20%; Ate 33.3% (p=0.025) Other AEs: NSD between groups for the following: dizziness, dyspnea, fatigue, muscle pain, flatulence, insomnia, atrial fibrillation, depression, nausea, coughing, ancle edema, anxity, impotence, nightmare occurrence, hyperhydrosis, constipation, diarrhea, skin reaction,

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 68 of 347

dyspepsia

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Head to head controlled trials

Wilcox Withdrawals due to(# pts/%):

1980 *Hypotension:* pro=14(10.6%); ate=18(14.2%);

UK pla=2(1.6%)

Bradycardia: pro=8(6.1%); ate=9(7.1%); pla=3(2.3%)

Fair quality 2nd degree heart block: pro=3(2.3%); ate=1(0.8%);

pla=2(1.6%)

3rd degree heart block: pro=1(0.7%); ate=4(3.1%);

pla=2(1.6%)

Heart failure: pro=7(5.3%); ate=3(2.4%); pla=8(6.2%)

Asthma: pro=1(0.7%); ate=0; pla=0

Other: pro=10(7.5%); ate=16(12.6%); pla=23(17.8%)

Jonsson NR

2005 Norway

Page 69 of 347

Author, Year Country Acebutolol vs placebo	Study Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Boissel	RCT	At least 2 of the following risk factors:	Heart rate <45 beats/min; complete	Acebutolol 400 mg daily
1990 France		(1) Typical chest pain of ≥ 1 hour in duration, typical Q waves and significant release of cardiac enzyme(s)	auriculoventricular block and acute heart failure that required treatment with ≥ 2 drugs of different classes	•
Trance		(2) admitted for this acute event > 2 and < 22 days	(e.g., diuretics and vasodilators); contraindication to	
Fair quality		before (3) presented ≥ 7 of the secondary risk factors of the selection algorithm, including ≥ 1 "major" secondary risk factor (history of dyspnea when walking on flat ground, documented atrial fibrillation, ventricular fibrillation, ventricular tachycardia, overt heart failure or sinusal tachycardia during the reference event, recurrent AMI or angina pectoris before the eighth day)		post-MI

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 70 of 347

Author, Year Country Acebutolol vs placebo	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Boissel 1990 France	NR	Primary outcome: Total death	Mean age=62.9 years 73% male Ethnicity nr

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 71 of 347

Author, Year Country Acebutolol vs placebo	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Boissel	Angina pectoris=41.5%	nr/nr/607	Withdrawn=211
1990	Unstable angina=28.9%		(34.8%)
France	Congestive heart failure=27.1%		/0 lost to fu
	Renal failure=3.6%		/analyzed=607
Fair quality	Diabetes mellitus=14.6%		
	Cigarette smoker (actual or past)=65.5%		
	Systemic hypertension=32.9%		
	Atrial flutter or fibrillation=13.5%		
	Ventricular flutter or fibrillation=5%		
	Number of secondary risk factors (median)=8		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 72 of 347

Author, Year		Method of adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Acebutolol vs			
placebo			
Boissel 1990	Acebutolol (n=298) vs placebo (n=309)	nr	Acebutolol (n=298) vs placebo (n=309)
France	Total mortality: 17 (5.7%) vs 34 (11%); p=0.019		Angina pectoris: 98 (32.9%) vs 92 (29.8%); p=NS
	Vascular death: 12 (4%) vs 30 (9.7%); p=0.006		Heart failure: 137 (46%) vs 105 (34%); p=0.003
Fair quality	Reinfarction: 6 (2%) vs 4 (1.3%); p=NS		Conduction or rhythm disturbance: 102 (34.2%) vs 101
	Fatal or nonfatal reinfarction: 9 (3%) vs 11 (3.6%); p=NS		(32.7%); p=NS
	Acute pulmonary edema: 20 (6.7%) vs 15 (4.9%); p=NS		Sinus bradycardia: 48 (16.1%) vs 16 (5.2%); p<0.001
	Fatal or non-fatal cardiac failure: 22 (7.4%) vs 22 (7.1%); p=NS		Sinus tachycardia: 8 (2.7%) vs 26 (8.4%); p=0.002
	Ventricular flutter or ventricular fibrillation: 1 (0.3%) vs 0; p=NS		Atrioventricular block: 17 (5.7%) vs 15 (4.9%); p=NS
	Ventricular flutter, ventricular fibrillation, or fatal arrhythmia: 0 vs 3 (1%);		Right bundle branch: 11 (3.7%) vs 16 (5.2%); p=NS
	p=NS		Left bundle branch: 4 (1.3%) vs 7 (2.3%); p=NS
	Other vascular events: 35 (11.7%) vs 28 (9.1%); p=NS		Flutter or atrial fibrillation: 16 (5.4%) vs 12 (3.9%); p=NS
	Other nonvascular events: 51 (17.1%) vs 70 (22.7%); p=NS		Extrasystola or ventricular tachycardia: 16 (5.4%) vs 26 (8.4%); p=NS
			Other arrhythmia: 24 (8.1%) vs 29 (9.4%); p=NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 73 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Acebutolol vs

placebo

Boissel Acebutolol (n=298) vs placebo (n=309)

1990

France Withdrawals due to adverse events: 12 (4%) vs 11

(3.5%); p=NS

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 74 of 347

Author, Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Carvedilol vs placebo				
Basu 1997 UK	RCT	Chest pain; ECG changes; serum concentration of creatine kinase; MB isoform consistent with diagnosis	Already on ACE or beta blockers; contraindications to ACE or beta blockers; Killip class IV heart failure; cardiogenic shock; severe bradycardia;	Carvedilol (car) 2.5-50 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 6 months
Fair quality			hypotension; second to third degree heart block; left bundle branch block; severe valvular disease; insulin dependent DM; renal failure; known malignancy; other severe disease; pregnancy	-

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 75 of 347

Author, Year	Allowed other medications/	Method of Outcome Assessment	Age Gender
Country Carvedilol vs	interventions	and Timing of Assessment	Ethnicity
placebo			
Basu	Aspirin - 100%	Patients were reviewed at 3-month interval	ls Mean age: car=60; pla=60
1997	Heparin - 97%		% male: car=84; pal=84.5
UK	Oral/iv nitrates - 97%	Exercise test (Bruce protocol)	Race: NR
Fair quality		Endpoints: cardiac death, reinfarction,	
		unstable angina, heart failure, emergency	
		coronary revascularization, ventricular	
		arrhythmias requiring intervention, cerebra	a-
		vascular accident and initiation of	
		additional cardiovascular drug therapy	
		other than sublingual nitrates for angina	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 76 of 347

Author, Year Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Carvedilol vs placebo			
Basu 1997 UK	Site of MI: Anterior - Car=51%; Pla=49% Inferior - Car=49%: Pla=51%	416 screened/NR/151 enrolled	146 analyzed (car=75; pla=71)
Fair quality	Type of MI: Q-wave - Car=80%; Pla=80% Non-Q-wave - Car=20%; Pla=20%	on one	
	Heart failure at entry (Killip II/III): Car=45%; Pla=28% Thrombolysed: Car=99%; Pla=96% Median time to thrombolysis: Car=3.8 hours; Pla=3.9 hours Smoker: Car=67%; Pla=53.5% Non-smoker: Car=33%; Pla=46%		
	Previous IHD: Car=20%; Pla=25% NIDDM: Car=12%; Pla=18% Median time to infusion: Car=16.8 hours; Pla=16.7 hours		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 77 of 347

Author, Year		Method of adverse effects	
Country Carvedilol vs	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
placebo			
Basu 1997 UK	Serious cardiac events: car=18(24%); pla=31(43.7%) Deaths/reinfarctions: car=11(14.7%); pla=6(8.4%)	NR	Dizziness(% patients): car=6.5%; pla=1.4%
Fair quality			

Page 78 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Carvedilol vs

placebo

Basu Withdrawals due to non-cardiac adverse events(# pts):

1997 car=4(5.3%); pla=3(4.2%)

UK

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 79 of 347

Fair quality

Evidence Table 4. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for post myocardial infarction

Author, Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Anonymous, 2001;	RCT	>18 years; stable, definite MI occurring3-21 days prior	Required continued diuretics or inotropes;	Carvedilol (car) up to 50 mg daily
McMurray 2005		to randomization; left-ventricular ejection fraction of	uncontrollable heart failure; unstable angina;	Placebo (pla) x 1.3 years (mean) of
International		40% or less; receipt of concurrent treatment with ACE	uncontrolled hypertension; bradycardia; unstable	follow-up
RCT		inhibitors for at least 48 hours and stable dose for 24+	insulin-dependent DM; continuing indication for	
		hours unless proven intolerance to ACE inhibitors; heart	beta blockers for any condition other than heart	
Carvedilol Post-		failure appropriately treated with diuretics and ACE	failure; requiring ongoing therapy with inhaled beta	
Infarct Survival		inhibitors during acute phase	agonists or steroids	
Control in LV		•	-	
Dysfunction				
(CAPRICORN)				
,				

Page 80 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Anonymous, 2001; McMurray 2005	ACE inhibitors(% patients)=98 Reperfusion therapy(% patients)=46	Patients were reviewed every 3 months during the first year, and every 4 months	Carvedilol: Mean age 63
International RCT		thereafter	73% male <i>Placebo</i> :
Carvedilol Post-			Mean age 63 74% male
Infarct Survival Control in LV			
Dysfunction (CAPRICORN)			
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 81 of 347

Author,		Number screened/	Number withdrawn/
Year		eligible/	lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed
Anonymous, 2001; McMurray 2005 International RCT Carvedilol Post- Infarct Survival Control in LV Dysfunction (CAPRICORN) Fair quality	Smoking history: Current - Car=33%; Pla=32% Previous - Car=27%; Pla=25% Never - Car=39%; Pla=43% Medical history: Previous MI - Car=31%; Pla=29% Previous angina - Car=57%; Pla=54% Previous hypertension - Car=55%; Pla=52% Previous DM - Car=21%; Pla=23% Other vascular disease - Car=17%; Pla=16% Previous revascularization - Car=12%; Pla=11% Hyperlipidemia - Car=32%; Pla=33% SIte of MI: Anterior - Car=59%; Pla=54% Inferior - Car=21%; Pla=21% Other - Car=20%; Pla=25% Medications at time of randomization: ACE inhibitor - Car=98%; Pla=97%	NR/NR/1959 randomized	Permanent withdrawals(excludi ng death): car=192(20%); pla=175(18%)/lost to fu nr/1959 analyzed
	Aspirin - Car=86%; Pla=86%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 82 of 347

Author,		Method of		
Year		adverse effects		
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	
Anonymous, 2001;	Co-primary endpoints(# patients/%)	NR	NR	
McMurray 2005	All-cause mortality: car=116(12%); pla=151(15%) (p=0.031)			
International	All-cause mortality or cardiovascular-cause hospital admission:			
RCT	car=340(35%); pla=367(37%) (NS)			
Carvedilol Post-	Secondary endpoints(# patients/%)			
Infarct Survival	Sudden death: car=51(5%); pla=69(7%) (NS)			
Control in LV	Hospital admission for heart failure: car=118(12%); pla=138(14%) (NS)			
Dysfunction				
(CAPRICORN)	Other endpoints(# patients/%)			
	Cardiovascular-cause mortality: car= $104(11\%)$; pla= $139(14\%)$ ($p=0.024$)			
Fair quality	Death due to heart failure: car=18(2%); pla=30(3%) (NS)			
	Non-fatal MI: car=34(3%); pla=57(6%) (NS)			
	All-cause mortality or non-fatal MI: car=139(14%); pla=192(20%)			
	(p=0.002)			
	Atrial fibrillation/flutter: car=2.3%; plac=5.4%; HR 0.41 (95% CI 0.25-			
	0.68; p=0.0003)			
	Ventricular fibrillation/flutter/tachycardia: car=0.9%; pla=3.9%; HR 0.24			
	(95% CI 0.11-0.49; p<0.0001)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 83 of 347

Year	Withdrawals due to adverse events	
Country	(%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Anonymous, 2001; McMurray 2005 International RCT	NR	Original primary endpoint (all- cause mortality) amended during the trial to co-primary endpoints of all-cause mortality (alpha=0.005) and all-cause
Carvedilol Post- Infarct Survival Control in LV Dysfunction (CAPRICORN)		mortality+cardiovascular hospitalization(alpha=0.045) apparently due to advice by Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) that a blinded interim analysis had shown that power
Fair quality		to detect pre-specified total mortality effect size was under threat

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 84 of 347

Author,	Study			lutamiantiana (dura maniman
Year Country	Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Metoprolol vs placebo				,
Anonymous 1987 USA	RCT	Ages 45-74; hospitalized for acute MI	History of CABG; permanent pacemaker; contraindication to beta blocker therapy; conditions likely to require beta blocker therapy; administration	Metoprolol (met) 200 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 1 year
Lopressor Intervention Trial			of any beta blocker within 3 days before the start of pre-entry evaluation; planned therapy with aspirin, sulfinpyrazone clofibrate;=, or dipyridamole; life	Treatment interval: 5-15 days post- MI
Fair quality			threatening conditions other than CHF; conditions likely to affect protocol compliance; history of adverse reaction to metoprolol or its analogues.	
Hjalmarson, 1981 Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	RCT	Geographic location; chest pain of acute onset and 30 minutes' duration or ECG signs of acute MI with estimated onset of infarction within previous 48 hours; age 40-74;	Contraindications to beta blockade; need for beta blockade; administrative considerations	Metoprolol (met) 15 mg intravenously; 200 mg orally Placebo (pla)
		age 40-74,		Treatment interval(mean): 11.3 hours
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial				Initial dose loaded intravenously (3 injections); then administered orally
Good quality				x 3 months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 85 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Metoprolol vs placebo			
Anonymous 1987 USA		Interim visits conducted at 1, 3, 7 and 12 months	Mean age = 58 % Male = 83% % White = 90.5%
Lopressor Intervention Trial			
Fair quality			
Hjalmarson, 1981	Arrhythmias: iv lidocaine or	Physician examination at 1-week and 3	Entire sample:
Herlitz, 1984	procainamide	months after inclusion	Mean age: met=60; pla=60
Herlitz, 1997	CHF: furosemide 40-80 mg iv, then		% male: met=75.6; pla=76.2
Sweden	oral		Race nr
Catabana	Chest pain: iv morphine; sl ntg; oral		Subanaum of mationata with in direct since of
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial	anticoagulants		Subgroup of patients with indirect signs of mild-to-moderate CHF (met $n=131$; pla $n=131$)
Good quality			Mean age: met=63; pla=63
			% male: met=75; pla=76
			Race nr

Page 86 of 347

Number

Author, Year Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	screened/ eligible/ enrolled	withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Metoprolol vs placebo			
Anonymous 1987 USA	Previous medical history: MI = 14.5% Angina = 25% CHF = 2%	NR/NR/2395 enrolled	Withdrawn: met=381(31.9%); pla=355(29.6%)/lost to fu
Lopressor Intervention Trial	Hypertension = 36% Diabetes = 7.5% Location of infarct:		NR/analyzed=2395
Fair quality	Anterior = 50.3% Inferior = 56% Anterior & inferior = 2% High lateral = 2.5% True subendocardial = 2.5%		
Hjalmarson, 1981	Clinical history:	2802	Withdrawn:
Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	Previous infarction - Met=21.2%; Pla=22.7% Angina pectoris - Met=35.7%; Pla=34.7% Hypertension - Met=29.1%; Pla=29.7% Smoking - Met=49.7%; Pla=50.3%	screened/2619 eligible/1395 randomized (met n=698; pla n=697)	met=131(19.1%); pla=131(19.1%)/lost to fu NR /1395 analyzed
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial	Clinical status at entry: Pulmonary rales (24) - Met=11.6%; Pla=9% ECG signs of infarction (1) - Met=49.9%; Pla=47.8%		
Good quality	Heart rate >100 beats/minute (1) - Met=4.7%; Pla=6.2% Systolic BP <100 mm Hg (2) - Met=3.3%; Pla=4.4% Dyspnea at onset of pain (29) - Met=28.8%; Pla=30.8%		

Beta adrenergic blockers Page 87 of 347

Author,		Method of	
Year		adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Metoprolol vs placebo			
Anonymous 1987 USA	Total mortality (# patients/%) = 90 days: met=23(1.9%); pla=37(3.1%) </= 210 days: met=42(3.5%); pla=54(4.5%) </= 365 days: met=65(5.4%); pla=62(5.2%)</td <td>NR</td> <td>Overall incidence: met=34.6%; pla=23.8% Incidence of (%): Body as a whole: met=9.1; pla=6.2</td>	NR	Overall incidence: met=34.6%; pla=23.8% Incidence of (%): Body as a whole: met=9.1; pla=6.2
Lopressor Intervention Trial	= 540 days: met=86(7.2%); pla=93(9.8%)</td <td></td> <td>Cardiovascular: met=17.2; pla=9.6 Digestive: met=4.3; pla=3.3 Endocrine: met=0; pla=0</td>		Cardiovascular: met=17.2; pla=9.6 Digestive: met=4.3; pla=3.3 Endocrine: met=0; pla=0
Fair quality			Haemic/lymphatic: met=0.2; pla=0.2 Metabolic/nutritional: met=1.2; pla=0.5 Musculoskeletal: met=0.3; pla=0.4 Nervous system: met=8.7; pla=7.7 Respiratory: met=4.1; pla=2.7 Skin/appendages: met=1.3; pla=1.5 Special senses: met=2.8; pla=1.3 Urogenital system: met=1.6; pla=1.0
Hjalmarson, 1981 Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	Entire sample: Mortality: met=40/698(5.7%); pla=62/697(8.9%); Odds ratio=0.62(95% CI=0.40-0.96) Reinfarction: met=35/698(5%); pla=54/697(7.7%); Odds ratio=0.63(95% CI=0.39=0.99)	NR	NR
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial Good quality	Subgroup with mild-to-moderate CHF: Mortality: met=13/131(10%); pla=25/131(19%); Odds ratio=0.47(95% CI=0.21=1.0); p=0.036 Reinfarction: met=9/131(7%); pla=10/131(8%); NS		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 88 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Metoprolol vs

placebo

Anonymous *Overall withdrawal due to adverse events(%):*

1987 met=13.1; pla=5.8

USA

Lopressor

Intervention Trial

Fair quality

Hjalmarson, 1981 Withdrawals due to overall adverse events:

Herlitz, 1984 met=22(3.2%); pla=22(3.2%)

Herlitz, 1997

Sweden Withdrawals due to(# pts/%):

Hypotension: met=29(4.2%); pla=13(1.9%) (p=0.018)

Goteborg Bradycardia: met=18(2.6%); pla=5(0.7%) (p=0.011)

Metoprolol Trial Heart failure: met=4(0.6%); pla=7(1.0%) (NS)

Good quality

Page 89 of 347

s (drug, regimen,
et) 200 mg daily 36 months
val: 48 hours post-MI
et) 15 mg iv, followed
daily dosage
1 year
val: 48 hours post-mi
•
]

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 90 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Metoprolol vs			•
placebo			
Olsson, 1985	Angina: non-beta-andrenergic blocking antianginal agents	Interim visits conducted every 3 months	Mean age: met=60; pla=59 % male: met=78; pla=83
Stockholm			Race = NR
Metoprolol Trial			
Fair quality			
Salathia	NR	NR	Age \leq 65 = 548
1985			>65 = 252
Northern Ireland			% Male 71.5%
			Race: NR
Belfast Metoprolol Trial			
Fair quality			

Page 91 of 347

Author,		Number screened/	Number withdrawn/
Year		eligible/	lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed
Metoprolol vs			
placebo			
Olsson, 1985	Smokers: Met=53%; pla=60%	nr/nr/301	73(24.2%)
	Ex-smokers: Met=19%; Pla= 18%		withdrawn/lost to fu
Stockholm	Previous MI: Met=24.5%; Pla=26.5%		nr/301 analyzed
Metoprolol Trial	DM before MI: Met=10%; Pla=6%		
	Cerebrovascular incidence before MI: Met=5%; Pla=3%		
Fair quality	Site of infarction:		
	Anterior: Met=44%; Pla=51%		
	Inferior: Met=38%; Pla=31%		
	Unknown: Met=18%; Pla=18%		
Salathia	Previous MI = 26.75%	1556 screened/800	Withdrawn nr/lost
1985	Hypertension = 11.5 %	eligible/800	to fu nr/800
Northern Ireland	Smoking habit = 47%	enrolled	analyzed
	Previous history of angina = 46.25%		•
Belfast Metoprolol	Previous history of dyspnoea = 28.38%		
Trial	Initial ventricular ectopic activity = 22.88%		
	Initial supraventricular ectopic activity = 5%		
Fair quality	* *		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 92 of 347

Author,		Method of	
Year		adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Metoprolol vs			
placebo			
Olsson, 1985	Sample size: $met n=154$; $pla n=147$	NR	NR
	Total mortality (# patients/%): pla=31(21.1%); met=25(16.2%) (NS)		
Stockholm	Cardiac mortality (# patients/%): pla=29(19.7%); met=20(13.0%) (NS)		
Metoprolol Trial	Sudden death (# patients/%): pla=21(14.3%0; met=9(5.9%) (p<0.05)		
	Reinfarction (# patients/%): pla=31(21.1%); met=18(11.7%) (p<0.05)		
Fair quality			
Salathia	Total mortality (# patients/%)	NR	# patients (%)
1985	At 3 months: met=37/416(8.9%); pla=35/384(9.1%)(NS)	IVIX	Hypotension: met=20/416(4.8%); pla=14/384(3.6%) (NS)
Northern Ireland	At one year: met=52/416(12.5%); pla=53/384(13.8%)(NS)		Heart failure: met=47/414(11.4%); 35/378(9.3%) (NS)
Northern freiand	71. one year. Het 32/410(12.370), pta 33/304(13.070)(13.0		11cart failure. filet 47/414(11.470), 55/576(7.570) (115)
Belfast Metoprolol	Sudden death (# patients/%)		
Trial	At 3 months: met=4/416(1.0%); pla=3/384(2.1%)(NS)		
	At one year: met=8/416(1.9%); pla=18/384(4.7%) (p<0.05)		
Fair quality	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4			

Page 93 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Metoprolol vs

placebo

Olsson, 1985 Withdrawals due to (# patients/%):

Uncontrolled angina: pla=16(10.9%); met=6(3.9%)

Stockholm (p<0.05)

Metoprolol Trial Heart failure: pla=1(0.7%); met=7(4.5%) (p<0.05)

Symptomatic bradycardia: pla=1(0.7%);

Fair quality met=1(0.6%) (NS)

Hypotension: pla=0; met=2(1.3%)

Salathia NR

1985

Northern Ireland

Belfast Metoprolol

Trial

Fair quality

Page 94 of 347

Author, Year Country	Study Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Pindolol vs placebo	Colling	Englishing official	Exolucion officina	uarationy
Australian & Swedish Study 1983 Australia, Sweden Fair quality	RCT	Clinical diagnosis of acute MI within previous 21 days; had to meet 2 of the following criteria: retrosternal severe chest pain of 20+ minutes duration, resistant to nitroglycerine and startinh in previous 48 hours; pulmonary edema without previously known valvular disease; shock without suspicion of acute hypovolaemia or intoxication; transient elevation of glutamine oxaloaecetic acid transminase or asptarate amino transferase in serum to values exceeding the normal limits for the laboratory on at least 2 readings with a maximum approximately 24 hours after the estimated onset of infarction, coupled with absent or less pronounced elevation of glutamine pyruvic acid transaminase or alinine amino transferase in serum; ECC series with presence of Q waves and/or presence of the disappearance of localized ST-elevation combined with development of T-inversion in at least 2 of the routine 12 leads; clinical course complicated by electrical and/or mechanical complications.	short-term prognosis irrespectively of the MI; pregnancy; necessity to use beta blocking drug or calcium antagonists; unable to return for regular control.	Pindolol (pin) 15-20 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 24 months Treatment interval: up to 21 days post-MI

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 95 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Pindolol vs placebo			
Australian & Swedish Study 1983	NR	Follow-up visits: months 1, 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24	Mean Age: Pin=58; Pla=58 % male: Pin=83; Pla=83 Australian: Pin=48%; Pla=48%
Australia, Sweden		Primary endpoint: death	Swedish: Pin=52%; Pla=51.5%

Fair quality

Page 96 of 347

Author, Year		Number screened/ eligible/	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed
Pindolol vs placebo			
Australian & Swedish Study 1983 Australia, Sweden	History: Smoking: Pin=48%; Pla=43% Hypertension: Pin=24%; Pla=28% (values indicated are those with a 10% or greater variation between patients randomized to pin. or	2500 screened/529 eligible/529 enrolled	126(23.8%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/529 analyzed (pin n=263; pla n=266)
Fair quality	pla.) Angina pectoris: Pin=36%; Pla=32% Functional limitation: Pin=30%; Pla=30% Prior MI: Pin=18%; Pla=16% Diabetes: Pin=5%; Pla=8% (values indicated are those with a 10% or greater variation between patients randomized to pin. or		
	pla.) Anterior or lateral infarction: Pin=47%; Pla=46% Other site of infarction: Pin=53%; Pla=54%		
	Medication used at time of randomization: Digitalis: Pin=31%; Pla=34% Diuretics: 74%; Pla=75% Vasodilators (nitrates): Pin=23%; Pla=22% Antiarrhythmics: Pin=54%; Pla=51% Anticoagulants: Pin=72%; Pla=71% Medication used at time of discharge: Digitalis: Pin=31%; Pla=32% Diuretics: Pi46%; Pla=42% Nitrates: Pin=39%; Pla=35%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 97 of 347

Author,		Method of	
Year		adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Pindolol vs			
placebo			
Australian &	(# patients/%)	NR	Overall incidence: pin=89(33.8%); pla=45(16.8%)
Swedish Study	Total mortality: pla=47(17.7%); pin=45(17.1%) (NS)		(p=0.0001)
1983	Cardiac death: pla=43(16.2%); pin=40(15.2%) (NS)		
Australia, Sweden	Cardiac sudden death: pla=31(11.7%); pin=28(10.6%) (NS)		
	Non-cardiac death: pla=4(1.5%); pin=5(1.9%)		
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 98 of 347

Α	u	th	O	r.

Year	Withdrawals due to adverse events	
Country	(%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Pindolol vs placebo		
Australian & Swedish Study 1983	Withdrawals due to adverse events (# patients/%): pin=50(19%); pin=22(8.3%) (p=0.0003)	
Australia, Sweden	Withdrawals due to:	
	Cardiac failure: pin=20(7.6%); pla=11(4.1%)	
Fair quality	Hypotension: pin=3(1.1%); pla=1(0.4%)	
	Reinfarction: pin=1(0.4%); pla=3(1.1%)	

Page 99 of 347

Author, Year	Study			Interventions (drug regimen
Country	Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Propranolol vs placebo				
Roberts, 1984 Rude, 1986 Roberts, 1988 United States	RCT Single- blind	Age <76; history of at least 30 minutes of ischemic pain within 18 hours of potential therapy; new or presumably new ECG changes	•	Propranolol (pro): initial dose infused intravenously (0.1 mg per kg of body weight); subsequent oral dosing initiated at 20 mg and
Multicenter			reasons; recent major surgery or MI; permanent cardiac pacemaker; previous participation in the	increased with an HR target of 45-60 BPM
Investigation of the			protocol; failure or inability to give informed	Placebo (pla) x 7 days
Limitation of Infarct Size (MILIS)			consent	

Fair-poor quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 100 of 347

Author, Year Country Propranolol vs placebo	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Roberts, 1984 Rude, 1986 Roberts, 1988 United States	NR	Follow-up visits: months 3 and 6 Telephone vital status interview: 6-month intervals thereafter	Mean age: pro=54.9; pla=54.6 % male: pro=72.4; pla=74.1 % white: pro=82.1; pla=83.7
Multicenter Investigation of the Limitation of Infarct Size (MILIS)			
Fair-poor quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 101 of 347

Author,		Number screened/	Number withdrawn/
Year Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	eligible/ enrolled	lost to fu/ analyzed
Propranolol vs placebo			,
Roberts, 1984	Mean age = 54.7	Screened=7597/Eli	Overall patient
Rude, 1986	Male = 73.2%	gible=2408/Eligibl	withdrawals nr/lost
Roberts, 1988	White = 83%	e after application	to fu=1(treatment
United States	Current smokers = 50%	of exclusion	group
	White collar workers = 39%	criteria=1589/Eligi	nr)/analyzed=269
Multicenter	High school or higher education = 61.3%	ble for Group A	
Investigation of the	Regular drinkers = 22%	(no	
Limitation of	Medical history before recent infarction:	contraindications	
Infarct Size	Hypertension requiring medication = 44%	to beta blocker	
(MILIS)	Documented previous infarction = 14.5%	therapy)=879 (pro	
	Angina >3 weeks before recent infarction = 39%	n=134; pla n=135;	
Fair-poor quality	CHF in previous 3 weeks = 5%	hyaluronidase=131	
	Diabetes = 19%)	
	Previous cardiac arrest = 0.7%		
	Previous cardiac surgery = 5%		
	Previous cardiac arrythmias = 7%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 102 of 347

Author, Year		Method of adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Propranolol vs placebo			
Roberts, 1984 Rude, 1986 Roberts, 1988	Mortality(after 36-months of follow-up): pro=24/134(17.9%); pla=20/135(14.8%)	NR	Cardiac failure (%): pla=23; pro=19
United States	Treatment period=10 days		
Multicenter Investigation of the Limitation of Infarct Size (MILIS)	Beta blockade at 3 months(% pts): pla=37%; pro=53% Beta blockade at 6 months(% pts): pla=40; pro=54		
Fair-poor quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 103 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Propranolol vs

placebo

Roberts, 1984 NR

Rude, 1986 Roberts, 1988 United States

Multicenter

 $Investigation\ of\ the$

Limitation of

Infarct Size

(MILIS)

Fair-poor quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 104 of 347

Author, Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Propranolol vs placebo				
Anonymous, 1982 Goldstein, 1983 Anonymous, 1983 Lichstein, 1983 Furberg, 1984 Jafri, 1987 United States	RCT	Men and women aged 30-69; hospitalized with symptoms and ECG and enzymatic changes compatible with acute MI	Chronic obstructive lung disease; severe CHF; bradycardia; life-threatening illness other than CHF; need for beta blocking drugs	Propranolol (pro) 180 mg (82% of patients) or 240 mg (18% of patients) (n=1916) Placebo (pla) (n=1921) Treatment initiated 5-21 days post-MI
Beta-blocker Heart Attack Trial (BHAT)				
Fair quality				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 105 of 347

Author,			Age
Year	Allowed other medications/	Method of Outcome Assessment	Gender
Country	interventions	and Timing of Assessment	Ethnicity
Propranolol vs			
placebo			
Anonymous, 1982	% patients	Clinic visits at 3-month intervals	Propranolol:
Goldstein, 1983	Vasodilator: pro=47.8; pla=47.1		Mean age: 54.7
Anonymous, 1983	Diuretic: pro=40.8; pla=42.3	Deaths classified by blinded mortality	84% male
Lichstein, 1983	Tranquilizer: pro=28.0; pla=30.4	classification subcommittee	Placebo:
Furberg, 1984	Digitalis: pro=26.9; pla=26.3	(relative/witness report; death certificates;	Mean age: 54.9
Jafri, 1987	Aspirin: pro=21.5; pla=21.6	attending physician; hospital records;	85.1% male
United States	Antiarrhythmic: pro=20.7; pla=25.6	autopsy)	
	Potassium: pro=16.3; pla=17.7		
Beta-blocker Heart	Antihypertensive, excluding diuretic:		
Attack Trial	pro=11.8; pla=13.4		
(BHAT)	Anticoagulant: pro=9.8; pla=8.5		
	Dipyridamole: pro=6.2; pla=5.5		
Fair quality	Insulin: pro=4.8; pla=4.2		
	Hormonal: pro=4.5; pla=4.4		
	Oral hypoglycemic: pro=5.5; pla=3.2		
	Sulfinpyrazone: pro=4.3; pla=5.0		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 106 of 347

Author,	o 4. Nandomized dominoned trials of seta sloor	Number screened/	Number withdrawn/
Year		eligible/	lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed
Propranolol vs			
placebo			
Anonymous, 1982	Mean systolic BP mm Hg: Pro=112.3; Pla=111.7	Screened: 16,400	Overall number
Goldstein, 1983	Mean diastolic BP mm Hg: Pro=72.5; Pla=72.3	Eligible/enrolled	withdrawn
Anonymous, 1983	Mean heart rate, beats per minute: Pro=76.2; Pla=75.7	(total=3,837):	nr/12(0.3%) lost to
Lichstein, 1983	Mean cholesterol, mg/dL: Pro=212.7; Pla=213.6	pro=1916;	fu/3837 analyzed
Furberg, 1984	Mean weight, kg:	pla=1921	(pro n=1916; pla
Jafri, 1987	Men - Pro=80.2; Pla=79.8		n=1921)
United States	Women - Pro=67.4; Pla=66.5		
	Current smoker: Pro=57.4%; Pla=56.9%		
Beta-blocker Heart	Medical history:		
Attack Trial	Prior MI - Pro=13.9%; Pla=13.2%		
(BHAT)	Hypertension - Pro=41.1%; Pla=40.1%		
	Angina pectoris - Pro=35.8%; Pla=36.5%		
Fair quality	CHF - Pro=9%; Pla=9.4%		
	DM - Pro=11.7%; Pla=11.3%		
	Taking propranolol or other beta blocker: Pro=7.2%; Pla=6.8%		
	In-hospital events occurring before randomization:		
	Atrial fibrillation - Pro=6.8%; Pla=5.7%		
	CHF - Pro=14.3%; Pla=14.9%		
	Vetricular tachycardia - Pro=23%; Pla=23.2%		
	Use of antiarrhythmic drug - Pro=45.8%; Pla=46%		
	Medications being used at time of randomization:		
	Antiarrythmic - Pro=16.6%; Pla=17.9%		
	Anticoagulant - Pro=13.9%; Pla=15.1%		
	Antiplatlet - Pro=7.1%; Pla=6.8%		
	Diuretic - Pro=16.1%; Pla=18%		
	Vasodilator - Pro=36%; Pla=36.3%		
	Digitalis - Pro=12.5%; Pla=13%		
	Oral hypoglycemic - Pro=2.2%; Pla=1.8%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 107 of 347

Author, Year		Method of adverse effects	
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Propranolol vs			
placebo			
Anonymous, 1982	NNT; RR (95% CI)	NR	% patients with complaints:
Goldstein, 1983			Shortness of breath: pro=66.8; pla=65.5
Anonymous, 1983	<i>Total mortality:</i> NNT=39; RR=0.73(0.59-0.91)		Bronchospasm: pro=31.3; pla=27.0 (p<0.005)
Lichstein, 1983			Rapid heartbeat: pro=10.8; pla=15.1 (p<0.001)
Furberg, 1984	Deaths due to:		Cold hands, feet: pro=10.0; pla=7.7 (p<0.025)
Jafri, 1987	Cardiovascular disease: NNT=44; RR=0.74(0.59-0.93)		Tiredness: pro=66.8; pla=62.1 (p<0.005)
United States	Sudden arteriosclerotic heart disease: NNT=78; RR=0.72(0.53-0.99)		Reduced sexual activity: pro=43.2; pla=42
	Non-sudden arteriosclerotic heart disease: NNT=97; RR=0.73(0.52-1.03)		Depression: pro=40.7; pla=39.8
Beta-blocker Heart	Other cardiovascular disease: NNT=1882(harm); RR=1.14(0.43-3.03)		Nightmares: pro=39.7; pla=36.9
Attack Trial	Noncardiovascular disease: NNT=322; RR=0.65(0.31-1.36)		Faintness: pro=28.7; pla=26.6
(BHAT)			Insomnia: pro=21.1; pla=18.8
			Blacking out: pro=9.1; pla=10.3
Fair quality			Hallucinations: pro=5.9; pla=4.5
			Diarrhea: pro=5.5; pla=3.6 (p<0.01)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 108 of 347

Author,

Author,		
Year	Withdrawals due to adverse events	
Country	(%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Propranolol vs		
placebo		
Anonymous, 1982	% patient withdrawals due to:	
Goldstein, 1983	CHF: pro=4; pla=3.5 (NS)	
Anonymous, 1983	Hypotension: pro=1.2; pla=0.3 (p<0.005)	
Lichstein, 1983	Pulmonary problems: pro=0.9; pla=0.7 (NS)	
Furberg, 1984	Sinus bradycardia: pro=0.7; pla=0.3 (NS)	
Jafri, 1987	New or extended MI: pro=0.4; pla=0.4 (NS)	
United States	Serious ventricular arrhythmia: pro=0.3; pla=1.0	
	(p<0.025)	
Beta-blocker Heart	Heart block: pro=0.1; pla=0.1 (NS)	
Attack Trial	Syncope: pro=0.1; pla=0.1 (NS)	
(BHAT)	Tiredness: pro=1.5; pla=1.0 (NS)	
	Disorientation: pro=0.6; pla=0.6(NS)	
Fair quality	Depression: pro=0.4; pla=0.4 (NS)	
	Faintness: pro=0.5; pla=0.2 (NS)	
	Nightmares: pro=0.1; pla=0.2 (NS)	
	Insomnia: pro=0.2; pla=0.0 (NS)	
	Reduced sexual activity: pro=0.2; pla=0.0 (p<0.05)	
	GI problems: pro=1.0; pla=0.3 (p<0.01)	
	Dermatologic problems: pro=0.3; pla=0.1 (NS)	
	Cancer: pro=0.2; pla=0.1 (NS)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 109 of 347

Author, Year Country	Study Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Propranolol vs placebo				
Hansteen 1982	RCT	MI according to WHO criteria, screened on fourth day after MI, only those with increased risk of death were	Contraindications to beta blockade; uncontrolled heart failure	Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 12 months
Norway		included.		Treatment interval: 4-6 days post-MI
Fair quality				
Baber 1980	RCT	Diagnosis of anterior MI based on ECG abnorm, alities od an anterior infarction described as "very probable" or	-	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 9 months
Multinational		WHO ECG criteria; either a typical history or serum enzyme levels (AST and LDH) at least twice the	failure; beta blockade at the time of infarction.	Treatment interval: 2-14 days post-
Fair quality		accepted upper limit of normal or three times if CK was used.		MI

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 110 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity
Propranolol vs placebo			
Hansteen 1982 Norway	NR	Follow-up visits: months 2, 6 and 12	Mean age: Pro= 58; Pla=58.8 % male: Pro=84.5%; Pla=85.5%
Fair quality			
Baber 1980 Multinational Fair quality	NR	Follow-up visits: months 1, 3, 6 and 9	Mean age: Pro=55; Pla=54.8 % male: Pro=86%; Pla=83% Previous angina: Positive: Pro=35%; Pla=40% Concurrent disease: Hypertension: Pro=13%; Pla=15%
			Peripheral artery disease: Pro=1%; Pla=2% Diabetes: Pro=3%; Pla=4% Smokers: Pro=64%; Pla=65%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 111 of 347

Number

		i tuiliboi	rtainboi
Author,		screened/	withdrawn/
Year		eligible/	lost to fu/
Country	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	enrolled	analyzed
Propranolol vs			
placebo			
Hansteen	No previous CHD: Pro=51.4%; Pla=48.6%	4929	Withdrawals:
1982	Angina pectoris: Pro=30.6%; Pla=31.9%	screened/eligible	pro=70(25.2%);
Norway	Previous MI: Pro=18%; Pla=19.5%	nr/560 enrolled	pla=72(25.5%)/lost
	Hypertension (treated): Pro=22.3%; Pla=18.15		to fu nr/560
Fair quality	Intermittent claudication: Pro=8.6%; Pla=5.7%		analyzed
	CVD: Pro=3.2%; Pla=2.5%		
	Drug treatment before admission:		
	Digitalis: Pro=6.1%; Pla=5.7%		
	Diuretics: Pro=19.1%; Pla=16%		
	Other antihy pertensives: Pro=7.9%; Pla=6.4%		
	Daily smoker: Pro=58.3%; Pla=64.9%		
	Ex-smoker: Pro=28.1%; Pla=24.2%		
Baber	Previous angina:	nr/nr/720	Total withdrawals:
1980	Positive: Pro=35%; Pla=40%		pla=88(24%);
Multinational	Angina more than 3 months: Pro=15%; Pla=19%		pro=82(23%)/lost to
	Previous infarct:		fu nr/720 analyzed
Fair quality	History of cardiac failure:		•
	Concurrent disease:		
	Hypertension: Pro=13%; Pla=15%		
	Peripheral artery disease: Pro=1%; Pla=2%		
	Diabetes: Pro=3%; Pla=4%		

Smokers: Pro=64%; Pla=65%

Beta adrenergic blockers Page 112 of 347

Author,		Method of	
Year Country	Outcomes	adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Propranolol vs placebo	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Hansteen	pro n=278; pla n=282	NR	Overall incidence(% pts): pro=57; pla=51
1982	# patients/%		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Norway	•		Most common adverse events(# pts/%):
Fair quality	Sudden death: pro=11(3.9%); pla=23(8.1%) (p=0.038) Type 1: pro=9(3.2%); pla=17(6.0%) (NS) Type 2: pro=1(0.3%); pla=3(1.1%)(NS) Type 3: pro=1(0.3%); pla=3(1.1%)(NS) Fatal reinfarction: pro=11(3.9%); pla=10(3.5%) (NS) Other cardiac deaths: pro=0; pla=2(0.7%)(NS) Other deaths: pro=3(1.1%); pla=2(0.7%)(NS) Total deaths: pro=25(8.9%); pla=37(13.1%) (NS) Total cardiac deaths: pro=22(7.9%); pla=35(12.4%) (NS) Non-fatal reinfarctions: pro=16(5.7%); pla=21(7.4%) (NS) Total no of cardiac events: pro=38(13.7%); pla=56(19.8%) (NS)		Bradycardia: pro=88(31.6%); pla=13(4.6%) (p<0.05) Heart failure: pro=18(6.5%); pla=25(8.9%) Hypotension: pla=23(8.2%); pla=9(3.2%) (p<0.05) Bronchospasm: pro=10(3.6%); pla=10(3.5%) Cold hands/feet: pro=31(11.1%); pla=30(10.6%) Dizziness/asthenia: pro=38(13.7%); pla=19(6.7%)
Baber 1980	pla n=365; pro n=355	NR	NR
Multinational	# pts/%		
	Cardiac deaths: pla=18(4.9%); pro=19(5.4%)		
Fair quality	Non-cardiac deaths: pla=2(0.5%); pro=3(0.8%)		
	Cardiac deaths after withdrawal: pla=7(1.9%); pro=6(1.7%)		
	Total deaths: pla=27(7.4%); pro=28(7.9%)		
	Non-fatal reinfarctions: pla=14(3.8%); pro=15(4.2%)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 113 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events

Country (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Propranolol vs

placebo

Hansteen # patients/%
1982 Withdrawals due to:

Norway Atrioventricular or sinoatrial block: pro=3(1.1%);

pla=3(1.1%)

Fair quality Sinus bradycardia: pro=7(2.5%); pla=1(0.3%)

Heart failure: pro=22(7.9%); pla=16(5.7%) Hypotension: pro=1(0.3%); pla=1(0.3%) Bronchospasm: pro=1(0.3%); pla=1(0.3%) Intermittent claudication: pro=2(0.7%); pla=0 Cold hands/feet: pro=1(0.3%); pla=0

Nightmares: pro=3(1.1%); pla=3(1.1%) Dizziness/asthenia: pro=2(0.7%); pla=1(0.3%) Other symptoms: pro=3(1.1%); pla=2(0.7%) Reinfarction: pro=6(2.2%); pla=4(1.4%)

Baber Reinfarction: pla=9(2.5%); pro=10(2.8%)
1980 Cardiac failure: pla=22(6.0%); pro=22(6.2%)
Multinational Cardiac failure alone: pla=17(4.6%); pla=10(2.8%)

Angina: pla=13(3.6%); pro=7(1.9%)

Fair quality Arrhythmias: pla=11(3.0%); pro=7(1.9%)

Adverse reaction: pla=5(1.4%); pro=12(3.4%) Other: pla=38(10.4%); pro=42(11.8%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 114 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Head to head controlled trials					
Wilcox 1980 UK	NR	adequate; numbered packs	Yes	Mean age NR 84.7% male	388 randomized
Jonsson 2005 Norway	Adequate (sealed envelopes; method of generation of envelopes NR)	NR	Yes	Mean age=60.1 yrs 67% male	232 randomized
Acebutolol vs placebo Boissel 1990 France	Adequate	Adequate	Significant between-group differences for 7 of >266 baseline variables	Mean age=62.9 years 73% male Ethnicity nr	607 randomized

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 115 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Head to head controlled trials						
Wilcox 1980 UK	Already taking a beta blocker; severe heart failure; sinus bradycardia of under 40 beats per minute; in second or third degree heart block; systolic BP of >90 mm Hg; history of asthma or diabetes; residence too far away.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jonsson 2005 Norway	Use of beta blockers during 3 mos preceding trial, history of cardiomyopathy, myopericarditis, cardiac surgery (w/in 1 mo of trial), bradycardia, hypotension, AV block grade 2-3, severe COPD, hemodynamically significant valvular defects including aortic stenosis, SBP <100 or >220 mmHg or DBP >120 mmgHg, Killip class 4 shock or heart failure, renal failure w/serum creatinine >160 mmol/L, hepatic impairment or platelet count <100,000 or white cell count <2000.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unclear for efficacy; Yes for safety
Acebutolol vs placebo						
Boissel 1990 France	Heart rate <45 beats/min; complete auriculoventricular block and acute heart failure that required treatment with ≥ 2 drugs of different classes (e.g., diuretics and vasodilators); contraindication to beta blocking treatment; age > 75 years; death; malignancy; valvular disease; coma; asthma; chronic bronchopneumopathy; Raynaud syndrome; participation in another study; patients enrolled in APSI before		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 116 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow- up
Head to head controlled trials							
Wilcox 1980 UK	NR	Attrition=44.1%; others NR	NR	Fair	Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd.	N/A	1 year
Jonsson 2005 Norway	NR	NR	No	Fair	Roche; Glaxo Smith Kline	N/A	1 year
Acebutolol vs placebo							
Boissel 1990 France	NR	Yes No Yes No	No No	Fair	NR	Yes	Mean follow- up=271 days

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 117 of 347

Author, Year Country Carvedilol vs placebo	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Basu 1997 UK	NR	NR	Yes	84% male Mean age=60	151 randomized
Anonymous, 2001 Carvedilol Post- Infarct Survival Control in LV Dysfunction (CAPRICORN)	Adequate; Permuted blocks with stratification by center	NR	Yes	73.5% male Mean age=63 mean LVEF=32.9%	1959 recruited

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 118 of 347

Author, Year Country Carvedilol vs	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
placebo						
Basu 1997 UK	Already on ACE or beta blockers; contraindications to ACE or beta blockers; Killip class IV heart failure; cardiogenic shock; severe bradycardia; hypotension; second to third degree heart block; left bundle branch block; severe valvular disease; insulindependent DM; renal failure; known malignancy; other severe disease; pregnancy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Anonymous, 2001 Carvedilol Post- Infarct Survival Control in LV Dysfunction (CAPRICORN)	Required continued diuretics or inotropes; uncontrollable heart failure; unstable angina; uncontrolled hypertension; bradycardia; unstable insulin-dependent DM; continuing indication for beta blockers for any condition other than heart failure; requiring ongoing therapy with inhaled beta agonists or steroids	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 119 of 347

Author, Year Country Carvedilol vs placebo	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow- up
Basu 1997 UK	NR	NR	None	Fair	NPH Cardiac Research Fund; Boehringer Mannheim GmbH	Yes	6 months
Anonymous, 2001 Carvedilol Post- Infarct Survival Control in LV Dysfunction	NR	NR	NR	Fair	GSK	Yes	mean of 1.3 years

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 120 of 347

Author, Year		Allocation	Groups similar at	Similarity to target	
Country Metoprolol vs placebo	Randomization described?	concealed	baseline	population	Number recruited
Anonymous 1987 USA	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age=58 83% male	2395 randomized
Lopressor Intervention Trial					
Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	Adequate; computer-generated randomization lists in blocks of 10	NR	Yes	Mean age=60 75.5% male	1395 randomized
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial					
Fair quality					
Olsson, 1985	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age=59.5 80.5% male	301 randomized
Stockholm Metoprolol Trial				80.376 mate	
Salathia 1985 Northern Ireland	Adequate; block randomization	NR	Yes	Mean age NR 71.5% male	800 randomized
Belfast Metoprolol Trial					
Fair quality					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 121 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Metoprolol vs placebo		•				
Anonymous 1987 USA		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lopressor Intervention Trial						
Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	Contraindications to beta blockade; need for beta blockade; administrative considerations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial						
Fair quality						
Olsson, 1985	Systolic BP <100 mm Hg; sever cardiac failure not responding to digitalis or diuretics; severe intermittent claudication; obstructive	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Stockholm Metoprolol Trial	pulmonary disease; need for beta-adrenoceptor blockade; other major disease; unwillingness to participate.					
Salathia 1985 Northern Ireland		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Belfast Metoprolol Trial						
Fair quality						

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 122 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-
Metoprolol vs placebo							
Anonymous 1987 USA	NR	Attrition=30.7%; others NR	NR	Fair	CIBA-GEIGY	Yes	1.5 years
Lopressor Intervention Trial							
Herlitz, 1984 Herlitz, 1997 Sweden	NR			Good	NR	Yes	1 year
Goteborg Metoprolol Trial							
Fair quality							
Olsson, 1985	NR	Attrition=24.2%; others NR	NR	Fair	AB Hassle	Yes	3 years
Stockholm Metoprolol Trial		outers tvic					
Salathia 1985 Northern Ireland	NR	NR	NR	Fair	Astra Pharmaceuticals	Yes	1 year
Belfast Metoprolol Trial							
Fair quality							

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 123 of 347

Author, Year Country Pindolol vs placebo	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Australian & Swedish Study 1983 Australia, Sweden	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age=58 83% male	529 randomized
Propranolol vs placebo Anonymous, 1982, 1983 Goldstein, 1983 Lichstein, 1983 Furberg, 1984 Jafri, 1987 United States Beta-blocker Heart Attack Trial (BHAT)	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age=54.8 84.4% male 88.8% white	3837 randomized
Hansteen 1982 Norway	Adequate; blocks of 10	NR	No; Mean heart size higher in pro group	Mean age NR 85% male	560 randomized
Baber 1980 Multinational	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age=54.9 84.5% male	720 randomized

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 124 of 347

Author, Year Country Pindolol vs	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
placebo						
Australian & Swedish Study 1983 Australia, Sweden	Uncontrolled heart failure; uNRelated heart disease; persistent heart block of second or third degree; persistent bradycardia <50 beats/minute; obstructive airways disease; uncontrollable inslulin dependent diabetes; known hypersensitivity to beta blocking drugs; other diseases serious enough to worsen the short-term prognosis irrespectively of the MI; pregnancy; necessity to use beta blocking druga or calcium antagonists; unable to return for regular control.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Propranolol vs						
placebo Anonymous, 1982, 1983 Goldstein, 1983 Lichstein, 1983 Furberg, 1984 Jafri, 1987 United States	Chronic obstructive lung disease; severe CHF; bradycardia; life-threatening illness other than CHF; need for beta blocking drugs	Yes	Deaths classified by blinded mortality classification subcommittee	Yes	Yes	Yes
Beta-blocker Heart Attack Trial (BHAT)						
Hansteen 1982 Norway	Cotraindications to beta blockade; uncontrolled heart failure	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Baber 1980 Multinational	Bronchospasm; atriovenyricular block greater than first degree; sinus bradycardia; persistent heart failure; beta blockade at the time of infarction.	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 125 of 347

Author, Year Country Pindolol vs placebo	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up: differential/high	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow- up
Australian & Swedish Study 1983 Australia, Sweden	NR	Attrition=23.8%; Compliance=54% took 90% or more	NR	Fair	Sandoz Ltd.	Yes	24 months
Propranolol vs placebo Anonymous, 1982, 1983 Goldstein, 1983 Lichstein, 1983 Furberg, 1984 Jafri, 1987 United States Beta-blocker Heart Attack Trial (BHAT)	NR	NR	Lost to fu: pro=4(0.2%); pla=8(0.4%)	Fair	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute	Yes	mean of 25 months
Hansteen 1982 Norway	NR	Attrition=25.3%; Compliance(% taken > 95%): 80	NR	Fair	Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd.	Yes	12 months
Baber 1980 Multinational	NR	Attrition=23.5%; others NR	NR	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals	Yes	9 months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 126 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author	Mean EF		
Year			
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Bisoprolol			
Anonymous 1994	25.4%	Age 18-75, CHF, dyspnea or fatigue corresponding to NYHA III or IV, ambulatory, clinically stable past 3 weeks and no heart	CHF due to hypertrophic or restrictive cardiomyopathy with predominant left ventricular diastolic dysfunction; or secondary to
The Cardiac Insufficiency	NYHA Class III: 95% IV: 5%	failure past 6 weeks. Mandatory background medication diuretic and vasodilator therapy. Ejection fraction <40%.	mitral or aortic valve disease surgically repaired <6 months, or not repaired.
Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS I)		Etiology of heart failure: (1) idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy with no known cause, (2) ischemia with documented history, (3) hypertension with history of therapy, (4) valvular heart disease	MI <3 months. Awaiting bypass surgery or transplantation. Disabling permanent dyspnea at rest, insulin-dependent diabetes, asthma, renal insufficiency, hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism,
70 centers in 9 European countries		repaired >6 months and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy with significant mitral valve insufficiency.	short life expectancy due to severe illness or malignancy.
Fair quality			Resting heart rate <65 bpm; systolic blood pressure <100 or >160 mm Hg. No digitalis or amiodarone treatment <6 weeks before or 2 months after inclusion. Beta-adrenergic agonist or antagonist drugs and phosphodiesterase inhibitors prohibited.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 127 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Bisoprolol					
Anonymous	Bisoprolol (bis) 5 mg	Diuretic: 100%	Primary: Total mortality.	Mean age 59.6	CHF etiology:
1994	vs. placebo (pla)	Vasodilator:			IDC: 36%
	for 1+ years	ACEIs: 90%	Secondary: Bisoprolol	82.5% Male	Ischemia: 55%
The Cardiac		Calcium antagonists: 6%	tolerability (premature		Hypertension: 5%
Insufficiency	Initial dose 1.25 mg/day titrated	Other: 40%	withdrawals, NYHA functional	Race NR	Valvular disease: 4%
Bisoprolol Study	over 1 month. Clinician choice for	Digitalis: 57%	status, number of nonlethal		
(CIBIS I)	dose levels at 1.25 mg (17%), 2.5	Antiarrhythmic:	critical events.		History of acute episodes
	mg (30%), 3.75 mg (2%) or 5 mg	Amiodarone: 20%			of heart failure: 56%
70 centers in 9	(51%) per day.	Other: 6%	Followup every 3 months, mean	l	History of MI: 47%
European countries		Anticoagulant: 39%	duration 1.9 years.		
•		Antiplatelet: 26%	·		Mean LVEF: 25.4%
Fair quality					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 128 of 347

Author				Method of
Year	Number screened/	Number withdrawn/		adverse effects
Country	eligible/enrolled	lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?
Bisoprolol				
Anonymous	Total screened & eligible: NR	Total withdrawn: 157/641	Primary (All Deaths):	NR
1994	Enrolled: 641	(24.5%)	Bis: 53/320 (16.6%)	
		Bis 75/320 (23.4%)	Pla: 67/321 (20.9%) (NS)	
The Cardiac	bis (n= 320)	Pla 82/321 (25.5%)	Sudden death:	
Insufficiency	pla (n= 321)		Bis: 15/320 (4.7%)	
Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS I)		1 patient lost to follow-up.	Pla: 17/321 (5.3%) (NS)	
(0.2.0.1)		Analyzed=641	Secondary:	
70 centers in 9		,	NYHA class improvement:	
European countries			Bis: 68/320 (21%)	
			Pla: 48/321 (15%) (p<.03)	
Fair quality			NYHA class deterioration:	
4			Bis: 41/320 (13%)	
			Pla: 35/321 (11%) (NS)	
			Heart failure:	
			Bis: 11/320 (3.4%)	
			Pla: 22/321 (6.9%)(NS)	
			Subgroup deaths, no MI history:	
			Bis: 18/151 (12%)	
			Pla: 42/187 (22.5%) (p=0.01)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 129 of 347

Author				
Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events	s (%,	
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Bisoprolol				
Anonymous	NR, except	NR		
1994	Bis: 2 sinus bradycardia, 2 atriovent	tricular		
	blockade	Non CV events:		
The Cardiac		Bis: 44/320 (13.7%)		
Insufficiency		Pla: 54/321 (16.8%)		
Bisoprolol Study				
(CIBIS I)				
,				
70 centers in 9				
European countries				
•				
Fair quality				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 130 of 347

Author	Mean EF		
Year			
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Anonymous	27.5%	Age 18-80, CHF diagnosis >3 months previous, dyspnea on	Uncontrolled hypertension, MI or unstoppable angina pectoris in
1999		exertion, orthopnea or paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea, and	past 3 months, revascularization in past 6 months, previous or
	NYHA Class	fatigue, corresponding to NYHA III or IV; ambulatory, clinically	scheduled heart transplant, atrioventricular block > first degree
The Cardiac	III: 83%	stable past 6 weeks or 3 months for acute MI. CV therapy	without pacemaker, resting heart rate < 60 bpm, systolic blood
Insufficiency	IV: 17%	unchanged past 2 weeks. Mandatory medication diuretic and	pressure <100, renal failure, reversible obstructive lung disease or
Bisoprolol Study		ACE inhibitor or other vasodilator if ACEI intolerant. Ejection	planned therapy with beta-adrenoreceptor blockers. No treatment
(CIBIS II)		fraction <35%.	with beta blockers (also eye drops), calcium antagonists, inotropic
			agents except digitalis, and antiarrhythmic drugs except
Good quality			amiodarone during trial.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 131 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Anonymous 1999	Bisoprolol (bis) 10 mg. vs. placebo (pla)	Diuretic: 99% Vasodilator: -ACE inhibitors: 96%	Primary: Total mortality.	Mean age 61	CHF etiology: - Primary dilated
	for 1+ years	-Calcium antagonists:	Secondary: All-cause hospital	80.5% Male	cardiomyopathy: 12%
The Cardiac		2%	admission, all CV deaths,		- Ischemia: 50%
Insufficiency	Initial dose 1.25 mg/day titrated	- Nitrates: 58%	combined endpoint, permanent	Race NR	- Other heart failure: 39%
Bisoprolol Study	weekly for 3 weeks to 5 mg (13%),	Digoxin: 52%	treatment withdrawals.		
(CIBIS II)	then 4-week intervals to 7.5 mg	Antiarrhythmic:			
,	(11%) and 10 mg/day (43%).	- Amiodarone: 15%	Followup every 3 months, mean		
Good quality		Anticoagulant:	duration 1.3 years.		
. ,	No run-in period.	31%	•		
		Antiplatelet: 41%	Study stopped early with significant results.		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 132 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Year			Outcomes Primary - Total mortality: Bis: 156/1327 (12%) Pla: 228/1320 (17%) (p<.0001)	adverse effects
			Bis: 3/1327 (0.2%) Pla: 11/1320 (0.8%) (p=0.03) - for bradycardia: Bis: 14/1327 (1.1%)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 133 of 347

Author

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,

Country Adverse Effects Reported adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Anonymous NR NR

1999

The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS II)

Good quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 134 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Carvedilol			
Bristow 1996	23% NYHA class II: 46%	Age 18-85, ejection fraction \leq 35%, symptomatic ischemic or dilated cardiomyopathy heart failure, symptoms present \geq 3 months, walk test 150-450 m, stability (no change in NYHA class and absence of hospitalization) > past 1 month, any	Uncorrected valvular disease, hypertrophic or postpartum cardiomyopathy, uncontrolled symptomatic or sustained ventricular tachycardia, acute MI within 3 months, planned or likely revascularization or transplantation within 6 months after
Multicenter Oral Carvedilol Heart Failure Assessment (MOCHA) Fair quality	II: 52% IV: 2%	digoxin use started \geq 2 months prior and stable dose \geq past 1 month, resting heart rate \geq 68 bpm.	screening. Also, sick sinus syndrome, 2nd- or 3rd-degree heart block not treated with pacemaker, symptomatic peripheral vascular disease limiting exercise testing, sitting systolic blood pressure <85 mm Hg or >160 mm Hg, CV accident within last 3 months, cor pulmonale, obstructive pulmonary disease requiring oral bronchodilator or steroid therapy, and other selected disorders and sensitivities.
			Excluded drugs: alcohol intake >100 g/day, use of investigational drug within 30 days, CCBs, amiodarone within 3 months, and others.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 135 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Carvedilol					
Bristow 1996	Carvedilol (car) 12.5 mg, 25 mg, 50 mg daily	ACE inhibitors: 94% Digitalis: 92%	Primary: Improvement in submaximal	Mean age 59.5	Ischemic cause: 52%
	Placebo (pla) x 6 months	Loop-activity diuretics: 95% Thiazide diuretics: 18%	exercise, using 6-minute walk test and 9-minute self-powered	76% Male	
Multicenter Oral		Vasodilators: 35%	treadmill test.	78% White	
Carvedilol Heart	3-week screening phase.				
Failure Assessment	2-week run-in with open-label car.		Secondary:		
(MOCHA)	to establish tolerability prior to randomization.		Changes in quality of life, NYHA class, EF, need for		
Fair quality	2-week titration phase.		hospitalization due to heart failure and other CV causes, and signs and symptoms of heart failure.		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 136 of 347

Author Year	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Country Carvedilol	engible/enroned	lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?
Bristow 1996	Screened: NR Eligible for run-in: 376	Total: 52/345 (15%)	No effect on exercise duration.	NR
	Enrolled: 345	Lost to QOL assessment: 38/345 (11%)	No effect on NYHA class.	
Multicenter Oral	car. 50 mg (n=89)		Crude mortality at 6 months:	
Carvedilol Heart	car. 25 mg (n=89)	Lost to hospitalization	car 25 bid: 1/89 (1.1%)(p=<0.001)	
Failure Assessment	car.12.5 mg (n=83)	assessment: 23/345 (6.7%)	car 12.5 bid: 6/89 (6.7%) (p=0.07)	
(MOCHA)	placebo (n=84)	,	car 6.25 bid: 5/83 (6.0%) (p=<.05)	
,	,	Lost to exercise result: NR	Pla: 13/84 (15.5%)	
Fair quality			(p-values vs. placebo)	
. ,		Analyzed=345		
			Sudden death	
			Car (all)=6/261(2.3%); pla=6/84(7.1%)	
			CV Hospitalizations Total:	
			car 25 bid: 9/82 (11.0%)	
			car 12.5 bid: 11/82 (13.4%)	
			car 6.25 bid: 9/80 (11.3%)	
			Pla: 17/78 (21.8%)	
			(no linear trend)	
			(all car. vs. pl, p=0.03)	
			QOL mean score change:	
			car 25 bid: -5.5	
			car 12.5 bid: -7.3	
			car 6.25 bid: -7.9	
			Pla: -7.3	
			(NS)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 137 of 347

Author			
Year	Advance Effects Deposited	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,	Commonto
Country Carvedilol	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Bristow 1996	Dizziness: All car: 83/261 (31.8%) car 25 bid: 34/89 (38.2%)	Withdrawals due to any adverse events: car(all)=18%; pla=11%	
Multicenter Oral Carvedilol Heart Failure Assessment (MOCHA)	car 12.5 bid: 29/89 (32.6%) car 6.25 bid: 20/83 (24.1%) pla: 19/84 (22.6%) (linear trend, p=0.01) (all car vs. pla, p=0.11)		
Fair quality	Cardiac failure: All car: 56/261 (21.4%) car 25 bid: 22/89 (24.7%) car 12.5 bid: 23/89 (25.8%) car 6.25 bid: 11/83 (13.3%) pla: 19/84 (22.6%) (linear trend, p=0.34) (all car vs. pla, p=0.82)		
	Edema or weight gain: All car: 30/261 (11.5%) car 25 bid: 9/89 (10.1%) car 12.5 bid: 10/89 (11.2%) car 6.25 bid: 11/83 (13.3%) pla: 5/84 (6.0%) (linear trend, p=0.60) (all car vs. pla, p=0.14)		
	Bradycardia: All car: 21/261 (8.0%) car 25 bid: 10/89 (11.2%) car 12.5 bid: 10/89 (11.2%) car 6.25 bid: 1/83 (1.2%) pla: 1/84 (1.2%) (linear trend, p=0.001) (all car vs. pla, p=.03)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 138 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Packer 1996	22% NYHA class	Chronic heart failure (dyspnea or fatigue ≥3 months), LVEF ≤35% despite ≥2 months treatment with diuretics and ACEI.	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, active myocarditis or obstructive or restrictive cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic or sustained
PRECISE	II: 40% III: 56%		ventricular tachycardia not controlled by antiarrhythmic drugs or implantable defibrillator; sick sinus syndrome or advanced heart
Fair quality	IV: 4%		block (without pacemaker); any condition other than heart failure that could limit exercise; systolic blood pressure >160 or <85 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg; heart rate <68 bpm; significant hepatic, renal or endocrine disease; drug or alcohol abuse; or any condition that could limit survival.
			Patients receiving CCBs, alpha- or beta-adrenergic agonist or antagonists or specific antiarrhythmic drugs.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 139 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Packer	Carvedilol (car) 50 mg daily vs.	Digitalis: 90%	Primary:	Mean age 60.3	Cause of heart failure
1996	placebo (pla)	Loop-active diuretic: 99%	Exercise tolerance on 6-minute		- CAD : 52%
	for 6 months	ACEI: 97%	corridor walk and 9-minute	73% Male	 Nonischemic dilated
PRECISE		Direct-acting vasodilator: 29%	treadmill.		cardiomyopathy: 48%
	Begin 6.25 mg bid titrated over 2-6			Race NR	
Fair quality	weeks (50 mg bid for weight >85		Secondary:		
	kg) - 87% reached target, avg 28		global assessment, NYHA class	,	
	mg/day.		LVEF, quality of life		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 140 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Packer	Screened: NR	49/278 (18%) withdrawn	Primary:	NR
1996	Eligible for run-in: 301		6-minute exercise test increase:	
	Enrolled: 278	Lost to follow-up for NYHA class	car: 17 m	
PRECISE		and global assessment: 9%	pla: 6 m (NS)	
	car (n= 133)		No difference in 9-minute treadmill test.	
Fair quality	pla (n= 145)	Lost to follow-up for AE report:		
		10/278 (4%)	Secondary:	
			NYHA class III/IV improvement:	
		Analyzed: 278	car: 28/130 (21.5%)	
			pla: 9/130 (6.9%) (p=0.014)	
			NYHA class deterioration:	
			car: 3% vs. pla: 15% (p=0.001)	
			No difference in QOL scores.	
			LVEF change:	
			car: +8%	
			pla: +3% (p<.001)	
			Deaths (ITT):	
			car: 6/133 (4.5%)	
			pla: 11/145 (7.6%) (NS)	
			CV hospitalization (ITT): car: 22/133 (16.5%) pla: 37/145 (25.5%) (NS)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 141 of 347

Author				
Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,		
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Packer	Dizziness:	Withdrawals due to any adverse event:		
1996	car: 31/129 (24.0%)	car=7(5.3%); pla=11(8.3%)		
	pla: 16/139 (11.5%) (p<.01)			
PRECISE				
	Heart failure:			
Fair quality	car: 15/129 (11.6%)			
. ,	pla: 31/139 (22.3%) (p<.025)			
	Weight gain: NR			
	Bradycardia:			
	car: 7/129 (5.4%)			
	pla: 1/139 (0.7%) (p<.025)			
	Hypotension:			
	car: 8/129 (6.2%)			
	pla: 3/139 (2.2%) (NS)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 142 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Colucci	Mild	Age 18-85 with chronic symptomatic heart failure (dyspnea or	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, nondilated or hypertrophic
1996	23%	fatigue) \geq 3 months), LVEF \leq 35% despite \geq 2 months treatment with diuretics and ACEI.	cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic or sustained ventricular tachycardia not
U.S. Carvedilol	NYHA class		controlled by antiarrhythmic drugs or implantable defibrillator
Heart Failure Study	II: 85%		within 3 months; likelihood of revascularization or transplantation
Group (Mild)	III: 15%		within 12 months; sick sinus syndrome or advanced heart block (without pacemaker); any condition other than heart failure that
Fair quality			could limit exercise; systolic blood pressure >160 or <85 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg; clinically significant hepatic or renal disease, or any condition that could limit survival.
			Patients receiving amiodarone within 3 months before screening.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 143 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Colucci	Carvedilol (car) 50 mg daily vs.	Background therapy held	Primary:	Mean age 55	Cause of heart failure:
1996	placebo (pla)	constant if possible, adjusted	progression of heart failure.		Ischemic: 42%
	for 12 months (mean 7 months)	for AE		85% Male	Nonischemic: 58%
U.S. Carvedilol			Secondary:		
Heart Failure Study	Begin 12.5 mg bid titrated (50 mg		LVEF, NYHA class, heart failure	Race NR	
Group (Mild)	bid for weight <u>></u> 85 kg) - 85%		score, global assessments,		
	achieved max dose.		quality of life, 9-minute self-		
Fair quality			powered treadmill test, and		
	Terminated early with significant		heart size		
	results.				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 144 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Colucci	Screened: NR	Withdrawals=8.5%; Lost to fu	Primary:	NR
1996	Eligible for run-in: 389 Enrolled: 366	nr; Analyzed=366	Clinical progression of heart failure: car: 25/232 (10.8%)	
U.S. Carvedilol			pla: 28/134 (20.9%) (p=0.008)	
Heart Failure Study	car (n=232)		, , , , ,	
Group (Mild)	pla (n=134)		All deaths:	
, , ,	,		car: 2/232 (0.9%)	
Fair quality			pla: 5/134 (3.7%) (p=0.048)	
			CV deaths:	
			car: 0	
			pla: 4/134 (3.0%) (p<.01)	
			Hospitalization for heart failure:	
			car: 9/232 (3.9%)	
			pla: 8/134 (6.0%) (NS)	
			Secondary:	
			NYHA class improved:	
			car: 12% vs. pla: 9%	
			NYHA class worsened:	
			car: 4% vs. pla: 15%	
			(overall change favors car, p=0.003)	
			QOL score mean change:	
			car: -4.9 vs. pla: -2.4 (NS)	
			No difference in exercise test.	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 145 of 347

Comments

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year		With drawale due to adverse events (9/
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Colucci	dizziness:	nr
1996	car: 81/232 (34.9%)	
	pla: 27/134 (20.1%) (p<.01)	
U.S. Carvedilol		
Heart Failure Study	cardiac failure:	
Group (Mild)	car: 26/232 (11.2%)	
,	pla: 22/134 (16.4%) (NS)	
Fair quality		
	weight increase:	
	car: 29/232 (12.5%)	
	pla: 10/134 (7.5%) (NS)	
	by a diverged in	
	bradycardia:	
	car: 30/232 (12.9%)	
	pla: 1/134 (0.7%) (p<.001)	
	hypotension:	
	car: 21/232 (9.1%)	
	pla: 4/134 (3.0%) (p<.05)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 146 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Cohn 1997	22% NYHA class	Age 22-85; symptoms of heart failure (dyspnea or fatigue) ≥3 months); LVEF ≤35% despite ≥2 months treatment with diuretics and ACEI; able to walk less than 150 m on 6-minute	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, nondilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic or sustained ventricular tachycardia not
U.S. Carvedilol Heart Failure Study Group	II: 1% III: 86% IV: 14%	corridor walk test assigned to severe protocol (relaxed to <350 m due to slow enrollment).	controlled by antiarrhythmic drugs or implantable defibrillator within 3 months; likelihood heart transplantation within 6 months; sick sinus syndrome or advanced heart block without pacemaker; any condition other than heart failure that could limit exercise;
Poor quality			systolic blood pressure >160 or <85 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg; clinically significant hepatic or renal disease, or any condition that could limit survival.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 147 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Cohn	Carvedilol (car) 50 mg daily	Diuretic: 98%	Primary:	Mean age 60	Cause of heart failure:
1997	Placebo (pla) x 6 months, mean 3	ACEI: 93%	quality of life		Ischemic: 45%
	months.	Digoxin: 90%		58% Male	Nonischemic: 55%
U.S. Carvedilol			Secondary:		
Heart Failure Study			mortality, CV hospitalizations,	Race:	
Group			global assessments, NYHA	71% White	
			class, LVEF, 6-minute walk	21% Black	
Poor quality			exercise test	8% Other	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 148 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Cohn	Screened: NR	Reported withdrawn: 12/105	[carry-forward analysis]	NR
1997	Eligible for run-in: 131	(11%) (4 deaths, 2 transplants.		
	Enrolled: 105	5 AE)	Primary:	
U.S. Carvedilol			QOL score improvement: car=11.6; pla=8.8	
Heart Failure Study	car (n= 70)	Reports 1 lost to follow-up.		
Group	pla (n= 35)	Final sample sizes often NR.	Secondary:	
		Lost to LVEF test: 50/105	No difference in NYHA class.	
Poor quality		(52%).	No difference in CV hospitalization.	
		Lost to follow-up in 2 months:	No difference in deaths.	
		35/105 (33%)		
		Lost to follow-up in 6 months:	6-minute exercise test increase:	
		92/105 (88%)	car: 19.0 m	
		. ,	pla: 28.4 m (NS)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 149 of 347

Author				
Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,		
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Cohn	[sample size NR - unreliable]	Withdrawals due to:		
1997		Bradycardia/heart block: car=3(1.4%); pla=0		
	dizziness:	Dizziness/hypotension: car=3(1.4%); pla=0		
U.S. Carvedilol	car: 24.3%	Worsening heart failure: car=5(2.4%);		
Heart Failure Study	pla: 31.4%	pla=2(0.9%)		
Group				
	worsening heart failure:			
Poor quality	car: 10.0%			
	pla: 22.9%			
	weight gain:			
	car: 10.0%			
	pla: 5.7%			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 150 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author	Mean EF		
Year			
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Richards	29%	Chronic stable heart failure due to ischemic heart disease;	Current NYHA class IV; heart rate below 50 beats per minute;
2001		LVEF <45%; NYHA functional class II or III or previous NYHA	sick sinus syndrome; second or third degree heart block; systolic
Anonymous	NYHA class	class II-IV	BP <90 mm Hg or >160/100 mm Hg; treadmill exercise duration
1995, 1997	II: 30%		<2 minutes or >18 minutes; coronary event or procedure within
	III: 54%		previous 4 weeks; primary myocardial or valvular disease; current
Australia/New	IV: 16%		treatment with beta-blocker, beta-agonist or verapamil; insulin-
Zealand Heart			dependent DM; obstructive airways disease; hepatic disease; any
Failure Research			other life-threatening non-cardiac disease.
Collaborative Group			
Study			

Good quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 151 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Richards	Carvedilol (car) 50 mg daily	ACEI: 85%	Primary:	Mean age 67	Previous MI: 88.6%
2001	Placebo (pla) x 12 months	Diuretic: 76%	Change in LVEF and treadmill		Previous hospital
Anonymous		Digoxin: 79%	exercise duration (Naughton	80% male	admission for CHF: 42%
1995, 1997	Begin 6.25 mg bid titrated over2-5		protocol 2-min. stages)		Previous highest NYHA
	weeks. At 6 months, avg. 46 mg			Race NR	class:
Australia/New	daily.		Secondary:		II: 26.5%
Zealand Heart			Change in LV dimension, 6-		III: 30%
Failure Research			minute walk distance, symptoms	3	IV: 43%
Collaborative Group			of heart failure, frequency of		Current NYHA class:
Study			death, hospital admission, and		I: 30%
			worsening heart failure		II: 54%
Good quality					III: 16%
			Clinical assessment at 5 weeks		Current treatment for heart
			and 3 months, then every 3		failure:
			months.		ACEI: 85.5%
					Diuretic: 75.6%
					Digoxin: 38%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 152 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Richards 2001	Screened: NR Eligible for run-in: 442	Total withdrawn at 6 months: 43/415 (10%)/lost to fu	Primary:	NR
Anonymous	Enrolled: 415	nr/analyzed=415	No significant i mprovement in treadmill	
1995, 1997	(duration	
Australia/New	car (n= 207) pla (n= 208)		Casandanu	
Zealand Heart	pia (11– 200)		Secondary:	
Failure Research			No significant improvement in 6-min. walk distance	
Collaborative Group			distance	
Study			NYHA class (12 months)	
· · · · · · ·			improved: car 26%; pla 28%	
Good quality			no change: car=58%; pla=58%	
			worse: car 16%; pla 13%	
			Total mortality:	
			car: 20/208 (9.6%)	
			pla: 26/207 (12.6%) (NS)	
			Sudden death:	
			car: 10/208 (4.8%)	
			pla: 11/207 (5.3%) (NS)	
			All hospital admissions:	
			car: 99/208 (47.6%)	
			pla: 120/207 (58.0%) (NS)	
			All CV hospitalizations:	
			car: 70/208 (33.7%)	
			pla: 83/207 (40.1%)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 153 of 347

Autnor Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,			
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments		
Richards	nr	Withdrawals due to:			
2001		Dizziness/Hypotension:			
Anonymous		car: 3/207 (1.4%)			
1995, 1997		pla: 0 (NS)			
Australia/New		Worsening heart failure:			
Zealand Heart		car: 5/207 (2.4%)			
Failure Research		pla: 2/208 (0.9%) (NS)			
Collaborative Group	p				
Study		Bradycardia/Heart block: car: 3/207 (1.4%)			
Good quality		pla: 0 (NS)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 154 of 347

Final Report Update 3

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Cleland, 2003	29.5%	Stable chronic heart failure (defined as freedom from an acute cardiovascular event for 3 months; freedom from all-cause	Patients younger than 40 years and women of child-bearing age; resting heart rate less than 60 beats per minute; sitting systolic
Carvedilol	NYHA	admission for 1 month; stable treatment for heart failure for at	blood pressure less than 85 mm Hg; unstable angina;
Hibernating	Class	least 2 weeks) with objective evidence of left ventricular systolic	arrhythmias; uncontrolled hypertension; obstructive pulmonary
Reversible	I: 11.1%	dysfunction (ECG wall motion index cutoff of 1.3 or less;	disease; poorly controlled diabetes; or clinically relevant renal or
Ischaemia Trial:	II: 60.3%	corresponding to an LVEF of <40%) due to coronary artery	hepatic disease; those receiving non-dihydropiridine calcium-
Marker of Success	III: 28.5%	disease (defined as history of myocardial infarction, coronary	channel blockers; beta blockers, or antiarrhythmic agents other
(CHRISTMAS)		revascularisation, or coronary artery disease on arteriography); NYHA Class I-III	than amiodarone
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 155 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Cleland, 2003	Carvedilol (car) 6.25-50 mg daily	Angiotensin-converting enzyme	Primary: Change in LVEF in	Age: 62.5	Current smokers: 16.7%
	Placebo (pla) x 4 months	inhibitors treatment compulsory	hibernators versus non-	% male: 90	Diabetes: 22.3%
Carvedilol	maintenance		hibernators	% white: 91.1	Previous MI: 90.2%
Hibernating			Secondary: (1) LVEF change in	1	Previous CABG: 45.2%
Reversible			carvedilol versus placebo,		NYHA Class
Ischaemia Trial:			irrespective of hibernation		I: 11.1%
Marker of Success			status; (2)relation between		II: 60.3%
(CHRISTMAS)			volume of hibernating		III: 28.5%
			myocardium and change in		LVEF (mean): 29.5%
Fair quality			LVEF; (3) change in contractile		
. ,			dysfunction in hibernators		
			versus non-hibernators; (4)		
			change in number of segments		
			with reversible exercise-induced		
			myocardial perfusion defects on		
			carvedilol versus placebo; (5)		
			composite of death or		
			worsening of heart failure in		
			carvedilol vs placebo		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 156 of 347

Author Year	Number screened/	Number withdrawn/		Method of adverse effects
Country	eligible/enrolled	lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?
Cleland, 2003	489 screened/440 eligible/387 enrolled	82(21.2%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/305 analyzed	Exercise time (seconds): car=405; pla=427 (NS)	nr
Carvedilol		·	Death: car=8/188(4.3%);	
Hibernating			pla=6/188=3.2%(NS)	
Reversible			Composite of all-cause mortality and	
Ischaemia Trial:			worsening heart failure: car=44/187(23.5%);	
Marker of Success			pla=37/188(19.7%) (NS)	
(CHRISTMAS)				

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 157 of 347

Author Year	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)	
Country			Comments
Cleland, 2003	Overall adverse events: frequent in both groups (rates nr)	nr	
Carvedilol			
Hibernating	Dizziness, fatigue, syncope and		
Reversible Ischaemia Trial: Marker of Success (CHRISTMAS)	bradycardia were more typical with carvedilol than with placebo (rates nr)		
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 158 of 347

Author	Mean EF		
Year Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Eichhorn 2001 Packer, 2001, 2002 Krum 2003	19.8% NYHA Class nr	Patients with severe chronic heart failure as a result of ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy	Heart failure that was caused by uncorrected primary valvular disease or a reversible form of cardiomyopathy; had received or were likely to receive a cardiac transplant; had severe primary pulmonary, renal, or hepatic disease; or had a contraindication to beta-blocker therapy; coronary revascularization, acute myocardial or cerebral ischemic event, sustained or
The Carvedilol Prospective Randomized Cumulative Survival (COPERNICUS) Trial			hemodynamically destabilizing ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation within the previous two months; use of an alpha-adrenergic blocker, a calcium-channel blocker, or a class I antiarrhythmic drug within the previous four weeks or a beta-blocker within the previous two months; systolic blood pressure lower than 85 mm Hg; heart rate lower than 68 beats per minute; serum creatinine concentration higher than 2.8 mg per deciliter; serum potassium concentration lower than 3.5 mmol per liter or
Fair quality			higher than 5.2 mmol per liter; increase of more than 0.5 mg per deciliter in the serum creatinine concentration or a change in body weight of more than 1.5 kg during the screening period

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 159 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Eichhorn	Carvedilol (car) 50 mg daily	Usual medications for heart	Primary: All-cause mortality	Age: pla=63.4;	% ischemic cause: pla=67;
2001	(n=1156)	failure	Secondary: (1) Combined risk	car=63.2	car=67
Packer,	Placebo (pla) (n=1133)		of death/hospitalization for any	%male: pla=80;	% left ventricular ejection
2001, 2002			reason; (2) combined risk of	car=79	fraction: pla=19.8; car=19.9
Krum			death or hospitalization for CV	Race NR	% heart failure
2003			reason; (3) combined risk of		hospitalization within past
			death/hospitalization for HF; (4)		year: pla=65; car=66
The Carvedilol			patient global assessment		

The Carvedilol
Prospective
Randomized
Cumulative Survival
(COPERNICUS)
Trial

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 160 of 347

Author Year	Number screened/	Number withdrawn/		Method of adverse effects
Country	eligible/enrolled	lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?
Eichhorn	3106 screened/eligible	withdrawn: pla=84; car=70/0	n (hazard ratio; 95%CI)	NR
2001	nr/2289 randomized	lost/analyzed(ITT): pla=1133;	All-cause mortality: pla=190; car=130 (0.65;	
Packer,		car=1156	0.52-0.81)	
2001, 2002			Death/hospitalization for any reason:	
Krum			pla=507; car=425 (0.76; 0.67-0.87)	
2003			Death/hospitalization for CV reason:	
			pla=395; car=314 (0.73; 0.84-0.63)	
The Carvedilol			Death/hospitalization for HF: pla=357;	
Prospective			pla=271 (0.69; 0.81-0.59)	
Randomized				
Cumulative Survival			No. of pts hospitalized, n(%)	
(COPERNICUS)			Worsening HF: pla=268(23.7);	
Trial			car=198(17.1)	
			CV reason: pla=314(27.7); car=246(21.3)	
Fair quality			For any reason: pla=432(38.1);	
			car=372(32.2)	
			More than once: pla=188(16.6);	
			car=152(13.1)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 161 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments
Eichhorn 2001 Packer, 2001, 2002 Krum 2003	Serious adverse events: pla=516(45.5%); car=451(39.0%)	One-year withdrawal rates: pla=18.5%; car=14.8%	Study stopped early based on the finding of a significant beneficial effect of carvedilol on survival that exceeded the prespecified interim monitoring boundaries
The Carvedilol Prospective Randomized Cumulative Survival (COPERNICUS) Trial			Mortality reduction equivalent for age, gender, LVEF, cause of HF subgroups
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 162 of 347

Final Report Update 3

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Fair quality

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Hori	LVEF=30%	Patient who had ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy with	Valvular heart disease, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy,
2004	NYHA class	stable symptoms (NYHA functional class II or III); LVEF ≤ 40%;	cardiogenic shock, systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg,
Japan	II/III=78%	age between 20 and 79 years	bradycardia (<60/min), grade II or III atrioventricular block, life- threatening arrhythmia, unstable angina, resting angina, cor
The Multicenter			pulmonale, asthma, Raynaud phenomenon, and intermittent
Carvedilol Heart			claudication; myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass
Failure Dose Assessment (MUCHA) Trial			grafting had occurred within the preceding 3 months

Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 163 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Hori	Run-in	Diuretics, digitalis, ACE	Primary: Improvement of global	•	Nonischemic etiology of
2004	Open carvedilol 2.5 mg daily x 1-2	inhibitors, calcium channel	assessment of CHF by	77% male	heart failure=73%
Japan	weeks; then open carvedilol 5 mg	blockers, vasodilators, anti-	attending physician (markedly	100%	NYHA class II/III=78%
	daily x ≥ 2 weeks	arrhythmic agents	improved, moderately improved,	Japanese	LVEF=30%
The Multicenter			mildly improved, no change,		Systolic BP (mm HG)=119
Carvedilol Heart	<u>Treatment</u>		worsened, unassessable)		Diastolic BP (mm Hg)=72
Failure Dose	Carvedilol 5 mg daily		Secondary: all-cause death or		Heart rate (beats/min)=80
Assessment	Carvedilol 20 mg daily		hospitalization for		Body weight=61 kg
(MUCHA) Trial	Placebo x 24-48 weeks		cardiovascular disease (CVD),		Other medications
,			CVD hospitalization,		ACE-inhibitors=76%
Fair quality			hospitalization for worsening		Diuretics=86%
. ,			CHF, changes of LVEF, and changes of NYHA class		Digitalis=65%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 164 of 347

Method of adverse effects
Outcomes assessment?
ter run-in Placebo (n=49) vs carvedilol 5 mg (n=47) vs nr
n; number carvedilol 20 mg (n=77); p-value for
carvedilol 5 mg vs placebo comparison; p-
to fu value for carvedilol 20 mg vs placebo
comparison
Primary
Global improvement (proportion of patients
with moderate or marked improvement):
36.7% vs 44.7% vs 59.7%; p=NS; p<0.05
Occasione
Secondary
Death or CVD hospitalization: 24.5% vs
8.5% vs 5.2%; p=0.024; p=0.002
CVD hospitalization: 24.5% vs 4.3% vs 3.9%; p=0.003; p<0.001
%, p=0.003, p<0.001 Worsening CHF: 20.4% vs 2.1% vs 2.6%;
p=0.004; p<0.001
Other CVD reasons for hospitalizations:
6.1% vs 2.1% vs 1.3%; p=0.229; p=0.116
Change in LVEF units (mean): 6.6 vs 8.7 vs
13.2; p=NS; p<0.05
NYHA class
Improved: 48.9% vs 80.9% vs 70.8%;
p<0.001; p<0.05
No change: 40.4% vs 17.0% vs 27.8%;
p<0.05; p=NS
Worsened: 10.6% vs 2.1% vs 1.4%; p=NS;
p=NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 165 of 347

Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,	
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments

Hori Incidence: 63.3% vs 51.1% vs 59.7%; nr

2004 p=NS; p=NS

Japan

The Multicenter Carvedilol Heart Failure Dose Assessment (MUCHA) Trial

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 166 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Metoprolol			
Anderson 985	28%	Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy confirmed by ECG	Unstabilized overt cardiac failure; alcohol abuse; secondary cardiomyopathies; firm exclusions to beta blocker treatment
	NYHA class		(asthma, advanced heart block, allergy)
	avg: 2.8		
ISA			
air quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 167 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Metoprolol					
Anderson	Metoprolol (met) 100 mg daily	Digitalis: 87%	Primary: Survival	Mean age 51	NR
1985	Placebo (pla) x 19 months	Diuretic: 80%			
		Vasodilators: 40%		66% male	
	Begin 12.5 mg bid titrated over 2	Antiarrhythmics: 35%	Secondary: Exercise duration		
USA	weeks to target - median dose 25 mg bid.	Anticoagulant (warfarin): 12%	(Naughton protocol)	Race NR	
Fair quality	-				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 168 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Metoprolol				
Anderson	Screened: NR	Dropout from treatment group:	Primary	NR
1985	Eligible: 50	5/25 (20%)	Deaths:	
	Enrolled: 50	,	met: 5/25 (20%)	
		Overall, 2 patients lost to follow-	pla: 6/25 (24%) (NS)	
USA	met (n=25)	up	. , , , ,	
	pla (n=25)	·	Secondary	
Fair quality		Analyzed=50	Exercise duration:	
		•	met: 9.4 min	
			pla: 8.2 min (NS)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 169 of 347

Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,	s (%,	
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Metoprolol				
Anderson	NR	NR		
1985				

USA

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 170 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Waagstein 1993	22%	16-75 years; symptomatic dilated cardiomyopathy; state of compensated heart failure by means of conventional treatment;	Treatment with beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, inotropic agents or high doses of tricyclic antidepressant drugs; significant
Metoprolol in Dilated	NYHA class I: 3%	systolic BP ≥90 mm Hg; heart rate ≥45 beats per minute	CAD shown by angiography; clinical or histological signs of ongoing myocarditis; other life-threatening diseases; obstructive
Cardiomyopathy	II: 45%		lung disease; excessive alcohol consumption; drug abuse; insulin-
(MDC) Trial	III: 49%		dependent diabetes; pheochromocytoma; thyroid disease
	IV: 4%		
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 171 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Waagstein	Metoprolol (met) 100-150 mg daily	Digitalis: 78%	Primary	Mean age 49	Current smokers: 18%
1993	(higher target for higher weight)	ACEI: 79%	Combined - total deaths and		
	vs. placebo	Nitrates: 14%	need for transplantation.	73% male	
Metoprolol in Dilate	ed for 18 months and 12 months	Antiarrhythmics: 16%			
Cardiomyopathy		Frusemide: 75%	Secondary	Race NR	
(MDC) Trial	Run-in period 2-7 days. Begin 10		Exercise duration (Naughton		
	mg titrated over 6+ weeks to		protocol in North America,		
Fair quality	target - mean dose 108 mg/day.		bicycle exercise protocol in		
			Europe begin 20W +10W		
			increments); also LVEF, QOL,		
			and NYHA change; and hospital		
			readmissions.		
			At 45 days, 3, 6, 12 and 18 months.		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 172 of 347

	dverse effects assessment?
Waagstein Screened: NR Withdrawn from study Primary	NR
1993 Eligible: 417 medication at 12 months: Total deaths or need for transplantation:	
Enrolled: 383 54/383 (14%) met: 25/194 (12.9%)	
Metoprolol in Dilated pla: 38/189 (20.1%) (NS)	
Cardiomyopathy met (n=194) Lost to LVEF measure: 44%	
(MDC) Trial pla (n=189) Lost to QOL measure: 71% All-cause mortality: met=23(11.8%);	
Lost to hospital followup: 6% pla=21(11.1%)	
Fair quality	
Analyzed=383 Sudden death:	
met: 18/194 (9,3%)	
pla: 12/189 (6.3%) (NS)	
Secondary	
Exercise capacity at 6 and 12 months:	
met: +80s and +76s	
pla: +47s and +15s	
(Difference at 12 months, p=0.046)	
NYHA class improvement: data nr	
Quality of life: data nr	
Hospitalization patients:	
met: 37/184 (20.1%)	
pla: 49/177 (27.7%) (NS)	
Hospitalization episodes:	
met: 51/184 (27.7%)	
pla: 83/177 (46.9%) (p≤0.05)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 173 of 347

Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,		
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Waagstein	nr	Withdrawals due to:		
1993		Progressive heart failure:		
		met: 7/194 (3.6%)		
Metoprolol in Dil	ated	pla: 13/189 (6.9%) (NS)		
Cardiomyopathy	•	All "related" adverse events: met=1(0.5%);		
(MDC) Trial		pla=3(1.6%)		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 174 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author	Mean EF		
Year			
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Anonymous 1999 Goldstein 1999 Hjalmarson 2000 Goldstein 2001 Ghali 2002 Gottlieb 2002 Deedwania 2005	28% NYHA class II: 41% III: 55% IV: 4%	Age 40-80; symptomatic heart failure (NYHA class II-IV) for 3 months or more and receiving optimum standard therapy; stable clinical condition during 2 week run-in phase; LVEF of <40%	Acute MI or unstable angina within 28 days; indication or contraindication for treatment with beta-blockade or drugs with beta-blocking properties; heart failure secondary to systemic disease or alcohol abuse; scheduled or performed heart transplantation or cardiomyoplasty; implanted cardioversion defibrillator (expected or performed); CABG or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty planned or performed in the past 4 months; atrioventricular block of the second or third degree; unstable decompensated heart failure; supine systolic BP >100 mm Hg; any serious disease that might complicate management and follow-up according to protocol; use of calcium antagonists; use of amiodarone within 6 months; poor compliance.

Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF)

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 175 of 347

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Anonymous	Metoprolol (met) 200 mg/day vs.	Diuretics: 90%	Primary:	Mean ages:	Current daily smoker:
1999	placebo for 1 year	ACEI: 89%	Total mortality, and combined	<60: 34%	14.4%
Goldstein		Angiotensin I: 7%	total mortality and all-cause	60-69: 35%	Heart failure:
1999	2-week placebo run-in. Begin 12.5	ACEI or Angiotensin II: 96%	hospitalization (time to first	<u>></u> 70: 31%	Ischemic: 65%
Hjalmarson	mg (NYHA class III/IV) or 25 mg	Digitalis: 64%	event)		Nonischemic: 35%
2000	daily, titrated over 6 weeks to	Aspirin:46%	·	77% male	
Goldstein	target.	Lipid-lowering agents: 26%	Secondary:		Previous MI: 48%
2001			Worsening heart-failure	94% White	Atrial fibrillation: 16.6%
Ghali			mortality or hospitalization (time	5% Black	Hypertension: 44%
2002			to first event), other CV events,	1% Other	DM: 24.6%
Gottlieb			NYHA class change, and QOL		
2002			substudy.		
Deedwania			•		

Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF)

Fair quality

2005

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 176 of 347

Author	Nl.	N. alama M. Isaa ah		Method of
Year	Number screened/	Number withdrawn/	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	adverse effects
Country	eligible/enrolled	lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?
Anonymous	Screened: NR	Total withdrawn: 589/3991	Primary	NR
1999	Eligible (recruited): 4427	(15%)	All cause mortality: met=145(7.3%);	
Goldstein	Enrolled: 3991		pla=217(10.8%)(p=0.0009)	
1999		0 lost to follow-up of vital status.		
Hjalmarson	met (n=1990)		Total mortality or All-cause hospitalization:	
2000	pla (n=2001)	Analyzed=3991	met: 641/1990 (32.2%)	
Goldstein			pla: 767/2001 (38.3%)(p<0.001)	
2001				
Ghali			Sudden death: met=3.9%;	
2002			pla=6.5%(p=0.0002)	
Gottlieb				
2002			Death or heart transplantation:	
Deedwania			met: 150/1990 (7.5%)	
2005			pla: 218/2001 (10.9%) (p<0.001)	
Metoprolol CR/XL			Cardiac death or nonfatal MI:	
Randomised			met: 139/1990 (7.0%)	
Intervention Trial in			pla: 225/2001 (11.2%) (p<0.001)	
Congestive Heart				
Failure (MERIT-HF)			Secondary	
			All hospitalization (patients):	
Fair quality			met: 1021/1990 (51.3%)	
			pla: 1149/2001 (57.4%) (p=0.005)	
			CV hospitalization (patients):	
			met: 394/1990 (19.8%)	
			,	
			pla: 494/2001 (24.7%) (p<0.001)	
			NYHA class improvement favors met group	
			(2-0.003)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 177 of 347

Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n) Withdrawals due to: Dizziness:	Comments	
Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to:	Comments	
	Dizziness:		
	met: 12/1990 (0.6%)		
	pla: 6/2001 (0.3%) (NS)		
	Heart failure:		
	met: 78/1990 (3.9%)		
	pla: 117/2001 (5.8%) (p<0.01)		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Weight increase: NR		
	· ·		
	Bradycardia:		
	pla: 5/2001 (0.2%) (p<0.025)		
	Hypotension:		
	, , , ,		
	Any adverse event: met=9.8%; pla=11.7%		
		met: 78/1990 (3.9%) pla: 117/2001 (5.8%) (p<0.01) Weight increase: NR Bradycardia: met: 16/1990 (0.8%)	met: 78/1990 (3.9%) pla: 117/2001 (5.8%) (p<0.01) Weight increase: NR Bradycardia: met: 16/1990 (0.8%) pla: 5/2001 (0.2%) (p<0.025) Hypotension: met: 12/1990 (0.6%) pla: 5/2001 (0.2%) (NS)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 178 of 347

Author Year	Mean EF		
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Anonymous 2000	28.5% NYHA	Symptomatic heart failure (Class II-IV); 6-minute walk distance of <500 m; LVEF<40%	nr
The Randomized Evaluation of Strategies for Left Ventricular Dysfunction Pilot Study (RESOLVD)	Class: I: 6.8% II: 69.2% III: 23.5% IV: 0.5%		
Fair quality			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 179 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author			Method of Outcome	Age	Other population
Year	Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other	Assessment and Timing of	Gender	characteristics
Country	duration)	medications/interventions	Assessment	Ethnicity	(diagnosis, etc)
Anonymous	Stage 1:	Stage I medications	Primary:	Mean age=61.5	Heart failure duration:
2000	Candesartan: 4-16 mg daily		1) 6-minute walk distance	82.1% male	7-12 mo: 12.4%
	Enalapril: 20 mg daily		2) neurohumoral parameters	87.1% white	>12 mo: 87.6%
The Randomized	Candesartan 48 mg and enalapril				Previous MI: 63.6%
Evaluation of	20 mg		Secondary:		Diabetes: 25.3%
Strategies for Left			1) NYHA functional class		Smoker
Ventricular	Stage 2:		2) Quality of life (Minnesota		Current: 15%
Dysfunction Pilot	Addition of Metoprolol CR (met		Living With Heart Failure		Former: 61%
Study (RESOLVD)	CR) 25-200 mg daily or placebo		questionnaire)		Never: 23.9%
					NYHA Class:
Fair quality					I: 6.8%
. ,					II: 69.2%
					III: 23.5%
					IV: 0.5%
					LVEF(mean): 28.5%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 180 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Anonymous 2000 The Randomized Evaluation of Strategies for Left Ventricular Dysfunction Pilot Study (RESOLVD)	nr/468/426	nr/nr/426	6-minute walk distance change (meters): met CR=(-1); pla=(-3) Quality of life: met CR=pla (data nr) NYHA functional class: met CR=pla (data nr) All-cause deaths: met CR=8(3.7%); pla=17(8%) (NS) Sudden death due to worsening heart failure: met CR=0.5%; pla=3(1.4%)	nr
Fair quality			Hospitalizations due to heart failure: met CR=15(7%); pla=5(2.3%)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 181 of 347

Author				
Year		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,		
Country	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)	Comments	
Anonymous	nr	Overall discontinuation due to intolerability: met		
2000		CR=11%; pla=12%		
		Permanent discontinuation due to:		
The Randomized		Symptomatic hypotension: met CR=4(1.9%);		
Evaluation of		pla=2(0.9%)		
Strategies for Left		Worsening heart failure: met CR=7(3.3%);		
Ventricular		pla=5(2.4%)		
Dysfunction Pilot		Symptomatic bradycardia: met CR=0; pla=0		
Study (RESOLVD)				
Fair quality				
4				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 182 of 347

Author	Mean EF		
Year			
Country	NYHA Class	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria
Waagstein 2003	28.5%	Symptomatic patients of either sex, 18- to 80-years old, with stable CHF (NYHA class II-III). Patients were prospectively	Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) within the previous 6
Europe	NYHA Class I=0	stratified into an ischemic heart disease (IHD) group and a dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) group. DCM was diagnosed	months or who were scheduled for or expected to require these treatments during the 6-month study; patients who had a major
Fair quality	IIa=13.3% IIb=49.1% IIIa=29.1% IIIb=8.5%	based on the presence of LV dilation and EF \leq 0.40 without significant coronary artery obstruction; IHD was diagnosed based on LV dilation, EF \leq 0.40, and the presences or a history of at least one significant coronary obstruction	ischemic event (acute MI or unstable angina) within the previous 6 months and those with large anterior aneurysms, acute myocarditis, primary valvular heart disease, exercise-limiting angina pectoris or severe systemic disease; excessive consumption of alcohol (≥ 100 g of pure alcohol/day or ≥ 700 gram/week), resting systolic blood pressure > 190 mmHg or diastolic > 100 mmHg, systolic blood pressure <95 mmHg (unless considered occasional), heart rate < 50 beats/min, second- or third-degree atrioventricular (AV) block, sick sinus syndrome, sinoatrial block or atrial fibrillation (which makes equilibrium radionuclide angiography difficult to perform; pacemaker for third-degree AV block or a ventricular inhibited (VVI) pacemaker programmed with a fixed heart rate above the spontaneous heart rate

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 183 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 5. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author Year Country	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)
Waagstein 2003 Europe	Metoprolol 150 mg daily Placebo x 6 months	ACE inhibitors, diuretics and digitalis in patients with overt heart failure	Maximal exercise capacity (bicycle tests-protocol nr)	Mean age=56.7 80% male Ethnicity nr	Weight=79.1 kg Height=173.1 cm Heart rate=78.1 beats/min
Lurope		ricart failure	Self-assessment	Lumberty in	Systolic blood
Fair quality		ACE inhibitors and digoxin			pressure=121.5 mmHg
		could be used, as long as the dosage remained unchanged for at least 2 weeks before the study period; diuretic doses could be altered as clinically indicated	NYHA classification		Diastolic blood pressure=76.5 mmHg NYHA Class I=0 Ila=13.3% Ilb=49.1% IIIa=29.1% IIIb=8.5% Previous MI=48.5% Previous CABG=18.8% Previous PTCA=9.7% ACE inhibitor=91.5% Diuretics=77.6% Digoxin=57% Mean EF=0.285 Mean duration of exercise=515.6 seconds

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 184 of 347

Author Year Country	Number screened/ eligible/enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?
Waagstein	nr/nr/172 enrolled/169	3 (1.7%) withdrew prior to	Metoprolol (n=71) vs placebo (n=65)	nr
2003	randomized/165 started	randomization, 31 (18.3%)		
Europe	double-blind medication	withdrew following	EF at 6 months (estimates from a graph)	
		randomization/1(0.6%) lost ot	EF at rest: 0.36 vs 0.29; p<0.001	
Fair quality		fu/165 analyzed	EF at exercise: 0.37 vs 0.32; p<0.001	
			Maximal exercise on bicycle test: data nr; p=NS	
			Death during study or within 3 weeks after discontinuing study medication: 4.6% vs 3.8%; p=NS	
			Hospital/emergency room admission for cardiovascular reasons: data nr; p=NS	
			Improvement in NYHA class: 42% vs 33%; p=NS	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 185 of 347

Author

Year Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,
Country Adverse Effects Reported adverse n/enrolled n) Comments

Waagstein nr 11.6% vs 12.6%; p=NS

2003 Europe

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 186 of 347

Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Anonymous	Adequate;	NR	Differences in:	Mean Age: 59.6	Screened NR
1994	computer		- history of MI	Male: 82.5%	641 randomized
	generated		Bis: 169 (53%)	Ethnicity: NR	
he Cardiac			pla: 134 (42%)		
nsufficiency			(p<.005)		
Bisoprolol Study			- diastolic blood pressure		
CIBIS I)			Bis: 79.5 mm Hg		
			Pla: 77.9 mm Hg		
air quality			(p=.03)		

Anonymous 1999	Adequate; computer generated random	Adequate; centralized	Yes	Mean age: 61 Male: 80.5% Ethnicity: NR	Screened NR 2647 randomized
The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS II)	numbers				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 187 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Anonymous 1994 The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS I) Fair quality	CHF due to hypertrophic or restrictvie cardiomyopathy with predominant left ventricular diastolic dysfunction; or secondary to mitral or aortic valve disease surgically repaired <6 months, or not repaired. MI <3 months. Awaiting bypass surgery or transplantation. Disabling permanent dyspnea at rest, insulin-dependent diabetes, asthma, renal insufficiency, hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism, short life expectancy due to severe	Yes	Yes, blinded independent committee	Yes, allocation centrally controlled; titration blinded	Yes	Yes
13	illness or malignancy. Resting heart rate <65 bpm; systolic blood pressure <100 or >160 mm Hg. No digitalis or amiodarone treatment <6 weeks before or 2 months after inclusion. Beta-adrenergic agonist or antagonist drugs and phosphodiesterase inhibitors prohibited.					
Anonymous 1999 The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS II)	Uncontrolled hypertension, MI or unstoppable angina pectoris in past 3 months, revascularization in past 6 months, previous or scheduled heart transplant, atrioventricular block > first degree without pacemaker, resting heart rate < 60 bpm, systolic blood pressure <100, renal failure, reversible obstructive lung disease or planned therapy with beta-adrenoreceptor blockers. No treatment with beta blockers (also eye drops), calcium antagonists, inotropic agents except digitalis, and antiarrhythmic drugs except amiodarone during trial.	Yes	Yes, blinded independent committee	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 188 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Anonymous 1994	Yes	Attrition=157/641 (24.5%); others NR	No	Fair	NR	Yes	Mean 1.9 years
The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS I)							
Fair quality							
Anonymous 1999	Yes	Attrition=69/2647 (2.6%); others NR	No	Good	NR	Yes	Mean 1.3 years
The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS II)							

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 189 of 347

Author,					
Year	Randomization	Allocation		Similarity to target	
Country	described?	concealed	Groups similar at baseline	population	Number recruited
MOCHA	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: 59.5	Screened: NR
				Male: 76%	Eligible for run-in: 376
Bristow1996				Caucasian: 78%	Enrolled: 345
Multicenter Oral Carvedilol Heart Failure Assessment					

PRECISE NR NR Yes Mean age: 60.3 years Screened: NR Male: 73%
Packer1996 Ethnicity: NR Eligible for run-in: 301

Enrolled: 278

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 190 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
MOCHA	Uncorrected valvular disease, hypertrophic or postpartum cardiomyopathy, uncontrolled symptomatic or sustained	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bristow1996	ventricular tachycardia, acute MI within 3 months, planned or likely revascularization or transplantation within 6 months after screening. Also, sick sinus syndrome, 2nd-					
Multicenter Oral Carvedilol Heart	or 3rd-degree heart block not treated with pacemaker, symptomatic peripheral vascular disease limiting exercise					
Failure Assessment	testing, sitting systolic blood pressure <85 mm Hg or >160 mm Hg, CV accident within last 3 months, cor pulmonale,					
	obstructive pulmonary disease requiring oral bronchodilator or steroid therapy, and other selected disorders and sensitivities.					
	Excluded drugs: alcohol intake >100 g/day, use of investigational drug within 30 days, CCBs, amiodarone within 3 months, and others.					
PRECISE	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, active myocarditis	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Unclear
Packer1996	or obstructive or restrictive cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic	103	IVIX	100	103	Choicai
r donor rooc	or sustained ventricular tachycardia not controlled by antiarrhythmic drugs or implantable defibrillator; sick sinus					
	syndrome or advanced heart block (without pacemaker); any condition other than heart failure that could limit					
	exercise; systolic blood pressure >160 or <85 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg; heart rate <68					
	bpm; significant hepatic, renal or endocrine disease; drug or alcohol abuse; or any condition that could limit survival.					
	Patients receiving CCBs, alpha- or beta-adrenergic agonist or antagonists or specific antiarrhythmic drugs.					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 191 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
MOCHA	NR	Attrition=52/345 (15%); others NR	No	Fair	SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals	NR	6 months
Bristow1996							
Multicenter Oral Carvedilol Heart Failure Assessment							
PRECISE	NR	Attrition=49/278 (18%); others NR	No	Fair	SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals &	NR	6 months
Packer1996		OUTGIS TVIX			Boehringer Mannheim Therapeutics		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 192 of 347

Screened: NR

Eligible for run-in: 131 Enrolled: 105

Evidence Table 5a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Colucci	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: 55	Screened: NR
1996				Male: 85%	Eligible for run-in: 389
				Ethnicity: NR	Enrolled: 366
U.S. Carvedilol H Failure Study Gro				•	

Cohn NR NR Yes Mean age: 60 years (range 1997 22-85)

W.S. Carvedilol Heart Ethnicity:

Failure Study Group - Caucasian: 71% - Black: 21% - Other: 8%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 193 of 347

Author, Year		Eligibility criteria	Outcome assessors	Care provider	Patient unaware of	Intention-to-treat
Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	specified	blinded	blinded	treatment	(ITT) analysis
Colucci	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, nondilated or	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
1996	hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina					
U.S. Carvedilol Heart	or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic or sustained ventricular tachycardia not controlled by antiarrhythmic					
Failure Study Group	drugs or implantable defibrillator within 3 months;					
	likelihood of revascularization or transplantation within 12					
	months; sick sinus syndrome or advanced heart block (without pacemaker); any condition other than heart					
	failure that could limit exercise; systolic blood pressure					
	>160 or <85 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >100 mm					
	Hg; clinically significant hepatic or renal disease, or any					
	condition that could limit survival.					
	Patients receiving amiodarone within 3 months before screening.					
Cohn	Uncorrected primary valvular disease, nondilated or	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
1997	hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; MI, stroke, unstable angina					
U.S. Carvedilol Heart	or CABG within 3 months; symptomatic or sustained ventricular tachycardia not controlled by antiarrhythmic					
Failure Study Group	drugs or implantable defibrillator within 3 months;					
	likelihood heart transplantation within 6 months; sick sinus					
	syndrome or advanced heart block without pacemaker; any condition other than heart failure that could limit					
	exercise; systolic blood pressure >160 or <85 mm Hg or					
	diastolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg; clinically significant					
	hepatic or renal disease, or any condition that could limit survival.					
	Survival.					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 194 of 347

Failure Study Group

Evidence Table 5a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Colucci 1996	NR	Attrition=31(8.5%); others NR	NR	Fair	SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals &	NR	Mean 7 months
U.S. Carvedilol Heart Failure Study Group					Boehringer Mannheim Therapeutics		
Cohn	ND	Attrition = 40/44 40/);	Unalogy 97 CO/ of	Deer	Conith Vina Danahawa	ND	Mana 2
Cohn 1997	NR	Attrition=12(11.4%); others NR	Unclear; 87.6% of patients did not complete final QOL	Poor	SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals & Boehringer Mannheim	NR	Mean 3 months
U.S. Carvedilol Heart			assessment		Therapeutics		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 195 of 347

Author, Year Country Richards 2001 Anonymous 1995, 1997	Randomization described? Adequate; computer generated	Allocation concealed Adequate; centralized	Groups similar at baseline Yes	Similarity to target population Mean age 67 80% male Race NR	Number recruited Screened: NR Eligible for run-in: 301 Enrolled: 278
Australia/New Zealand Heart Failure Research Collaborative Group	,				
Cleland, 2003 Carvedilol Hibernating Reversible Ischaemia Trial: Marker of Success (CHRISTMAS)	Adequate; random numbers table	Adequate; centralized	Unclear; baseline characteristics provided for only 78.8% of all randomized patients	Good mean age=62.5 90% male	489 screened 387 randomized

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 196 of 347

Author,	Eligibility	Outcome		Patient		
Year		criteria	assessors	Care provider	unaware of	Intention-to-treat
Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	specified	blinded	blinded	treatment	(ITT) analysis
Richards	Current NYHA class IV; heart rate below 50 beats per	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2001	minute; sick sinus syndrome; second or third degree heart					
Anonymous	block; systolic BP <90 mm Hg or >160/100 mm Hg;					
1995, 1997	treadmill exercise duration <2 minutes or >18 minutes;					
	coronary event or procedure within previous 4 weeks;					
	primary myocardial or valvular disease; current treatment					
	with beta-blocker, beta-agonist or verapamil; insulin-					
Australia/New	dependent DM; obstructive airways disease; hepatic					
	disease; any other life-threatening non-cardiac disease.					
Research						
Collaborative Group						
Cleland, 2003	Patients younger than 40 years and women of child-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	bearing age; resting heart rate less than 60 beats per					
Carvedilol	minute; sitting systolic blood pressure less than 85 mm					
Hibernating	Hg; unstable angina; arrhythmias; uncontrolled					
Reversible Ischaemia	hypertension; obstructive pulmonary disease; poorly					
Trial: Marker of	controlled diabetes; or clinically relevant renal or hepatic					
Success	disease; those receiving non-dihydropiridine calcium-					
(CHRISTMAS)	channel blockers; beta blockers, or antiarrhythmic					
	agents other than amiodarone					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 197 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Richards 2001 Anonymous 1995, 1997	NR	Attrition=14.9%; others NR	NR	Good	SmithKline Beecham - independently initiated conducted, analyzed by ANZ Heart Failure Research Collaborative	Yes	Mean 19 months
Australia/New Zealand Heart Failure Research Collaborative Group							
Cleland, 2003 Carvedilol Hibernating Reversible Ischaemia Trial: Marker of Success (CHRISTMAS)	Unclear	Attrition=21.2%; others nr	nr	Fair	Hoffman-La Roche	Yes	189 days (mean)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 198 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
COPERNICUS	NR	NR	Yes	Good mean age >55	3106 screened 2289 randomized
Eichhorn, 2001 Packer, 2001 Packer, 2002 Krum, 2003				higher proportion male	

100% Japanese 190 enrolled Hori nr yes nr 16 (8.4%) withdrawn 2004 following run-in phase Japan 174 randomized The Multicenter Carvedilol Heart Failure Dose Assessment (MUCHA) Trial

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 199 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment		Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis	
COPERNICUS Eichhorn, 2001 Packer, 2001 Packer, 2002 Krum, 2003	Heart failure that was caused by uncorrected primary valvular disease or a reversible form of cardiomyopathy; had received or were likely to receive a cardiac transplant; had severe primary pulmonary, renal, or hepatic disease; or had a contraindication to betablocker therapy; coronary revascularization, acute myocardial or cerebral ischemic event, sustained or hemodynamically destabilizing ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation within the previous two months; use of an alpha-adrenergic blocker, a calcium-channel blocker, or a class I antiarrhythmic drug within the previous four weeks or a beta-blocker within the previous two months; systolic blood pressure lower than 85 mm Hg; heart rate lower than 68 beats per minute; serum creatinine concentration higher than 2.8 mg per deciliter; serum potassium concentration lower than 3.5 mmol per liter or higher than 5.2 mmol per liter; increase of more than 0.5 mg per deciliter in the serum creatinine concentration or a change in body weight of more than 1.5 kg during the screening period	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Hori 2004 Japan The Multicenter Carvedilol Heart Failure Dose Assessment (MUCHA) Trial	Valvular heart disease, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, cardiogenic shock, systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg, bradycardia (<60/min), grade II or III atrioventricular block, life-threatening arrhythmia, unstable angina, resting angina, cor pulmonale, asthma, Raynaud phenomenon, and intermittent claudication; myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass grafting had occurred within the preceding 3 months	Yes	nr	nr	nr	No (1 patient that did not received any medication was excluded from ITT)	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 200 of 347

(MUCHA) Trial

Evidence Table 5a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author, Year	comparable	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence,	Loss to follow-up:			Control group	Length of
COPERNICUS	groups NR	and contamination attrition reported; others NR	differential/high None	Score Fair	Funding Roche; GlaxoSmithKline	standard of care Yes	follow-up Mean 10.4 months
Eichhorn, 2001 Packer, 2001 Packer, 2002 Krum, 2003							monuis
Hori 2004 Japan <i>The Multicenter</i>	nr	No No No No	nr	Fair	nr	Yes	mean follow- up nr
Carvedilol Heart Failure Dose Assessment							

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 201 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Packer, 1996 Colucci, 1996 Yancy, 2001 U.S. Carvedilol Heart Failure Study Group	NR	NR	Yes	Good mean age >55 higher proportion male	Screened NR 1094 randomized
Anderson 1985	Inferior; pairs	NR	Yes	Mean age 51 66% male Race NR	Screened: NR Eligible: 50 Enrolled: 50
Waagstein 1993	Computer- generated with "block size of 4," stratified	NR	Yes	Mean age 49 73% male Race NR	Screened: NR Eligible: 417 Enrolled: 383

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 202 of 347

Author,		Eligibility	Outcome		Patient	
Year		criteria	assessors	Care provider	unaware of	Intention-to-treat
Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	specified	blinded	blinded	treatment	(ITT) analysis
Packer, 1996	Major CV event or surgical procedure within 3 months of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colucci, 1996	study entry; uncorrected, primary valvular disease; active					
Yancy, 2001	myocarditis; sustained ventricular tachycardia or					
U.S. Carvedilol Heart	advanced heart block not controlled by antiarrhythmic					
Failure Study Group	intervention or a pacemaker; systolic blood pressure of more than 160 or less than 85 mm Hg or diastolic blood					
	pressure of more than 100 mm Hg; a heart rate of less					
	than 68 beats per minute; clinically important hepatic or					
	renal disease; or any condition other than heart failure					
	that could limit exercise or survival; concomitant use of					
	calcium-channel blockers α - or β -adrenergic agonists or					
	antagonists or class IC or III antiarrhythmic agents					
Anderson 1985	Unstabilized overt cardiac failure; alcohol abuse; secondary cardiomyopathies; firm exclusions to beta blocker treatment (asthma, advanced heart block, allergy)	Yes	NR	NR	NR	Yes
Waagstein 1993	Treatment with beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, inotropic agents or high doses of tricyclic antidepressant drugs; significant CAD shown by angiography; clinical or histological signs of ongoing myocarditis; other lifethreatening diseases; obstructive lung disease; excessive alcohol consumption; drug abuse; insulin-dependent diabetes; pheochromocytoma; thyroid disease	Yes	Yes	NR	NR	Yes for primary endpoint Nor for other

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 203 of 347

Author,	Maintenance of	Reporting of attrition,					
Year	comparable	crossovers, adherence,	Loss to follow-up:			Control group	Length of
Country	groups	and contamination	differential/high	Score	Funding	standard of care	follow-up
Packer, 1996	NR	AE withdrawals reported;	none	fair	SmithKline Beecham	Yes	12 months
Colucci, 1996		others NR			Pharmaceuticals and		
Yancy, 2001					Roche Laboratories		
U.S. Carvedilol Heart							
Failure Study Group					Two investigators/authors		
					are employees and stock		
					holders of SKB		
Andonos	ND	Attrition = F/FO/400/ \.	Ma	Га: _т	Linius of Litab COM and	ND	Maan 10
Anderson 1985	NR	Attrition=5/50(10%); others NR	No	Fair	Univ. of Utah SOM and	NR	Mean 19 months
1900		others NR			LDS Hospital, Salt Lake City		monus
					City		
Waagstein	NR	Attrition=14.1%; others	High loss for	Fair	Astra Pharmaceutical	NR	12 months
1993		NR	secondary		divisions and Ciba-Geigy		and 18
			endpoints except		Corp., Swedish Heart &		months
			hospitalization.		Lung Foundation & Swedish Medical		(n=211/383)
					Research Council		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 204 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
MERIT-HF Anonymous, 1999 Goldstein, 1999 Hjalmarson, 2000 Goldstein, 2001 Ghali, 2002 Gottlieb, 2002 Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure	Adequate; computer generated	Adequate; centralized	Yes	Mean ages: <60: 34% 60-69: 35% ≥70: 31% 77% male White: 94% Black: 5% Other: 1%	Screened: NR Eligible (recruited): 4427 Enrolled: 3991
Anonymous 2000 The Randomized Evaluation of Strategies for Left Ventricular Dysfunction Pilot Study (RESOLVD)	nr	nr	yes	Mean age=61.5 82.1% male 87.1% white	Screened: NR Eligible: 468 Enrolled: 426

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 205 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
MERIT-HF Anonymous, 1999 Goldstein, 1999 Hjalmarson, 2000 Goldstein, 2001 Ghali, 2002 Gottlieb, 2002 Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure	Acute MI or unstable angina within 28 days; indication or contraindication for treatment with beta-blockade or drugs with beta-blocking properties; heart failure secondary to systemic disease or alcohol abuse; scheduled or performed heart transplantation or cardiomyoplasty; implanted cardioversion defibrillator (expected or performed); CABG or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty planned or performed in the past 4 months; atrioventricular block of the second or third degree; unstable decompensated heart failure; supine systolic BP >100 mm Hg; any serious disease that might complicate management and follow-up according to protocol; use of calcium antagonists; use of amiodarone within 6 months; poor compliance.	Yes	Yes	NR	NR	Yes
Anonymous 2000 The Randomized Evaluation of Strategies for Left	nr	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Ventricular Dysfunction Pilot Study (RESOLVD)						

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 206 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
MERIT-HF Anonymous, 1999 Goldstein, 1999 Hjalmarson, 2000 Goldstein, 2001 Ghali, 2002 Gottlieb, 2002 Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure	NR	Attrition=589/3991 (15%); others NR	No	Fair	Project leader, coordinator, medical advisor, and acknowledgement to Astra Hassle, Sweden	Yes	1 year (mean)
Anonymous 2000 The Randomized Evaluation of Strategies for Left Ventricular Dysfunction Pilot Study (RESOLVD)	nr	Compliance (>80% of study medication): met CR=93%; pla=92%; others nr	nr	Fair	nr	yes	24 weeks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 207 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Waagstein	nr	nr	yes	Mean age=56.7	Screened: NR
2003				80% male	Eligible: NR
Europe				Ethnicity nr	Enrolled: 172

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 208 of 347

Author,		Eligibility	Outcome		Patient	
Year		criteria	assessors	Care provider	unaware of	Intention-to-treat
Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	specified	blinded	blinded	treatment	(ITT) analysis
Waagstein 2003 Europe	Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) within the previous 6 months or who were scheduled for or expected to require these treatments during the 6-month study; patients who had a major ischemic event (acute MI or unstable angina) within the previous 6 months and those with large anterior aneurysms, acute myocarditis, primary valvular heart disease, exercise-limiting angina pectoris or severe systemic disease; excessive consumption of alcohol (≥ 100 g of pure alcohol/day or ≥ 700 gram/week), resting systolic blood pressure > 190 mmHg or diastolic > 100 mmHg, systolic blood pressure <95 mmHg (unless considered occasional), heart rate < 50 beats/min, second- or third-degree atrioventricular (AV) block, sick sinus syndrome, sinoatrial block or atrial fibrillation (which makes equilibrium radionuclide angiography difficult to perform; pacemaker for third-degree AV block or a ventricular inhibited (VVI) pacemaker programmed with a fixed heart rate above the spontaneous heart rate	yes	nr	nr	nr	no (4 patients excluded from ITT due to never taking study medication)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 209 of 347

Final Report Update 3

Evidence Table 5a. Quality assessments of placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Waagstein	nr	yes	no	Fair	Medical Research Council	Yes	6 months
2003		no	no		(Project 02529), the		
Europe		no			Swedish Heart-Lung		
		no			Foundation and		
					AstraZeneca		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 210 of 347

Evidence Table 6. Outcomes in head to head trials of beta blockers for heart failure

		Sample				Worsening	
Trial	Interventions*	Size	Duration	Baseline EF		Heart Failure	NYHA Class
Sanderson 1999 Fair	Carvedilol Metoprolol	51	12 weeks	26%	NR	NR	# patients at NYHA class I/II/III/IV car baseline: 0/10/14/1 week 12: 1/14/5/0
							<u>met</u> baseline: 0/7/19/1 week 12: 1/19/3/0
Kukin 1999	Carvedilol Metoprolol	67	6 months	18-19%	NR	car=3/37(8.1%) met=5/30(16.7%)	# patients at NYHA class I/II/III/IV car baseline: 0/5/22/3
Fair							month 6: 0/9/21/0 met baseline: 0/5/17/1 month 6: 1/11/11/0
Metra 2000a	Carvedilol metoprolol	150	12 months	20-21%	NR	car=6/61(9.8%) met=13/61(21.3%)	# patients at NYHA class I/II/III/IV <ar></ar> car baseline: 0/18/40/3
Fair							month 12: 17/32/11/1 met baseline: 0/22/36/3 month 12: 14/32/15/0
Metra 2000b	Carvedilol Metoprolol	34	9-12 months	19-17%	NR	worsening HF (group	# patients at NYHA class I/II/III/IV car baseline: 0/3/11/1
Fair						assignment NR)	end of study: 4/7/3/1 met baseline: 0/5/9/0 end of study: 3/10/1/0
Poole Wilson, 2003	Carvedilol Metoprolol	3029	58 months (mean)	26%	All deaths car=512/1511(34%)	NR	NR
Carvedilol or Metoprolol European Trial (COMET)					met=600/1518(40%) NNT=18 p=0.002		

^{*}All in addition to standard therapy that included ACEI and diuretic

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 211 of 347

Evidence Table 6. Outcomes in head to head trials of beta blockers for heart failure

Trial	Exercise capacity	Change in EF following treatment	Quality of Life
Sanderson 1999	Improvement in 6-min walk(feet) car=72(6.4%); met=99(8.5%)(NS)	Mean EF at Week 12 (% improvement) car=35(+34.6%); met=31(+24%)	Minnesota QOL mean reduction in symptom score (%) car=9.1(52.9%); met=8.3(63.3%)
Fair		odi 66(+61:678), met 61(+2178)	Car 0.1(02.078), met 0.0(00.078)
Kukin 1999 <i>Fair</i>	Improvement in 6-min walk(feet) car=63(5.5%); met=81(6.6%)(NS)	Mean EF(% improvement) car=25(+31.6%); met=23(+27.8%)	Minnesota LWHFQ mean reduction in symptom score(% mean change in points) car=15(28.8%); met=15(29.4%)
Metra 2000a <i>Fair</i>	Improvement in 6-min walk(m) car=50(11.2%); met=63(15.1%)	Mean EF(% improvement) car=31.2(52.9%); met=28.8(33.3%)(p=0.038)	Minnesota LWHFQ mean reduction in symptom score(%) car=8(25%); met=7(17.9%)
Metra 2000b <i>Fair</i>	NR	Mean EF at EOS (% improvement) car=27.9(64.1%); met=30.0(47.0%)	NR
Poole Wilson, 2003 Carvedilol or Metoprolol European Trial (COMET)	NR	NR	NR

^{*}All in addition to standard therapy that included ACEI and diuretic

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 212 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 7. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia

Author, Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Head to head trials				
Katritsis	RCT	Patients subjected to cardioversion of	Terminal illness, age > 80 years, left ventricular	Bisoprolol 10 mg daily (or 5 mg
2003	multicenter	persistent AF (> 7 days)	ejection fraction <30, concomitant treatment with class I or III antiarrhythmic drugs.	daily if LVEF < 40%) carvedilol 50 mg daily (or 25 mg
Fair quality			amiodarone use within 3 months before	daily if LVEF M 40%) x 12
			randomization, previous treatment with	months
			bisoprolol or carvedilol, and contraindications to	
			beta blockade, such as conduction	
			disturbances, asthma, or severe chronic	
			obstructive pulmonary artery disease	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 213 of 347

Evidence Table 7. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled
Head to head trials					
Katritsis 2003 Fair quality	No restrictions, with exception of class I or III antiarrhythmic drugs	Clinic visits at months 1, 3, 6 and 12	Mean age=65.5 82% male Ethnicity nr	Heart rate=71.3 beats per minute Left atrial diameter=4.4 cm Systemic blood pressure > 140/90 mm Hg=60% Coronary artery disease=18.9% Lone atrial fibrillation=11.1% Other conditions (valve disease, hyperthyroidism, dilated cardiomyopathy)=21.1% Diabetes mellitus=14.4%	nr/102/90

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 214 of 347

Evidence Table 7. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia

Author, Year	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/		Method of adverse effects	Adverse Effects
Country	analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?	Reported
Head to head	•			
trials				
Katritsis	8 (8.9%) withdrew/3	Bisoprolol (n=43) vs Carvedilol (n=39)	nr	nr
2003	(3.3%) lost to fu/82			
	analyzed for efficacy	Relapse into AF= 23 (53.4%) vs 17 (43.6%);		
Fair quality		p=NS		
		Median time to relapse (days) 20 vs 14; p=NS		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 215 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 7. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for arrhythmia

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse
Country events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)

Head to head

trials

Katritsis Withdrew due to side effects: 3 2003 (6.4%) vs 2 (4.7%); p=NS

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 216 of 347

Author,	Study			
Year	Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)
Placebo- controlled trials Metoprolol vs placebo	DCT	Patients at 71 centers with persistent atrial	Lico of Class 1 or 3 antiarrhythmic drug hota	n = 403
Kuhlkamp 2000 Germany	RCT multicenter	Patients at 71 centers with persistent atrial fibrillation of 3 days to 1 year. Must be converted to sinus rhythm. Sufficient anticoagulation for 1+ months strongly recommended to providers.	Use of Class 1 or 3 antiarrhythmic drug, beta- blockers or calcium channel blockers; chronic treatment with amiodarone within 6 months; contraindications to beta-adrenergic blocking agents; untreated thyroid dysfunction; paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or history of it; cardiac surgery in the previous two months	n = 403 metoprolol (met): start 100 mg/day vs. identical placebo (pla) x 6 months Maintain 100 mg/day: met = 122/197 (62%) pla = 131/197 (67%) To 200 mg/day: met = 33/197 (17%) pla = 50/197 (25%) To 50 mg/day: met = 36/197 (18%) pla = 12/197 (6%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 217 of 347

Author, Year Country	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled
Placebo- controlled trials Metoprolol vs placebo					
Kuhlkamp 2000 Germany	Digoxin/digitoxin, ACE inhibitor, diuretics, nitrates, calcium-channel blockers of dihydropyridine type	Primary endpoint: relapse into atrial fibrillation or flutter. Mean followup time: met = 93 days pla = 73 days	Mean age 60.5 70% male Race: NR	Previous cardioversion: met = 18/197 (9%) pla = 22/197 (11%) Hypertension: met = 96/197 (49%) pla = 91/197 (46%) Coronary artery disease: met = 52/197 (26%) pla = 48/197 (24%) Heart failure: met = 51/197 (26%) pla = 49/197 (25%) Stroke/TIA: met = 15/197 (8%) pla = 12/197 (12%) Diabetes mellitus: met = 23/197 (12%) pla = 17/197 (9%) NYHA 1: met = 125/197 (64%) pla = 137/197 (70%) NYHA2: met = 64/197 (33%) pla = 54/197 (27%) NYHA3: met = 8/197 (4%) pla = 6/197 (3%)	Screened = nr Eligible = nr Enrolled = 403

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 218 of 347

Author, Year Country	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported
Placebo- controlled trials Metoprolol vs				
placebo Kuhlkamp 2000 Germany	Lost for efficacy data (no followup ECG) = 9/403 (2%) Lost for safety data = 4/403 (1%) Analyzed = 394/403 (98%) and 399/403 (99%)	Death: met = 3/200 (2%) pla = 0 Premature discontinuation due to relapse to atrial fibrillation/flutter: met = 96/197 (49%) pla = 118/197 (60%) Total relapse to atrial fibrillation: met = 87/197 (44%) pla = 118/197 (60%)	NR	Dizziness/vertigo: met = 20/200 (10%) pla = 6/199 (3%) Bradycardia: met = 14/200 (7%) pla = 0 Cardiac failure: met = 3/200 (2%) pla = 0 Hypotension: met = 2/200 (1%) pla = 1/199 (1%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 219 of 347

Author,

Year Withdrawals due to adverse Country events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)

Placebocontrolled trials

Metoprolol vs

placebo

Kuhlkamp Total: 26/394 (7%) 2000 Serious adverse events: Germany met = 4/197 (2%)

pla = 2/197(1%)

Nonserious adverse events:

met = 16/197 (8%) pla = 4/197(2%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 220 of 347

Author, Year	Study			Interventions (drug regimen
Country	Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)
Metoprolol vs placebo	County	Englandy Chlorid	Exclusion character	duration
Khand	RCT	Patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (> 1	Heart rate at rest < 60 beats/min, systolic blood	Phase I
2003	multicenter	month) and heart failure (appropriate	pressure < 90 mm Hg, sick sinus synddrome or	Open digoxin +placebo
UK		symptoms of heart failure for more than two months and echocardiographic evidence of	complete heart block, current treatment with a beta-blocker or HR-lowering calcium channel	Open digoxin+carvedilol 50 mg daily (or 100 mg daily for
Fair quality		cardiac dysfunction [LVEF < 40% or preserved LV systolic function, together with	antagonist or > 200 mg amiodarone, recent major cardiovascular event or procedures,	patients > 85 kg) x 4 months
		LV hypertrophy, suggesting diastolic	asthma or reversible obstructive airways	Phase II
		dysfunction in the absence of an alternative	disease, serum creatinine > 250 µmol/l or	Digoxin
		potential cause of symptoms]) who were	significant hepatic disease, uncorrected	Carvedilol 50 mg daily (or 100
		receiving digoxin and diuretics	significant valvular heart disease, or any life-	mg daily for patients > 85 kg) x
			threatening noncardiac disease	6 months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 221 of 347

Author, Year Country Metoprolol vs	Allowed other medications/ interventions	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled
placebo					
Khand 2003 UK Fair quality	ACE inhibitors Warfarin	1) LVEF 2) Ventricular rate control by 24-hour ambulatory ECG 3) Symptoms rated using patient self-administered, quantitative questionnaire designed to measure perception of the frequency and severity of symptoms (chest pain/discomfort, fatigue, and shortness of breath at rest, during walking at normal pace, and while climbing stairs and palpitations) and their functional capacity on 4-point scale (0=absent to 3=severe symptoms); responses were summed to produce a symptom score rangingn from 0 (no symptoms to 33 (worst symptoms) 4) Exercise tolerance by 6-minute corridor walk distance	Mean age=68.5 61.7% male Ethnicity nr	IHD etiology=40.4% Mean duration of AF=131.5 weeks Mean previous cardioversion attempts=0.5 Mean resting heart rate of ECG=85.5 beats/minute Mean LVEF=24.1% Mean LVEDD=53.7 mm Mean LA size=48.4 mm NYHA class I=4.2% II=57.4% III=31.9% IV=6.4% Digoxin dose=0.245 mg Digoxin plasma concentration=1.54 mmol/I ACE inhibitors=70.2% Anticoagulated=80.8%	nr/nr/47

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 222 of 347

Author,	Number withdrawn/		Method of adverse	
Year	lost to fu/		effects	Adverse Effects
Country	analyzed	Outcomes	assessment?	Reported
Metoprolol vs				
placebo				
Khand	Phase I	Phase 1 (Combination vs Digoxin)	nr	<u>Deaths</u>
2003	6 (12.8%)/0/nr	LVEF: 30.6% vs 26%; p=0.048		Phase I: 4.2% vs 4.3%;
UK		Symptom score: 7 vs 8; p=0.039		p=NS
	Phase II	6-min WD (ms): 394 vs 414; p=NS		Phase II: 5% vs 4.8%;
Fair quality	nr/nr/nr	Mean 24-hour ventricular rate reduction:		p=NS
		65.2 vs 74.9 ; p=<0.0001		
		Phase II (carvedilol vs digoxin)		
		LVEF: 21.6% vs 27.2%; p=NS		
		Symptom score: 6 vs 8; p=NS		
		6-min WD (ms): 374 vs 403; p=NS		
		Mean 24-hour ventricular rate reduction:		
		88.8 vs. 75.7 ; p=NS		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 223 of 347

Α	u	tl	h	0	r,	
---	---	----	---	---	----	--

Year Withdrawals due to adverse Country events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)

Metoprolol vs

placebo

Khand Withdrawals due to adverse events 2003 Phase I: 3 (12.5%) vs 1 (4.3%);

UK p=NS

Phase II: 3 (15%) vs 1 (4.8%); p=NS

Fair quality

Withdrawals due to worsening heart

<u>failure</u>

Phase I: 0 vs 0

Phase II: 3 (15%) vs 1 (4.8%); p=NS

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 224 of 347

Author, Year Country	Random assignment	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	How many recruited	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified
Head to head trials Katritsis	s nr	nr	yes	Selected for patients	102	Terminal illness, age > 80 years, left ventricular	Yes
2003				naïve to study drugs		ejection fraction <30, concomitant treatment with class I or III antiarrhythmic drugs, amiodarone use within 3 months before randomization, previous treatment with bisoprolol or carvedilol, and contraindications to beta blockade, such as conduction disturbances, asthma, or severe chronic obstructive pulmonary artery disease	
Placebo- controlled trials Metoprolo vs placebo							
Kuhlkamp 2000	Adequate, computer generated	NR	Yes	No - selection for healthier population - mean age of sample = 60 years; mean age atrial fibrillation patients = 75 years	N = 403	 Use of Class 1 or 3 antiarrhythmic drug, beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers; chronic treatment with amiodarone within 6 months. Contraindications to beta-adrenergic blocking agents. Untreated thyroid dysfunction Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or history of it Cardiac surgery in the previous two months 	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 225 of 347

Author, Year Country	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to- treat (ITT) analysis	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Differential loss to follow-up or overall high loss to follow-up	Score (good/ fair poor)	·/ Funding	Control group standard of care
Head to head trials Katritsis 2003	Yes	nr	nr	No	nr	Yes No No No	No No	Fair	nr	Yes
Placebo- controlled trials Metoprolol vs placebo										
Kuhlkamp 2000	NR	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Attrition=6.8%; others NR	No	Fair	AstraZeneca, Sweden	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 226 of 347

Author,

Year Length of Country follow-up

Head to head trials

Katritsis 12 months

2003

Placebocontrolled trials Metoprolol vs placebo

Kuhlkamp

6 months

2000

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 227 of 347

Author, Year Country Metoprolo vs placebo		Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	How many recruited	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified
Khand 2003 UK	nr	nr	yes	Mean age=68.5 61.7% male Ethnicity nr	47	Heart rate at rest < 60 beats/min, systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg, sick sinus syndrome or complete heart block, current treatment with a beta-blocker or HR-lowering calcium channel antagonist or > 200 mg amiodarone, recent major cardiovascular event or procedures, asthma or reversible obstructive airways disease, serum creatinine > 250 µmol/l or significant hepatic disease, uncorrected significant valvular heart disease, or any life-threatening noncardiac disease	yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 228 of 347

Author, Year Country	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to- treat (ITT) analysis	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Differential loss to follow-up or overall high loss to follow-up	Score (good/ fair/ poor)	Funding	Control group standard of care
Metoprolol vs placebo										
Khand 2003 UK	Yes	yes	yes	yes	nr	Yes No No No	No No	Fair	Roche Pharmaceutica Is	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 229 of 347

Author,	
Year	Length of
Country	follow-up
Metoprolol	
vs placebo	
Khand	Phase I=4
2003	months;
UK	Phase II=6
	months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 230 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
<i>Fair Quality</i> Atenolol				
Forssman 1982 Sweden	History of migraine (Ad Hoc Committee)	NR	Atenolol (ate) 100 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 90 days; then crossover	Common analgesics and ergotamine
Fair quality RCT Crossover				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 231 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Fair Quality					
Atenolol					
Forssman 1982 Sweden	Patient forms: 1) number; 2) intensity (3-point scale); 3) duration of attacks; 4) incapacity for work; 5) medication	Mean age=40 80% female Race nr	NR	NR/NR/24 enrolled	4(16.7%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/ 20 analyzed
Fair quality					
RCT Crossover	Integrated headache: score considering combined effect of intensity and duration				
	Follow-up visits were made after 14, 56, 154, and 254 days				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 232 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
<u>Fair Quality</u> Atenolol				
Forssman 1982 Sweden Fair quality RCT Crossover	Integrated headache Mean values/day: ate=2.38; pla=4.58 Relative mean value/day(ate:pla mean/% difference): (-2.2)/(-48%) Relative value per patient/day(# pts/%): ate>pla=19/95%; pla>/=ate=1/5% Number of attacks Mean values/day: ate=0.17; pla=0.23 Relative mean value/day(ate:pla mean/% difference): (-0.06)/(-26.1%) Relative value per patient/day(# pts/%): ate>pla=15/75%; pla>/=ate=5/25% Headache intensity Comparison of effect per patient(# pts/%): ate>pla=17/18(94.4%) Ergotamine intake Comparison of change in intake per patient(# pts w/significant reduction/%): ate>pla=14/14(100%) Common analgesic intake Comparison of change in intake per patient: data nr; no difference	NR	Dizziness of orthostatic type(# pts): ate=6; pla=1 Diffuse tiredness: ate=2; pla=0 Mood alterations: ate=1; pla=0	ate=1 pla=0

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 233 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Fair Quality

Atenolol

Forssman 1982

Sweden

Fair quality

RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 234 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Bisoprolol				
van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands	Either sex, 18 to 75 years old; suffering from migraine with or without aura; had a migraine history of at least two years'	Current use of drugs for the prevention of migrain; treatment with cardiovascular drugs; usual	Bisoprolol (bis) 5 mg OR 10 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 16 weeks	NR
Fair quality RCT	duration; developed at least three documented migraine attacks during the 28-day run-in period	contrindications for beta blocker use or hypersensitivity to these agents		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 235 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Bisoprolol van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands Fair quality RCT	Patient diary assessed at 4-wk intervals	Mean age: bis 5 mg=38.3; bis 10 mg=38.9; pla=38.9 % female: bis 5 mg=78.4%; bis 10 mg=83.1%; pla=83.1% Race nr	Family history of migraine(# patients/%): bis 5 mg=28/37.8%; bis 10 mg=27/35.1%; pla=26/34.7% Age at onset(yrs): bis 5 mg=18.1; bis 10 mg=20.1; pla=22.7 Migraine with aura(# patients/%): bis 5 mg=17/22.9%; bis 10 mg=22/28.6%; pla=12/16% Migraine without aura(# patients/%): bis 5 mg=57(77%); bis 10 mg=55/71.4%; pla=63/84%	nr/nr/226 randomized	31(13.7%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/analyzed nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 236 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Bisoprolol				
van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands Fair quality RCT	Migraine frequency(4-week mean/% reduction): bis 5 mg=2.6/39%; bis 10 mg=2.6(39%); pla=3.2/22% Attack duration(mean hours/% reduction): bis 5 mg=9.5/(-53.9%); bis 10 mg=14.3/(-44.6%); pla=13.2/(-43.6%)	NR	Adverse event incidence(# patients/%): bis 5 mg=26/35%; bis 10 mg=33/43%; pla=25/33% Most frequent adverse events(# patients/%): Fatigue: bis 5 mg=7/9.4%; bis 10 mg=9/11.7%; pla=7/9.3% Dizziness: bis 5 mg=6/8.1%; bis 10 mg=5/6.5%; pla=4/5.3%	Adverse event withdrawals(# patients/%): bis 5 mg=4/74(5.4%); bis 10 mg=7/77(9.1%); pla=4/75(5.3%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 237 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Bisoprolol

van de Ven

1997

The Netherlands

Fair quality

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 238 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Metoprolol				
Andersson 1983 Denmark	Outpatients of both sexes, with an age over 16 and below 65 years diagnosed to have classical or non-classical migraine (World Federation of Neurology	Other types of vascular headaches, chronic daily headache not separable from migraine; contraindication for beta blockers;	Metoprolol durules (met-d) 200 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 12 weeks	Acute migraine medication allowed (e.g., ergotamine and analgesics)
Fair quality RCT	Research Group on Migraine and Headache) of a duration of at least 2 years	other severe vascular diseases; oral contraceptives and pregnancy		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 239 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Metoprolol					
Andersson 1983 Denmark	Patient diary card: 1) frequency; 2) Intensity (1=annoying, but patient not disabled; 2=patient partly disabled (affecting his/her ability to	Mean age: pla=37.3; met-d=42.4 %female:	Classical migraine(#pts/%): pla=8/21.6%; met-d=9/26.5% Non-classical migraine(#pts/%):	nr/75 eligible/71 randomized	Withdrawn: 4/75(5.3%) prior to randomization; 9/71(12.7%) after randomization/lost to fu
Fair quality RCT	work); 3=patient disabled(unable to work or in bed); 3) consumption of acute migraine-relieving medicine	pla=94.6%; met-d=73.5% Race nr	pla=29/78.4%; met- d=25/73.5% % heredity: pla=65; met-d=65 Mean migraine duration(years): pla=14.6; met-d=22.6		nr/71 analyzed
			% earlier prophylactic treatment: pla=32; met=38 % earlier acute treatment: pla=76; met=74		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 240 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Metoprolol				
Andersson 1983 Denmark	Per protocol assessment (pla n=35; met-d n=30) Attack frequency/4 wks(mean/% change): pla=(-0.53)/(-10.3%); met-d=(-1.3)/(-29.5%) Migraine days/4 wks(mean/% change): pla=(-0.19)/(-2.4%); met-d=(-2.3)/(-28.8%)	NR	Incidence(# pts/%): met- d=16(53.3%); pla=10(28.6%) Most common adverse	Withdrawals(# pts/%): met-d=1(3.3%); pla=1(2.8%)
Fair quality RCT	Sum of severity score(migraine days x intensity)/4 wks(mean/% change): pla=0.18/1.1%; met-d=(-5.68)/(-32.2%) Acute tablet consumption/4 wks(mean/% change): pla=(-0.49)/(-2.4%); met-d=(-8.85)/(-45.1%) Subjective evaluation(# pts/%) Marked/moderate: pla=6(18%); met-d=15(54%) Slight: pla=10(29%); met-d=7(25%) Unchanged/worse: pla=18(64%); met-d=6(21%)		events(# complaints) at visit 4: Sleep disturbances: met-d=4; pla=4 Fatigue: met-d=3; pla=0 Gastrointestinal: met-d=2; pla=2 Bradycardia: met-d=2; pla=0 Paraesthesia: met-d=0; pla=1 Depression: met-d=1; pla=1 Others: met-d=0; pla=4	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 241 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Metoprolol

Andersson

1983

Denmark

Fair quality

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 242 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Kangasniemi 1987	Outpatients aged 16-65 years, diagnosed as having classic migraine	Daily use of analgesics and/or total consumption exceeding 40	Metoprolol durules (met-d) 200 mg daily	Former acute migraine medication allowed (not
Scandinavia	(NIH Ad Hoc Committee); 2-8 migraine attacks per month, of which at least 50%	tablets/month; daily use of ergotamine and/or total consumption	Placebo (pla) x 8 weeks, then crossover	specified)
Fair quality	had to be accompanied by focal aura	exceeding 16 mg/month; treatment		
RCT	symptoms	with anti-depressive or neuroleptic		
		drugs within the past 2 months; use		
		of narcotic analgestics, chronic		
		treatment with calcium antagonists, clonidine, other beta-blockers or		
		NSAIDSs; change in oral		
		contraceptive therapy 3 months		
		before or during the study;		
		contraindications for beta-blockers;		
		insufficienty treated hypertension;		
		transient ischaemic attacks;		
		epilepsy; hypothyroidism and other		
		severe psychiatric or somatic		
		disease; and pregnancy		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 243 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Kangasniemi 1987 Scandinavia	Diary card measuring following variables: -Frequency of migraine attacks/interval headache	<i>n=74</i> Mean age=37.5 79.7%	Family history: 54(73%) Attacks per month(mean): 4.3 Duration of migraine(mean	nr/nr/77 randomized	3 withdrawn(1 due to narcotic abuse and 2 due to being "dark horses")/0 lost to fu/74 analyzed
Fair quality RCT	-Time of onset and duration of migraine attack -Intensity of headache (1=mild; 2=moderate; 3=severe) - Symptoms before and during the headache phase - Global rating of the attack on a visual analogue scale (1-10) - Conumption of analgesics and ergotamine	female Race nr	years): 17.2 Duration/attack(mean hours): 12.6 Relationship migraine/menstrual cycle(# patients/%): 28/47% Previous prophylactic treatment(# patients/%): 5/6.8% Previous acute treatment(# patients/%): 65/87.8%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 244 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Kangasniemi 1987 Scandinavia Fair quality RCT	Outcomes per 4 weeks(mean score/% change) Attack frequency: met=1.8/-52.6%; pla=2.5/-34.2%(p=0.0004) Days with migraine: met=1.9/-59.6%; pla=2.6/-44.7%(p=0.01) Days with interval headache: met=1.3/-27.8%; pla=1.6/-11.1%(NS) Sum of intensity score: met=3.6/-50.0%; pla=4.5/-37.5%(p=0.001) Sum of global ratings: met=8.6/-53.5%; pla=12.7/-31.4%(p=0.001) Mean intensity score per attack: met=1.86/-7.0%; pla=2/0.0%(p=0.002) Mean global rating per attack: met=3.8/-30.9%; pla=4.8/- 12.7%(p=0.003)	Recorded at each visit using unspecified stardardized questionnaire on a 3-point scale (1=mild; 2=moderate; 3=severe)	Adverse effects incidence(% patients): met=36%; pla=18% Most frequent adverse effects(# complaints for weeks 1-4/5-8) Gastrointestinal: met=7/9; pla=1/2 Fatigue: met=6/7;	NR
	Mean duration per attack: met=6/-30.2%; pla=8/-7.0%(p=0.027) Consumption of analgesic tablets: met=1.9/-52.5%; pla=4.4/+10%(p<0.001) Consumption of analgesic tablets/attack: met=1/-16.1%; pla=2/+66.7%((p<0.001) Consumption of ergotamine tablets: met=1.5/-68.1%; pla=3/-36.2%(p=0.007)		pla=3/1 Cardiovascular: met=1/2; pla=0/3 Sleep disturbances: met=3/1; pla=0/0 Others: met=10/6; pla=7/8	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 245 of 347

Author Year

Country

Study DesignCommentsKangasniemiClassic migraine

1987 only Scandinavia

Fair quality

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 246 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Pindolol				
Ekbom 1971 Sweden Fair quality RCT	Aged 19-56, with classic or common migraine (Ad Hoc Committee, 1962) at a frequency of at least 4 attacks per 4-week period	Bronchial asthma, severe infectious diseases, diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, pathological ECG findings	Group 1: Pindolol (pin1) 7.5 mg daily (n=7) Group 2: Pindolol (pin2) 15 mg daily (n=9) Group 3: Placebo (pla) x 4 weeks (n=10)	Ergotamines
Sjaastad 1972 Norway Fair quality RCT Crossover	Aged 18-62 years, with classical and common migraine; attack frequency of >/= 2/month	NR	Pindolol (pin) 7.5-15 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 4 weeks, then crossover	Ergotamine preparations; salicylates; dextropropoxipheni chloride

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 247 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Pindolol					
Ekbom 1971 Sweden <i>Fair quality</i> RCT	Patient record: 1) frequency, 2) duration; 3) severity (graded on arbitrary 3-point scale); 4) consumption of ergotamine	Mean age=33.7 86.7% female Race nr	Classic migraine=4(13.3%) Common migraine=26(86.7%) Family history=26(86.7%) Unilateral headache pattern=26(86.7%) Associated symptoms: Nausea=28(93.3%) Vomiting=24(80%) Photophobia/ phonophobia=28(93.3%) Urina spastica=9(30%) Diarrhea=9(30%)	nr/nr/30 enrolled	4(13.3%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/26 analyzed
Sjaastad 1972 Norway <i>Fair quality</i> RCT Crossover	Special form: 1) Severity on 3-point scale (Grade I=just discernible symptoms, not appreciably influencing working capaity; Grade II=pronounced symptoms not necessitating bedrest, but markedly influencing working capacity; Grade III=severe symptoms, necessitating bedrest; 2) Headache indices=headache days times severity of attacks	Mean age=35.8 78.6% female Race NR	Common headache=14(50%) Classic headache=14(50%)	nr/nr/28 enrolled	4(14.2%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/24 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 248 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Pindolol				
Ekbom 1971 Sweden <i>Fair quality</i> RCT	Headache frequency/4 wks(mean/% change from observation period): pin1=(-2)/(-13.3%); pin2=(-2)/(-18.2%); pla=(-2)/(20%) Headache index/4 wks(mean/% change from observation period): pin1=0; pin2=(-4)/(-20%); pla=(-4)/(-22.2%) Headache duration/4 wks(mean/% change from observation period): pin1=0; pin2=(-0.1)/(-1.4%); pla=(-0.7)/(-9.2%) Tablet consumption: data nr; paper indicates pin=pla	nr	nr	Withdrawals: pin=4; pla=0 Withdrawals due to: Orthostatic hypotension=2 Increased headache=1 Dizziness/cystopy elitis=1
Sjaastad 1972 Norway <i>Fair quality</i> RCT Crossover	Reduction in headache indices(# pts/%) pin "definitely" (>50% reduction in headache indices) better than pla=3(12.%) pin "slightly" better than pla=1(4.2%) pin=pla: 12(50%) pin worse than pla=8(33.3%) Headache days(group total/4 wks): pla=181; pin=194; increase of 13(7.2%) headache days on pin Headache indices(group total/4 wks): pla=318; pin=313; decrease of 5 points(1.6%) on pin	nr	Untoward effects noted: Initial lethargy: pin=3; pla=0 Dizziness/faintness: pin=6; pla=0 Chest discomfort: pin=1; pla=1	pin=3/28(10.7%) pla=0

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 249 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Pindolol

Ekbom

1971

Sweden

Fair quality

RCT

Sjaastad 1972 Norway

Fair quality

RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers Page 250 of 347

following criteria: 1) heredity; 2) pulsating headache; 3) prodromas and/or aura; 4) hemicrania; 5) phonophobia; 6) photophobia; 7) gastrointestinal disturbances

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Propranolol				
Borgesen 1974 Denmark Fair quality	Diagnosis of migraine (Ad Hoc Committee on Classification of Headache, 1962); suffered more than one attack per week; did not respond to known prophylactics	Cardiac disease; asthma or diabetes mellitus; physical or neurological abnormalities	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 12 weeks, then crossover	Symptomatic treatments allowed (e.g., salicylates, ergotamines and narcotics)
RCT Crossover				
Dahlof 1987	Aged 18-60 years; history of at least 2 years classical or common migraine	Previous treatment with a beta blocker	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily	Use of common acute medication allowed
Sweden	(World Federation of Neurological Research Group on migraine and		Placebo (pla) x one month followed by assessment	(unspecified)
Fair quality RCT Crossover	headache); 2-8 well-defined migraine attacks/month and fulfill at least 4 of the		during a 5-month treatment period; then crossover	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 251 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Propranolol					
Borgesen 1974 Denmark Fair quality RCT Crossover	Patient forms: 1) severity on 3- point scale (severe=forcing patient to stay in bed; moderate=patient able to get up, but incapable of working; mild=patient uncomfortable, but able o work); 2) duration; 3) prodromal and accompanying symptoms; 4) medication used Patients seen at four weekly intervals to record 1) severity; 2) frequency; 3) working capacity; 4) subjective evaluation of the treatment	Mean age=37.6 83.3% female Race nr	Classical migraine (# pts/%): 15(50%) Common migraine (# pts/%): 15(50%)	nr/nr/45 entered	15(33.3%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/30 analyzed
Dahlof 1987 Sweden Fair quality RCT Crossover	Diary cards: 1) frequency (method nr); 2) intensity (method nr); sent into investigator each month	Mean age nr 92.8% female Race nr	Classical migraine (# pts/%): 20/71.4% Common migraine (# pts/%): 8/28.5%	nr/nr/28 entered	0 withdrawn/0 lost to fu/28 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 252 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Propranolol				
Borgesen 1974 Denmark Fair quality RCT Crossover	Attack frequency in propranolol period relative to placebo period(#pts/%): >100%=9/30%; 100%=3/10%; 75-99%=1/3.3%; 50-75%=8/26.7%; 25-50%=2/6.7%; 1-25%=2/6.7%; 0%=5/16.7% Patient preference(#pts/%): pro=17/56.7%; pla=6/20%; no difference=7/23.3% Working capacity: data nr; pro>pla(p<0.05) Medication consumption: data nr; pro=pla	nr	Data nr; pro=pla for #/severity of complaints of fatigue drowsiness and diarrhea	pro=0 pla=2
Dahlof 1987 Sweden Fair quality RCT Crossover	Migraine frequency(4-week mean): pro=3.2; pla=4.3 Integrated headache(mean): pro=7.6; pla=10.9 Tablets consumed(mean): pro=9; pla=15	nr	nr	nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 253 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Propranolol

Borgesen

1974

Denmark

Fair quality

RCT Crossover

Dahlof Looked at 1987 longlasting

Sweden prophylactic effect

following

Fair quality discontinuance

RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 254 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Diamond 1982 United States	Diagnosis of classical or common migraine(Ad Hoc Committee, 1962); a history of at least four attacks per month just prior to starting this trial	Patients with migraine associated with other types of headaches, migraine other than classic or common; known contraindications to	Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla)	Simple analgesics; narcotics; ergot compounds
<i>Fair quality</i> RCT		propranolol	Phase I(single blind): O ne month of single-blind treatment, then crossover	
			Phase II(double-blind): 6-	
			14 months' with at least a	
			single crossover, but with	
			an option for two crossovers	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 255 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Diamond 1982 United States	Patient daily records Headache Unit Index (HUI): 'Total score of headache severity'(3-point	Age range of 21-64 78.7%	nr	Phase I: nr/nr/245 admitted	Phase I: 41(16.7%) withdrawn/4(1.6%) lost to fu/204 analyzed
Fair quality	scale: 1=mild/annoying; 2=moderate/interfering;	female Race nr		Phase II: All 148 patients that	Phase II: 48(32.4%)
RCT	3=severe/incapacitating)/'total number of days observed' Relief Medication Unit Index			responded to propranolol from Phase I	withdrawn/10(6.7%) lost to fu/100 analyzed
	(RMUI): 'Total score of relief medication units'(3-point scale: 1=simple analgesic; 2=narcotic;				
	3=ergot compound)/'Total number of days observed'				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 256 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Diamond 1982 United States Fair quality RCT	Phase I Mean HUI: pla=0.791; pro=0.562(p<0.0001) Mean RMUI: pla=2.553; pro=1.728(p<0.0001)	NR	Frequency of most common adverse events(# patients/%) Dizziness: pro=16/6.5%; pla=3/1.2% Significant nausea: pro=23/9.4%; pla=9/3.7% Visual disturbances: pro=7/2.8%; pla=0 Diarrhea: pro=18/7.3%; pla=5/2.0% Epigastric distress: pro=17/6.9%; pla=1/0.4% Weight gain: 9/3.7%; pla=2/0.8% Weakness/fatigue: pro=32/13.1%; pla=8/3.3% Malaise/lethargy: pro=20/8.2%; pla=4/1.6% Insomnia: pro=17/6.9%; pla=2/0.8% Chest pain/heaviness: pro=8/3.3%; pla=0	Phases I & II combined: pla=3/245(1.2%); pro=14/245(5.7%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 257 of 347

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Diamond 1982

United States

Fair quality

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 258 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Diener 1996 Germany	Between the age of 18 and 60 years; male or female; migraine with and/or without aura according to the IHS criteria; migraine history of at least 12	Pregnant or lactating women; psychiatric disorders; concomitant non-migraine headaches 3 times per month within the last three months;	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily Placebo (pla) Cyclandelate (cyc) 1200	Acute migraine medication allowed (not specified)
Fair quality RCT	months' duration; a mean number of 2- 10 migraine attacks per month within the last 3 months prior to the study	intake of centrally acting drugs or migraine prophylactic drugs during the 4 weeks peceding the trial; specific contraindication to betablocker (asthma, diabetes, clinically relevant hypotension, etc.) or cyclandelate (acute stroke, glaucoma, coagulation disorder); intake of drugs to treat migraine attacks > 12 days/month	mg daily	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 259 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Diener 1996 Germany	Headache diary	Mean age: pro=40; pla=39 % female:	pro n=78; pla n=55 Mean migraine history(years): pro=21; pla=19	235/214/214	40 withdrawn/0 lost to fu/214 analyzed per ITT; 174 analyzed per protocol
Fair quality RCT		pro=76.9%; pla=74.5% Race nr	Migraine with aura(#/% patients): pro=18/23.1%; pla=14/25.5% Migraine without aura(#/% patients): pro=59/75.6%; pla=41/74.5% Migraine with+without aura(#/% patients): pro=1(1.3%); pla=0		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 260 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Diener 1996 Germany Fair quality RCT	pro n=78; pla n=55 Migraine frequency(#/% patients with >/= 50% reduction of attacks): pro=33/42.3%; pla=17/30.9%(NS) Mean absolute reduction of migraine duration(hrs): pro=(-34.6); pla=(-13.7)(NS)	NR	Overall adverse effects(#/% patients): pro=19/24.4%; pla=5/9.1% Types of adverse effects of propranolol: increased sweating, hypertension, sleep difficulty, depressed modd;	Overall withdrawals due to adverse events(#/% patients): pro=4/5.1%; pla=0
			drowsiness; gastric pain, respiratory difficulty, kidney pain Types of adverse effects of place nr	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 261 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author
Year
Country
Study Design Comments

Diener
1996
Germany

Fair quality

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 262 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Forssman 1976	Diagnosis of migraine; age between 16 and 55 years; at least three attacks per	Pregnancy or suspicion of pregnancy; indication of renal or	Propranolol (pro) 240 mg dailv	Previously prescribed acute medication
Sweden	month	heart disease, hypertension, diabetes or asthma; history of earlier	Placebo (pla) x 12 weeks, then crossover	allowed (not specified); oral contraceptives
<i>Fair quality</i> RCT Crossover		treatment of migraine with propranolol		

Kuritzky	Patients aged 17-53, suffering from	NR	Long acting propranolol	Analgesics
1987	classical or common migraine for at		(LA pro) 160 mg daily	
Israel	least 2 years with at least 3 attacks per		Placebo (pla)	
	month			
Fair quality				
RCT Crossover				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 263 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Forssman 1976 Sweden Fair quality RCT Crossover	Printed record card: 1) begin/end times; 2) intensity (slight, moderate or severe); 3) note about ability to work; 4) non-attack headaches; 5) amount of analgesics and preparations containing ergotamine or ergotamine derivatives Integrated headache: Indicates combined effect of duration and intensity; divided by number of days	Mean age=37.4 87.5% female Race nr	Classic migraine=5/32(15.6%) Common migraine=27/32(87.3%) Mean migraine duration(years): 18.9 Family history of migraine(# pts): 39/40(97.5%)	nr/nr/40 included	8(20%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/32 analyzed
	Rating of therapeutic effect: 'Good' = Reduction of attack frequency or of the number of days with headache by at least 50%; 'Appreciable' = reduction of up to 50%				
Kuritzky 1987 Israel <i>Fair quality</i> RCT Crossover	Diary: 1) Headache severity on 1-3 scale (unspecified); 2) duration (hours); 3) analgetics use	Mean age nr Gender nr Race nr	Classical migraine (# pts/%): 7/22.6% Common migraine (# pts/%): 24/77.4%	nr/nr/38 began	7(18.4%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/31 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 264 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Forssman 1976 Sweden Fair quality RCT Crossover	Attack frequency of propranolol relative to placebo (# patients/%): Good effect(>/= 50% improvement)=11/34.4%; Appreciable effect(< 50 % improvement)=11/34.4%; No change/increase=10/31.3% Reduction of headache days of propranolol relative to placebo(# patients/%): Good effect(>/= 50%)=11/34.4%; Appreciable effect(< 50%)=10/31.3%; No change/increase=11/34.4% Integrated headache(mean/% change): pro=(-2.14)/(-41.6%); pla=(-0.37)/(-7.2%) Ergotamine consumption(change in average number/% of doses per patient per day): pro=(-0.17)/(-51.5%); pla=(-0.08)/(-24.2%) Analgesic consumption(change in average number/% of doses per patient per day): pro=(-0.16)/(-47.0%); pla=(-0.04)/(-11.8%)	NR	Most common side effects reported(# pts/%) Increase in weight > 2 kg: pro=5(13.1%); pla=0 Insomnia: pro=5(13.1%); pla=1(2.6%) Tiredness: pro=4(10.5%); pla=3(7.9%) Uncharacteristic dizziness: pro=3(7.9%); pla=2(5.3%) Feeling of numbness/parasthesia: pro=2(5.3%); pla=1(2.6%) Nausea: pro=2(5.3%); pla=1(2.6%) Increased appetite: pro=1(2.6%); pla=0 Palpitations: pro=1(2.6%); pla=1(2.6%) Malaise: pro=0; pla=0	pro=2 pla=2
Kuritzky 1987 Israel	Number of migraine attacks(mean): LA-pro=3.23; pla=5.56 Attack severity(mean): LA-pro=15.66; pla=25.66 Attack duration(mean): data nr (p=0.002)	nr	Most common side effects: tiredness, insomnia and dizziness	nr
Fair quality RCT Crossover				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 265 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Forssman 1976 Sweden

Fair quality RCT Crossover

Kuritzky 1987 Israel

Fair quality RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 266 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Malvea 1973 United States Fair quality RCT Crossover	Age range of 25-57 with common migraine	Pregnancy, bronchial asthma, congestive heart failure, allergic rhinitis, diabetes mellitus and previous use of propranolol for headache	Propranolol (pro) <dose?> mg daily Placebo (pla) x <duration?>, then crossover</duration?></dose?>	Analgesic, ergot and narcotic drugs
Mikkelsen	Agod between 18 and 65 years, with	Allergy to telfonomic acid: corious	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg	Other kinds of abortive
1986 Denmark Fair quality RCT Crossover	Aged between 18 and 65 years, with history of classic or common migraine (Ad Hoc Committee on Classification of Headache) with at least three migraine attacks per month which had been present for more than one year	Allergy to tolfenamic acid; serious heart, kidney, liver or psychiatric diseases, asthma, bronchitis, diabetes, active ulceration, pregnancy, or breast feeding; any administration of another prophylactic treatment for migraine within the month prior to the start of the study; use of tolfenamic acid within 6 months of study entry	daily Tolfenamic acid (tol) 300 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 12 weeks, then crossover	treatment allowed but not specified

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 267 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Malvea 1973 United States	Patient record of: 1) headache frequency; 2) headache severity on 3-point scale (1=mild, annoying; 2=moderate or interfering; 3=severe or incapacitating; 3) use	Mean age nr 87.1% female Race nr	nr	nr/nr/31 enrolled	1(3.2%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/29 analyzed
RCT Crossover	of analgesic and ergo drugs				
	Reviewed at each 6-week period				
Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark Fair quality RCT Crossover	Patient record sheet 1) Number of attacks 2) Duration of attacks 3) Intensity of attacks (scale of 1-10) 4) Working capacity on 3-point scale (1=ability to work; 2=ability to be ambulant but not able to work; 3=bed confinement)	Mean age=38 Gender(% female)=83.9 % Race nr	Classic=10/31(32.2%) Common=21/31(67.7%)	nr/nr/39	8(20.5%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/31 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 268 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Malvea 1973 United States Fair quality RCT Crossover	Final preference(# patients/%): pro=16/55.2%; pla=8/27.6%; neither=5/17.2% Headache units/day(sum of means for group as a whole/% change): pro=(-6.8)/(-19.2%); pla=(-2.1)/(-8.3%) Symptomatic drug use/day(sum of means for group as a whole/% change): pro=(-27)/(-34.2%); pla=(-24)/(-30.4%)	nr	Overall incidence: nr Side effects possibly related to the use of propranolol(# pts): Mild nausea: 5 Fatigue: 5 Numbness: 1 Heartburn: 1 Heaviness in leg/arm=1 Light-headedness=1 Vomiting=1 Tingling in leg/arm=1 Depressed=1	nr
Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark Fair quality RCT Crossover	Clinical data recorded over last 11 weeks of each treatment period: Number of attacks(mean): pla=8.81; pro=6.65 Working capacity(Total attacks where patients were confined to bed): pla=5.48; pro=4.06(NS) Mean attack duration (hours) of attacks: pla=18.68; pro=14.26(NS) Pain intensity(on scale of 1-10): pla=6.97; pro=6.94(NS)	nr	Overall adverse effects(# patients): pla=3; pro=3(NS) Adverse events recorded with: Placebo=slight neurological symptoms, hot flushes, diarrhea Propranolol=fatigue, polyuria, low back pain	nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 269 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country

Study Design Comments

Malvea 1973 United States

Fair quality

RCT Crossover

Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark

Fair quality
RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 270 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Pita 1977 Spain Fair quality RCT Crossover	Migraine (Ad Hoc Committee) at a frequency of at least 3-4 attacks monthly and have a history of not responding to prophylactic therapy	Concomitant neurological or psychiatric disorders as well as diabetes mellitus, asthma or cardiac disease	Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 2 months; then crossover	Symptomatic analgesic treatment (unspecified)
Pradalier 1989 <i>Fair - Poor</i> RCT	Suffering from migraine for at least two years with or without aura according to the criteria of the new International Headache Society classification	History of congestive heart failure or asthma; heart block; bradycardia (<50 beats/min); Raynaud phenomenon; hypertension; resistant to two previously well-followed prophylactic treatments	Placebo (pla) Long-acting propranolol (LA pro) 160 mg daily x 12 weeks	Usual medication

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 271 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Pita 1977 Spain Fair quality RCT Crossover	1) Frequency; 2) duration; 3) severity rated on 3-point scale (e.g., I=uncomfortable but able to work; II=patient unable to work but not needing bedrest; III=patient necessitating bedrest)	Mean age=32 77.8% female Race nr	Common(#/% patients): 5/9(55.6%) Classic(#/% patients): 4/9(44.4%)	nr/nr/9	1(11.1%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/8 analyzed
Pradalier 1989 <i>Fair - Poor</i> RCT	Patient form documenting frequency and details of the headache (method nr)	Mean age: LA pro=37.1; pla=37.7 Gender(% female): LA pro=77.5%; pla=73.5% Race nr	Familial history of migraine: LA pro=65%; pla=52.9% Mean age at onset: LA pro=20.8; pla=19.1 Migraine frequency/week: LA pro=1.66; pla=1.40 Type of migraine Aura: LA pro=15%; pro=5.9% No Aura: LA pro=80%; pla=85.3% Aura+No Aura: LA pro=5%; pla=8.8% Severity of crisis(# pts. with severe crisis): LA pro=52.5%; pla=;47.0%	nr/nr/74 entered	33 withdrawn(19 prior to randomization)/9(16.3%) lost to fu/analyzed nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 272 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Pita 1977 Spain Fair quality RCT Crossover	Whole frequency/month: data nr; narrative indicates pro>pla Mean frequency/month: data nr; narrative indicates pro=pla Mean Grade(severity)/month: data nr; narrative indicated pro>pla for Grade III Preference(# patients): pro=7/8; pla=1/8	nr	nr	nr
Pradalier 1989 Fair - Poor RCT	Change in mean crises/month: LA pro= (-2.96/-48.4%); pla= (+0.41/+6.8%)	Volunteered information (e.g., "How did you tolerate the treatment?") and a standardized 17-item questionnaire	Answers to adverse event questionnaire at Day 84 (LA pro n=22; pla n=19) Cold extremities: LA pro=0; pla=3(15.8%) Tiredness: LA pro=3(13.6%); pla=2(10.5%) Dyspnea: LA pro=3(13.6%); pla=1(5.3%) Dyspepsia: LA pro=1(4.5%); pla=0 Diarrhea: LA pro=1(4.5%); pla=0 Constipation: LA pro=2(9.1%); pla=2(10.5%) Insomnia: LA pro=2(9.1%); pla=2(10.5%) Depression: LA pro=0; pla=1(10.5%)	LA pro=0 pla=1(due to psoriasis)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 273 of 347

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Pita 1977

Spain

Fair quality RCT Crossover

Pradalier 1989

Fair - Poor

RCT

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 274 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Rao 2000 India <i>Fair quality</i> RCT	Patients with two or more migraine attacks per week	nr	Placebo (pla) Cyproheptadine (cyp) 4 mg daily Propranolol (pro) 80 mg daily Cyproheptadine 4 mg daily+Propranolol 80 mg daily (cyp+pro)	nr
Wideroe 1974 Norway Fair quality RCT Crossover	Patients diagnosed with cassic or common migraine (Ad Hoc Committee, 1962) in whom the result of open treatment with propranolol 160 mg daily as part of a pilot study was rated as "excellent" (e.g., reduction of attack rate of more than 50%	NR	Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 3 months, then crossover	Analgesic and antimigraine drugs

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 275 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Rao 2000 India Fair quality	Migraine attack frequency, severity and duration rated by patient using 5-point scale 4=100%, "total" relief 3=75% relief	Mean age=28.6 67.2% female Race nr	nr	nr/nr/259 recruited	55 withdrawn/lost to fu nr/204 analyzed
RCT	2=50% relief 1=25% relief 0=0% relief, no change	Nace III			
Wideroe 1974 Norway <i>Fair quality</i> RCT Crossover	Patient record of a) frequency; b) intensity; c) duration; d) change in premonitory symptoms; e) quality of the attack; f) degree of invalidity; g) consumption of analgesic/antimigraine drugs Treatment rating by physician: 1) excellent-a reduction in attack rate of more than 50%; 2) moderate-a reduction in attack rate of less than 50%; 3) no effect; 4) an increase in attack rate x monthly	Mean age=38 Gender(% female)=86.7 % Race nr	Classic=6/30(20%) Common=24/30(80%)	nr/nr/30	4 withdrawn/lost to fu nr/analyzed 26

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 276 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Rao 2000 India	Frequency (mean response): pla=1.77; pro=2.85 Duration (mean response): pla=1.77; pro=2.83 Severity (mean response): pla=1.64; pro=2.87	nr	Incidence(# patients): pla=1/69(1.4%); pro=11/62(17.7%)	nr
Fair quality RCT				
Wideroe 1974 Norway	Average rate of migraine attacks/month(mean/% change): pro=0.4(-86.7%); pla=1.7(-58.8%)	nr	nr	nr
Fair quality RCT Crossover				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 277 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author
Year
Country
Study Design Comments

Rao
2000
India

Fair quality
RCT

Wideroe
1974
Norway

Fair quality
RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 278 of 347

RCT Crossover

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Poor Quality				
Propranolol				
Ahuja	Suffering from migraine (Ad Hoc	Intercurrent illness	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg	NR
1985	Committee on Headache) at a frequency		daily	
India	of > 2 attacks per month in the previous		Placebo (pla) x 8 weeks,	
	3 months		then crossover	
Poor quality				
RCT Crossover				

Borgensen 1976 Denmark	 (a) Diagnosis of migraine (Ad Hoc Committee on Headache, 1962) (b) > 1 migraine attack/week (c) Intractability with known prophylactics 	Cardiac disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus, physical or neurological abnormalities	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily Placebo x three months, then crossover	nr
Poor quality	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 279 of 347

RCT Crossover

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Study Design and Timing of Assessment Ethnicity (diagnosis, etc) enrolled analyzed	
Poor Quality	
Propranolol	
Ahuja Severity: rated on 3-point scale Age range of nr nr/nr/26 enrolled nr/nr/nr	
1985 (3=severe; 2=moderate, 17-55 India incapacitating; 1=inconvenient, 46.1%	
mild) female	
Poor quality Severity index: calculated by	
RCT Crossover multiplying the number of attacks /8	
weeks with severity points	
Attack duration: scored on 5-point scale (5=duration of attack	
exceeding pretreatment duration;	
4=duration equal before and after	
treatment; 3=duration of attacks	
was 75 percent of pretreatment;	
2=duration of attacks was 50 percent of pretreatment; 1=duration	
of attacks was 25 percent of	
pretreatment)	
Duration index: multiplying number	
of attacks/8 weeks with duration	
score	
Borgensen nr nr Migraine Frequency(# nr/nr/45 patients 15(33.3%) withdrawn/lo	st
1976 patients): to fu nr/30 analyzed	
Denmark 2-5 attack/4 weeks=1	
Poor quality	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 280 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Poor Quality				
Propranolol				
Ahuja	Attack frequency/8 weeks(mean): pro=8.58; pla=14.46(p<0.05)	nr	data nr; no significant	nr
1985	Severity Index/8 weeks(mean): pro=20.69; pla=38.00(p<0.05)		side effects of	
India	Duration index/8 weeks(mean): pro=23.58; pla=52.19(p<0.01)		propranolol were	
Poor quality RCT Crossover			observed during the trial period	

Borgensen Attack frequency in pro period as percentage of that in pla nr nr nr 1976 period(number/% patients): Denmark > 100%=9/30% 100%=3/10% Poor quality 75-99%=1/3.3% RCT Crossover 50-75%=8/26.7% 25-50%=2/6.7% 1-25%=2/6.7%

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 281 of 347

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Poor Quality

Propranolol

Ahuja

1985

India

Poor quality

RCT Crossover

Borgensen 1976 Denmark

Poor quality
RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 282 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions	
Diamond 1976 United States	Classic or common migraine	Asthma, cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus or any physical or neurologic abnormalities	Flexible dosing: Propranolol (pro) 80-160 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 4-8 weeks;	Common analgesics, narcotics, ergot medications	
Poor quality RCT Crossover			then crossover x 8 weeks		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 283 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Diamond 1976 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover	Severity rated on 3-point scale (severe/3 headache units(HU)=incapacitation unable to perform their duties; moderate/2 HU=annoying headache with difficulties to carry out activities; mild/1 HU=bothersome headache which permit fulfillment of	Average age=38.1 80.7% female Race nr	Common migraine: 57 pts.(91.9%) Classic migraine: 5 pts(8.1%)	nr/nr/83	21 pts(25.3%) withdrawn/lost to fu nr/62 analyzed
	obligations with minimal or no difficulties) Relief medication units(RMU): ergotamine=3 RMU; narcotic=2 RMU; common analgesic=1 RMU Headache Index(HI): HU total/# days observed Headache Index Ratio: pla HI/pro H(1=no change; >1=better on pro; <1=better on pla) Relief medication index(RMI): total of RMU/# days observed Relief medication index ratio(RMIR): pla RMI/pro RMI(1=no change; >1=better on pro; <1=better on pla)				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 284 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Diamond 1976 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover	Responders(# pts preferred treatment): pro=34/62(54.8%); pla=17/62(27.4%) Corroboration of HIR/RMIR scores relative to treatment preference(# pts/%): pro=27/34(79.4%); pla=10/17(58.8%) Comparison of HIR:RMIR relative to treatment preference(pro responder=34; pla responder=17) Low ratio value(HIR/RMIR): pro resp=0.70/0.00; pla resp=0.37/0.00 Medium ratio value(HIR/RMIRO: pro resp=2.03/1.95; pla resp=0.75/0.75 High ratio value(HIR/RMIR): pro resp=14/?; pla=1.44/5.91	nr	Incidence(# pts/%): pro=15/83(18.1%); pla=9/83(10.8%) Benign adverse reactions occurring on both pro and pla(data nr): nausea, light- headedness, fatigue, difficulty catching breath, mild depression, heartburn Benign side effects on pro only(data nr): diarrhea, abdominal cramps, irritability, insomnia, sleepiness	pro=6/83(7.2%) pla=1/83(1.2%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 285 of 347

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Diamond 1976

United States

Poor quality

RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 286 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Fuller 1990 London <i>Poor quality</i> RCT	Common or classical migraine as defined by the Ad Hoc Committee; migraine of one year's duration; with attacks occurring between once a week and once every four months; age between 16 and 65	Contraindications to propranolol or paracetamol; pre-existing migraine prophylaxis or beta-blocker therapy for other indications; non-migrainous headaches that are not clearly distinguishable from migraine	Propranolol 40 mg Placebo	Paracetamol
Johnson 1986 New Zealand RCT Crossover	Aged 22-80, with a history of least one migraine attack during the month preceding the trial; attacks associated with at least two of the following: 1) a strong family history, 2) nausea or vomiting, 3) some response to vasoconstrictors, 4) a classical prodrome	nr	Mefanamic acid (mef) 500 mg daily Propranolol (pro) 80 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 3 months; then crossover	Acute medication allowed (not specified)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 287 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Fuller 1990 London	Patient record cards	<i>n</i> =14 Median age=31 78.6%	Common migraine=9/14(64.3%) Classical migraine=5/14(35.7%)	nr/nr/27 recruited	14 analyzed
Poor quality RCT		female Race nr			
Johnson 1986 New Zealand RCT Crossover	Patient charts: 1) frequency; 2) duration; 3) severity (scale 1-10); 4) associated symptoms; 5) acute medication usage; 6) side effects; 7) disability scored on a 5-point scale (1=mild disability; 5=severe, confinement to bed in a darkened room)	Per protocol analysis (n=17) Mean age=42 76.5% female Race nr	Per protocol analysis (n=17) Common migraine=11(64.7%) Classical migraine=6(35.3%)	nr/nr/29 enrolled	12(41.4%) withdrawn/9(31%) lost to fu/17 analyzed
	Patients assessed monthly				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 288 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Fuller 1990 London <i>Poor quality</i> RCT	Change in headache severity(2 hours post-dose): 1-3 point deterioration(# patients): pro=1(7.1%); pla=4(28.6%) No change(# patients): pro=7(50%); pla=4(28.6%) 1-6 point improvement(# patients): pro=6(42.8%); pla=6(42.8%) Patient analysis of response to treatment: No effect: pro=3(21.4%); pla=6(42.8%) Poor: pro=4(28.6%); pla=3(21.4%) Fair: pro=5(35.7%); pla=4(21.4%) Good: pro=2(14.3%); pla=1(7.1%) Excellent: pro=0; pla=0	nr	Propranolol(# patients): Light-headedness=1 Stomach pains=1 Sleepiness=1 Placebo(# patients): Sleepiness=2 Nausea=2 Dizzness=1	nr
Johnson 1986 New Zealand RCT Crossover	Number of attacks/3 months(median/mean): pro=11/13.8 pla=15/20 Median/% change(pro:pla): -4/-26.7% Mean/% change(pro:pla): -6.3/-31.3% Total duration (hours) of attack(median/mean): pro=75/115 pla=138/184 Median/% change(pro:pla): -63/-45.6% Mean/% change(pro:pla): -69/-37.5% Average duration (hours) of attacks(median/mean): pro=24/40 pla=26/40 Median/% change(pro:pla): -2/-7.7%	Recorded by patients in charts	Incidence: pro=2(8.7%); pla=1(4.2%) Adverse events on: pro=depression, gastrointestinal symptoms pla=dizziness	Withdrawals: pro=1 pla=1

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 289 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author

Year

Country

Study DesignCommentsFullerStudy of abortive1990treatment ofLondonmigraine

Poor quality

RCT

Johnson 1986 New Zealand

RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 290 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Kaniecki 1997 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover Single blind	18 to 65 years of age; meeting diagnostic criteria for migraine without aura as defined by the IHS; migraine frequency of 2-8 times/month, with a maximum of 15 headaches days per month, and a migraine history of greater than 1 year	Past trials of valproate or propranolol; failure of greater than 2 adequate trials of migraine prophylactic agents; severe medical or psychiatric illness; analgesic use of more than 15 days per month; presence of alcohol or drug abuse; use of no contraception by women of childbearing potential; unable to complete a headache diary or differentiate various headache types	Sustained release propranolol (SR pro) 180 mg daily Divalproex sodium (div) 1500 mg daily Placebo (pla)	Symptomatic medication allowed (unspecified)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 291 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Kaniecki 1997 United States	Patient diary Assessments performed at weeks 4, 8, 20, 24, and 36	Mean age nr 81.1% female Race nr	nr	nr/nr/37	5(13.5%) withdrawn)/0 lost to fu/32 analyzed
Poor quality RCT Crossover Single blind					

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 292 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Kaniecki 1997 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover Single blind	Reduction in mean migraine frequency /4 weeks(#/% patients): pla=6/19%; pro=20/63% Reduction in mean migraine days /4 weeks(#/% patients): pla=7/22%; pro=22/69%	Documented on forms (not specified)	Adverse event profile for SR propranolol (# events): nausea=2 Fatigue=3 Dizziness=3 Weight gain=1 Depression=2 Increased headache=1 Impotence=1 Insomnia=1 Memory loss=1 Adverse event profile for placebo nr	Overall withdrawals due to adverse events=5(15.6%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 293 of 347

Author

Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Kaniecki 1997

United States

Poor quality

RCT Crossover

Single blind

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 294 of 347

Use of metamizole and ergotamine tartrate also

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Nadelmann 1986 Poor quality RCT Crossover	Fulfilled diagnostic criteria for classic and/or common migraine headaches (Ad Hoc Committee on the Classification of Headache); had at least four headaches per month during a one-month observation period	Migraine other than classic or common, or other headaches known to be associated with migraine, or if they had known contraindications to beta blockers	Propranolol (pro) 80-320 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 30 weeks (6-week dose-finding, 24-week double-blind)	Analgesics Tranquilizers Ergot Narcotics
Nair 1974 India <i>Poor quality</i> RCT Crossover	History typical of migraine; duration of headache of more than one year; attack rate exceeded 5 or more/month	nr	Propranolol (pro) 80 mg daily Placebo (pla)	All patients used prochlorperazine 15 mgms daily throughout the duration of the study.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 295 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Nadelmann 1986 Poor quality RCT Crossover	Data recorded at two-week intervals Daily patient diaries Headache Unit Index (HUI) A mild headache=Annoying=1unit A moderate headache=Interfering=2 units A severe headche=Incapacitating=3 units for headaches lasting 2 days A very severe headache=Incapacitating=4 units/day for severe attacks lasting 2 or more days Relief Medication Unit Index(RMUI) Simple analgesic, tranquilizer=1 unit Narcotic=2 units Ergot compound=3 units	Age(%) 18: 1.6 20-29=37.1 30-39=30.6 40-49=24.2 50-59=4.8 60=1.6 Gender(%) Female=85.5 Male=14.5 Race(%) White=96.8 Black=3.2	Diagnosis(%) Common migraine=56.5 Classic/common migraine=43.5 Classic migraine=0 History of migraine(% yrs duration) 1-5=22.6 6-10=27.4 11-15=14.5 16-20=9.7 21-25=8.1 26+=17.7	nr/nr/67 registered	26 withdrawn/2 lost to fu/
Nair 1974 India <i>Poor quality</i> RCT Crossover	Patient charts(2): 1) # of headaches suffered in one month; 2) # of tablets of metamizole and ergotamine tartrate consumed in one month	Mean age=27.2 50% female Race nr	nr	nr/nr/20	0 withdrawn/0 lost to fu/20 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 296 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Nadelmann 1986 Poor quality RCT Crossover	Sequence 1: contrast between mean change in placebo and propranolol treatment periods Sequence 2: contrast between mean change in propranolol and placebo treatment periods HUI Sequence 1: 0.33 (p=0.03) Sequence 2: (-0.18) (NS) RMUI Sequence 1: 0.66 (NS) Sequence 2: (-0.72) (NS)	nr	% Incidence Malaise: pro=14.1; pla=3.6 Fatigue: pro=40.6; pla=5.4 Lethargy: pro=26.6; pla=3.6 Bradycardia: pro=7.8; pla=0 Nausea: pro=15.6; pla=5.4 Diarrhea: pro=10.9; pla=1.8 Epigastric distress: pro=17.2; pla=3.6 Depressed moods: pro=7.8; pla=0 Vivid dreams: pro=10.9; pla=1.8	NR
Nair 1974 India <i>Poor quality</i> RCT Crossover	Headache frequency(mean/month) pla=6.25 pro=3.15 Mean/% change(pro:pla): (-3.1)/(-49.6%)	nr	nr	nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 297 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country

Study Design Comments

Nadelmann 1986

Poor quality RCT Crossover

Nair 1974 India

Poor quality RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 298 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Palferman 1983 London Poor quality RCT Crossover	Outpatients with migraine, defined as episodic headache with other accepted disorders of cerebral function including visual disturbances and vomiting, and those with "non-migraine", defined as recurrent 'simple' or 'tension' headaches without the disorders of cerebral function	Patients under 16 or over 65 years; use of beta blockers contraindicated; patients with the possibility of other pathology, disclosed by history, examination or investigations, which might lead to headaches	Propranolol (pro) 120 mg daily Placebo (pla) x 8 weeks, then crossover	nr
Standes 1982 Norway Poor quality RCT Crossover	Outpatients of both sexes between the ages of 18 and 65 years with a history of between two and six common migraine attacks (Ad Hoc Committee) per month	Other types of headache (including classical migraine) and major head injuries; contraindications to betablocking agents; use of oral contraceptives; pregnant women; use of timolol or propranolol for other reasons than migraine	Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Timolol (tim) 20 mg daily Placebo (pla)	Ergotamine and analgesics

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 299 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Palferman 1983 London Poor quality RCT Crossover	Patient diary card Subjective daily syptoms graded 0- 4 (0=no headache, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe, 4=worst possible) x 4 weekly intervals	All patients (n=22) Mean age=37.8 69.4% female Race nr Migraine patients only (n=10) Mean age=41.4 80% female Race nr	All patients Average symptom duration(yrs): 11.3 Migraine patients only Average symptom duration(yrs): 17.5	nr/nr/22 patients (10 migraine patients) enrolled	14(38.8%) withdrawn/10(27.8%) lost to fu/22 analyzed
Standes 1982 Norway Poor quality RCT Crossover	Patient record: 1) incidence; 2) severity; 3) duration	Age range: Men=20-57; Women=22- 57 80% female Race nr	nr	nr/nr/25 recruited	7(28%) withdrawn/0 lost to fu/18 analyzed

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 300 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Palferman 1983 London	Average number of days with headache in 56 days: All patients (n=22): pla=26; pro=23(NS) Migraine patients only (n=10): pla=24; pro=21(NS)	nr	nr	nr
Poor quality RCT Crossover	Average headache score All patients: pro=55; pla=47(p=0.26) Migraine patients only: pro=52; pla=47(NS)			

Standes	Reduction in mean attacks/month(mean/% change): pro=(-	Patient report	Incidence(# pts/%):	2/25(8%)
1982	3.43)/(51.6%); pla=(-2)/(-30.1%)		pro=6/25(24%);	treatment nr
Norway	Ergotamine use(change in % of attacks during which pain relieving		pla=5/25(20%)	
	tablets were taken): pro=(-18 percentage points); pla=(-13.4			
Poor quality	percentage points)		Most common adverse	
RCT Crossover	Other pain relief tablet use(change in % of attacks during which		events:	
	pain relieving tablets were taken): pro=(-29 percentage points);		Tiredness:	
	pla=(-35 percentage points)		pro=3/25(12%);	
	Reduction in frequency of attacks:		pla=4/25(16%)	
	Good(>/= 50% reduction): pro=13 pts./72.2%; pla=6 pts./33.3%		Nausea: pro=1/25(4%);	
	Some(33.3-49% reduction): pro=0 pts.; pla=1 pt./5.5%		pla=1/25(4%)	
	No effect(0=33.2% reduction); pro=3 pts/16.7%; pla=8 pts./44.4%		Sunburn feeling:	
	Negative effect(increased frequency): pro=2 pts/11.1%; pla=3		pro=1/25(4%); pla=0	
	pts/16.7%		Depression:	
	•		•	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 301 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year Country

Study Design Comments

Palferman 1983 London

Poor quality
RCT Crossover

Standes 1982 Norway

Poor quality RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 302 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia Poor quality RCT Crossover	Outpatients of both sexes between ages of 18 and 65 years with a history of between 2 and 6 common migraine attacks per month (Ad Hoc Committee)	Other types of headache (including classical migraine) and major head injuries; contraindications to beta blockers; oral contraceptive use; heart rate < 54 after 3 min of rest and with supine DBP >/= 100 mmHg	Timolol (tim) 20 mg daily Propranolol (pro) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla)	NR
Weber 1972 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover	Met criteria for diagnosis of migraine and that were recognized as therapeutic management problems	Abnormal neurological examinations; disorders that could be aggravated by beta blockers (namely cariac disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus)	Propranolol (pro) 80 mg daily Placebo (pla)	NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 303 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia Poor quality RCT Crossover	Patient diary card: 1) frequency; 2) duration; 3) severity of attacks; 4) number of responders (e.g., >/= 50% reduction in frequency of attacks compared to baseline; 5) frequency of attacks with associated symptoms; 6) frequency of attacks requiring medication; 7) headache index=frequency x severity x attack duration in hours; 8) second headache index: attack frequency x severity	Mean age=39.5 73.9% female Race nr	Clinical characteristics(mean) Duration of migraine(years): 20.9 Attack frequency/28 days: 5.7 Attack with nausea frequency/28 days: 2.6 Attack with ergotamine therapy frequency/28 days: 2.4 Attack with any therapy frequency/28 days: 5.1 Duration of attacks(hours): 9.8 Severity of attacks: 2.0	nr/nr/96	withdrawn=27(28.1%)/6(6. 2%) lost to fu/80 analyzed
Weber 1972 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover	1) Frequency and 2) severity assessed at 4-week intervals Definitions of symptomatic responses Excellent: all or nearly all symptoms of migraine absent after first week of study Good: more than 50% reduction in frequency or severity of headaches Fair: minimal symptomatic improvement No effect: unspecified	Mean age=40.6 52% female Race nr	Classic: 13(68.4%) Common: 6(31.6%)	nr/nr/25	withdrawn=6/25(24%)/lost to fu nr/analyzed 19

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 304 of 347

Author Year Country Study Design	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia	Mean frequencies per 28 days/mean(%) change for propranolol relative to placebo Frequency of attacks: pro=3.69; pla=4.84/-1.15(-23.8%) Frequency of attacks with nausea: pro=1.37; pla=1.89/-0.52(-	Patient report	Incidence[# pts(%)]: pro=35(42.2%); pla=23(27.7%) Most commonly reported	pro=6/89(6.7%) pla=2/90(2.2%)
Poor quality RCT Crossover	27.5%) Frequency of attacks with any therapy: pro=3.24; pla=4.20/-0.96(-22.8%) Severity of attacks: pro=1.83; pla=1.93/-0.10(-5.2%)(NS) Duration of attacks(hours): pro=7.38; pla=7.95/-0.57(-7.2%)(NS) Headache index2: pro=6.66; pla=9.03/-2.37(-35.6%) Headache index1: pro=50.3; pla=50.7/-19(-27.4%) Number of responders(# pts with 50% reduction in frequency): pro=48; pla=24/24(+50%)		side effects: Fatigue/tiredness: pro=11(13%); pla=15(18%) Dizziness: pro=4(5%); pla=2(2%) Nausea: pro=5(6%); pla=2(2%) Sleep disturbances: pro=3(4%); pla=2(2%) Depression: pro=3(4%); pla=0 Abnormal dreaming:	
Weber 1972 United States Poor quality RCT Crossover	Symptomatic response(# pts/%) First 3 months(pro n=8; pla n=11) Good/Excellent: pro=5(63%); pla=0 Fair: pro=2(25%); pla=1(9.1%) No effect: pro=1(12.5%); pla=11(91%) Second 3 months(pro n=11 who received placebo first; pla n=8 who received pro first) Good/Excellent: pro=10(91%); pla=2(25%) Fair: pro=0; pla=0 No effect: pro=1(9.1%); pla=6(75%) Irrespective of sequence pro>pla(#/% pts): 15/79% pro=pla(#/% pts): 4/21%	nr	Abdominal cramps/diarrhea:1 patient	nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 305 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 8. Placebo controlled trials of beta blockers for migraine

Author Year

Country

Study Design Comments

Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia

Poor quality

RCT Crossover

Weber 1972 United States

Poor quality
RCT Crossover

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 306 of 347

Author, Year Country Nadelmann 1986	Randomization described? NR	Allocation concealed NR	Groups similar at baseline N/A-crossover	Similarity to target population Fair higher female to male ratio	Number recruited 67 enrolled
Borgensen 1976 Denmark	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unknown; characteristics NR	45 selected
Fuller 1990 London	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good Median age=31 78.6% female	27 enrolled/14 analyzed
Rao 2000 India	Inferior; group allottment via latin square design	NR	NR	Good Mean age=28.6 67.2% female	259 recruited
Pradalier 1989	NR	NR	Yes	Good Mean age=37 75.7% female	74 enrolled
Wideroe 1974 Norway	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good Mean age=38 86.7% female	30 enrolled
Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good Median age=38 83.9% female	39 enrolled

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 307 of 347

Author, Year	Evaluaian aritaria far reconsitment	Eligibility criteria	Outcome assessors	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of	Intention-to-treat
Country Nadelmann 1986	Exclusion criteria for recruitment Migraine other than classic or common, or other headaches known to be associated with migraine, or if they had known contraindications to beta blockers	specified Yes	blinded NR	Yes	Yes	No
Borgensen 1976 Denmark	Cardiac disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus, physical or neurological abnormalities	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Fuller 1990 London	Contraindications to propranolol or paracetamol; pre-existing migraine prophylaxis or beta-blocker therapy for other indications; non-migrainous headaches that are not clearly distinguishable from migraine	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Rao 2000 India	NR	Minimal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pradalier 1989	History of congestive heart failure or asthma; heart block; bradycardia (<50 beats/min); Raynaud phenomenon; hypertension; resistant to two previously well-followed prophylactic treatments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Stated Yes, but unclear
Wideroe 1974 Norway	NR	Minimal	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark	Allergy to tolfenamic acid; serious heart, kidney, liver or psychiatric diseases, asthma, bronchitis, diabetes, active ulceration, pregnancy, or breast feeding; any administration of another prophylactic treatment for migraine within the month prior to the start of the study; use of tolfenamic acid within 6 months of study entry	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 308 of 347

		Reporting of attrition,					
Author,	Maintenance of	crossovers,					
Year	comparable	adherence, and	Loss to follow-up:	_		Control group	Length of
Country	groups	contamination	differential/high	Score	Funding	standard of care	follow-up
Nadelmann 1986	NR	Overall rate of attrition: 38.8% Others NR	No	Poor	NR; second author affiliated with Ayerst Laboratories	Yes	34 weeks
Borgensen 1976 Denmark	N/A	Attrition reported (33.3%); others NR	NR	Poor	NR	Yes	6 months
Fuller 1990 London	N/A	Attrition reported (48.1%); others NR	No	Poor	NR	Yes	4 attacks
Rao 2000 India	NR	Attrition reported (21.1%); others NR	No	Fair	NR	Yes	1 year
Pradalier 1989	NR	Attrition reported (44.6%); others NR	16.3% lost to fu	Fair-Poor	NR	Yes	12 weeks
Wideroe 1974 Norway	N/A	Attrition reported (13.3%); others NR	NR	Fair	Tablets/randomization provided by Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd.	Yes	6 months
Mikkelsen 1986 Denmark	N/A	Attrition reported(20.5%); others NR	No	Fair	GEA Ltd., Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Company	Yes	24 weeks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 309 of 347

Author, Year Country Palferman 1983 London	Randomization described? NR	Allocation concealed NR	Groups similar at baseline N/A-crossover	Similarity to target population Good Mean age=41.4 80% female	Number recruited 36 patients in total (16 with migraine)
Kaniecki 1997 United States	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear Mean age NR 81.1% female	37 recruited
Diener 1996 Germany	NR	NR	Yes	Good mean age=39 78.0% female	235 screened/214 randomized
van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands	NR	NR	Yes	Good mean age=38.7 82.3% female	226 randomized
Diamond 1982 United States	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear Mean age NR 78.7% female	245 admitted

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 310 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Palferman 1983 London	Under 16 or over 65 years; use of beta blockers contraindicated; possibility of other pathology, disclosed by history, examination or investigations, which might lead to headaches	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Kaniecki 1997 United States	Past trials of valproate or propranolol; failure of greater than 2 adequate trials of migraine prophylactic agents; severe medical or psychiatric illness; analgesic use of more than 15 days per month; presence of alcohol or drug abuse; use of no contraception by women of childbearing potential; unable to complete a headache diary or differentiate various headache types	Yes	no	NR	NR	No
Diener 1996 Germany	Pregnancy or lactation; psychiatric disorders; concomitant non-migraine headaches 3 times per month within the last three months; intake of centrally acting drugs or migraine prophylactic drugs during the 4 weeks peceding the trial; specific contraindication to beta-blocker (asthma, diabetes, clinically relevant hypotension, etc.) or cyclandelate (acute stroke, glaucoma, coagulation disorder); intake of drugs to treat migraine attacks > 12 days/month	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands	Current use of drugs for the prevention of migrain; treatment with cardiovascular drugs; usual contrindications for beta blocker use or hypersensitivity to these agents	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Use of ITT analysis is indicated; but unclear in way data is presented
Diamond 1982 United States	Migraine associated with other types of headaches, migraine other than classic or common; known contraindications to propranolol	Yes	Phase I single blind; Phase II double blind	Yes	Yes	No

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 311 of 347

		Reporting of attrition,					
Author,	Maintenance of	crossovers,					
Year	comparable	adherence, and	Loss to follow-up:			Control group	Length of
Country	groups	contamination	differential/high	Score	Funding	standard of care	follow-up
Palferman 1983 London	N/A	Attrition reported(38.8%); others NR	27.80%	Poor	ICI Pharmaceuticals	Yes	16 weeks
Kaniecki 1997 United States	N/A	Attrition reported(13.%)	No	Poor	Abbott Laboratories	Yes	36 weeks
Diener 1996 Germany	NR	Attrition(16.8%); others NR	No	Fair	NR	Yes	20 weeks
van de Ven 1997 The Netherlands	NR	Attrition=31(13.7%); others NR	No	Fair	Merck	Yes	12 weeks
Diamond 1982 United States	N/A	Attrition: Phase I=16.7%; Phase II=32.4%; others NR	Phase I=4/1.6% Phase II=10/6.7%	Fair	Statistical evaluation provided by Ayerst Laboratories	Yes	6-12 months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 312 of 347

Author, Year Country Kangasniemi 1987 Scandinavia	Randomization described? NR	Allocation concealed NR	Groups similar at baseline N/A-crossover	Similarity to target population Good Mean age 37.5 79.7% female	Number recruited 77 randomized
Malvea 1973 United States	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Fair Mean age NR 87.1% female	31 enrolled
Forssman 1976 Sweden	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good Mean age 37.4 87.5% female	40 included
Borgesen 1974 Denmark	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good Mean age 37.6 83.3% female	45 included
Ahuja 1985 India	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear; mean age NR 46.1% female	26 selected
Dahlof 1987 Sweden	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear mean age NR 92.8% female	28 entered
Kuritzky 1987 Israel	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear mean age NR gender NR	38 began

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 313 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Kangasniemi 1987 Scandinavia	Daily use of analgesics and/or total consumption exceeding 40 tablets/month; daily use of ergotamine and/or total consumption exceeding 16 mg/month; treatment with anti-depressive or neuroleptic drugs within the past 2 months; use of narcotic analgestics, chronic treatment with calcium antagonists, clonidine, other beta-blockers or NSAIDSs; change in oral contraceptive therapy 3 months before or during the study; contraindications for beta-blockers; insufficienty treated hypertension; transient ischaemic attacks; epilepsy; hypothyroidism and other severe psychiatric or somatic disease; and pregnancy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear
Malvea 1973 United States	Pregnancy, bronchial asthma, congestive heart failure, allergic rhinitis, diabetes mellitus and previous use of propranolol for headache	Minimal	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Forssman 1976 Sweden	Pregnancy or suspicion of pregnancy; indication of renal or heart disease, hypertension, diabetes or asthma; history of earlier treatment of migraine with propranolol	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Borgesen 1974 Denmark	Cardiac disease; asthma or diabetes mellitus; physical or neurological abnormalities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Ahuja 1985 India	Intercurrent illness	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	NR
Dahlof 1987 Sweden	NR	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kuritzky 1987 Israel	NR	Yes	NR	Unclear	Unclear	No

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 314 of 347

Author, Year	Maintenance of comparable	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Country Kangasniemi 1987 Scandinavia	groups N/A	Attrition=3/77(3.9%); others NR	None	Fair	NR	Yes	16 weeks
Malvea 1973 United States	N/A	Attrition=1(3.2%); others NR	None	Fair	Ayerst Laboratories	Yes	12 weeks
Forssman 1976 Sweden	N/A	Attrition=8(20%); others NR	None	Fair	NR	Yes	34 weeks
Borgesen 1974 Denmark	N/A	Attrition=15(33.3%); others NR	None	Fair	ICI-Pharma	Yes	24 weeks
Ahuja 1985 India	N/A	NR	NR	Poor	Alkali and Chemical Corp. India Ltd. Provided tablets	Yes	16 weeks
Dahlof 1987 Sweden	N/A	Attrition=0; others NR	None	Fair	NR	Yes	52 weeks
Kuritzky 1987 Israel	N/A	Attrition=7(18.4%); others NR	None	Poor	NR	Yes	NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 315 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Standes 1982 Norway	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Unclear mean age NR 80% female	25 entered
Forssman 1982 Sweden	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good mean age=40 80% female	24 included
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good mean age=39.5 79.5% female	96 started
Weber 1972 United States	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Fair mean age 40.6 68.4% female	25 enrolled
Diamond 1976 United States	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good mean age 38.1 80.7% female	83 enrolled
Sjaastad 1972 Norway	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Good mean age 35.8 78.6% female	28 included
Ekbom 1971 Sweden	NR	NR	Yes	Fair mean age 33.7 86.7% female	30 included
Johnson 1986 New Zealand	NR	NR	N/A-crossover	Per protocol: Good mean age 42 76.5% female	29 started
Andersson 1983 Denmark	NR	NR	Yes	Per protocol: Good Mean age: pla=37.3; met-d=42.4 % female: pla=94.6%; met=73.5%	75 recruited

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 316 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Standes 1982 Norway	Other types of headache (including classical migraine) and major head injuries; contraindications to beta-blocking agents; use of oral contraceptives; pregnant women; use of timolol or propranolol for other reasons than migraine	Yes	NR	Unclear	Unclear	No
Forssman 1982 Sweden	NR	Minimal	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia	Other types of headache (including classical migraine) and major head injuries; contraindications to beta blockers; oral contraceptive use; heart rate < 54 after 3 min of rest and with supine DBP >/= 100 mmHg	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Weber 1972 United States	Abnormal neurological examinations; disorders that could be aggravated by beta blockers (namely cariac disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus)	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Diamond 1976 United States	Asthma, cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus or any physical or neurologic abnormalities	Minimal	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Sjaastad 1972 Norway	NR	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Ekbom 1971 Sweden	Bronchial asthma, severe infectious diseases, diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, pathological ECG findings	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No
Johnson 1986 New Zealand	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Andersson 1983 Denmark	Other types of vascular headaches, chronic daily headache not separable from migraine; contraindication for beta blockers; other severe vascular diseases; oral contraceptives and pregnancy	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	No

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 317 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Standes 1982 Norway	N/A	Attrition=7(28%); others NR	None	Poor	MSD (Norge) A/S	Yes	40 weeks
Forssman 1982 Sweden	N/A	Attrition=4(16.7%); others NR	None	Fair	ICI-Pharma Ltd.	Yes	254 days
Tfelt-Hansen 1984 Scandinavia	N/A	Attrition=27(28.1%); others NR	6(6.2%)	Poor	NR	Yes	40 weeks
Weber 1972 United States	N/A	Attrition: 6(24%); others NR	NR	Poor	Ayerst Laboratories	Yes	6 months
Diamond 1976 United States	N/A	Attrition: 21(25.3%)	NR	Poor	Ayerst Laboratories provided coded medications	Yes	16 weeks
Sjaastad 1972 Norway	N/A	Attrition=4(14.2%)	None	Fair	NR	Yes	14 weeks
Ekbom 1971 Sweden	NR	Attrition=4(13.3%); others NR	NR	Fair	NR	Yes	8 weeks
Johnson 1986 New Zealand	N/A	Attrition: 12(41.4%); others NR	9(31%)	Poor	Parke Davis Ltd.	Yes	9 months
Andersson 1983 Denmark	N/A	Attrition: 4/75(5.3%) prior to randomization; 9/71(12.7%) after randomization; others	NR	Fair	NR	Yes	12 wks

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 318 of 347

Author Year Country	Study Design Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Head-to-Head Trials Colombo, 1989 Italy Fair quality	RCT	Patients with cirrhosis that (i) bled from varices or acute gastric erosions, or the bleeding was defined as of "unknown origin," but no lesion besides varices was found by endoscopy done within 5 days, (ii) the bleeding stopped on conservative treatment (vasopressin, somatostatin and/or Sengstaken-Blakemore tube), (iii) no rebleeding requiring definitive treatment (endoscopic sclerotherapy or surgery) occurred before assignment, (iv) they had well-compensated cirrhosis (Child's A or B status); (v) they were less than 70 years of age; (vi) they had been given no previous treatments for portal hypertension (including beta blockers, endoscopic sclerotherapy or surgery), and (vii) they were hemodynamically stable	Patients for whom beta- blockade was contraindicated, who had active peptic ulcer, neoplastic disease and/or Child's C liver status	Propranolol (pro) 40-160 mg daily (n=32) Atenolol (ate) 100 mg daily (n=32) Placebo (pla) (n=30)	Ranitinde, oral antacids, spironolactone, saluretics, lactulose, nonabsorbable antibiotics
Placebo- controlled trials Gatta, 1987 Fair quality	RCT	Biopsy-proven cirrhosis of different etiologies, who survived a vericeal bleeding, defined endoscopically (within 36 hours of bleed) as proven by criteria: 1) visualization of bleeding site; 20 visualization of a fibrin clot on a varix; 3) presence of varices in the absence of gastroduodenal lesions and of any assumption of drugs affecting gastric mucosa; within 15-40 days after bleeding	Child's C grade; massive ascites; renal failure persisting after compensating hemodynamic conditions (serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dl); age < 18 or > 70 years; tumors; contraindications to betablocking agents (asthma, A-V block > 1 degree; heart failure; clinically evident diabetes)	Nadolol (nad) 40-160 mg daily (target heart rate reduction of 25%) Placebo (pla) x 145 weeks	nr

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 319 of 347

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Head-to-Head Trials Colombo, 1989 Italy Fair quality	GI hemorrhage and/or death Quality of life	Mean age: pla=54; ate=53; pro=52 %male: pla=76.7; ate=78.1; pro=87.5 Race NR	Etiology(%) Alcohol: pla=80; ate=81.3; pro=84.4 HBsAg: pla=6.7; ate=0; pro=9.4 Other: pla=13.3; ate=18.7; pro=6.3 Child's class(%) A: pla=46.7; ate=46.9; pro=43.8 B: pla=3.3; ate=53.1; pro=56.3 Bleedings before index bleed(%) 0: pla=20; ate=46.9; pro=31.2 1: pla=53.3; ate=34.4; pro=50 2 or more: pla=26.7; ate=18.8; pro=18.8 Source of hemorrhage(%) Varices: pla=70; ate=26; pro=90.6 Erosions: pla=23.3; ate=9.4; pro=6.2 Unknown: pla=6.7; ate=9.4; pro=3.1	176 evaluated/ 94 eligible/ 94 enrolled	Withdrawn: pla=4(13%); ate=8(25%); pro=2(6%) Lost to fu: pla=3(10%); ate=3(9.4%); pro=1(3.1%) Analyzed: pla=30; ate=32; pro=32
Placebo- controlled trials Gatta, 1987 Fair quality	Event endpoints of the study were considered 1) onset of side effects necessitating withdrawal of treatment; 2) occurrence of digestive hemorrhage from ruptured esophageal varices; 3) death x assessed monthly for first 3 months; then every three months	Mean age: 49 71% male Race nr	Etiology Alcoholic cirrhosis: 75% Cryptogenic cirrhosis: 12.5% Posthepatic cirrhosis: 12.5% Child Class A: 37.5% B: 62.5% Ascites: 25% >1 previous hemorrhage: 33.3% Esophageal varices 2: 29.2% 3: 41.7% 4: 29.2%	nr/54/24 nad (n=12) pla (n=12)	Lost to fu: 5/24(21%)

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 320 of 347

Author		Method of adverse		Withdrawals due to
Year		effects		adverse events (%,
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)
Head-to-Head				
<u>Trials</u>				
Colombo, 1989 Italy	Fatal/nonfatal bleeding episodes at 1 year(% patients): pla=51; ate=31; pro=24 Total deaths: pla=7(23%); ate=3(10%); pro=4(12%)	NR	NR	pla=0 ate=4(12.5%) pro=0
Fair quality	Deaths due to rebleeding: pla=3(10%); ate=1(3.1%); pro=1(3.1%)			рю-о -
	Deaths due to liver failure: pla=2(6.7%); ate=1(3.1%); pro=2(6.2%)			
	Deaths due to unrelated causes: pla=2(6.7%); ate=1(3.1%); pro=1(3.1%)			

Placebocontrolled trials

Gatta, 1987 Per protocol analysis: Withdrawals due to nr nr asthma: nad=1; pla=0

Esophageal varices hemorrhage: nad=3(25%);

Fair quality pla=8(71%)(p<0.05)

Death due to all causes: nad=1(8.3%); pla=3(27.3%)(NS)

Beta adrenergic blockers Page 321 of 347

Author Year Country	Study Design Setting		Exclusion criteria	Interventions (drug, regimen, duration)	Allowed other medications/ interventions
Burroughs 1983 Hampstead, England	RCT	Histologically confirmed cirrhosis; bleeding from a varix or varices; no bleeding for 48 hours	NR	Propranolol (pro) 80 to 800 mg daily with a goal of 25% heart rate reduction Placebo (pla) x 21 months	NR
Fair quality				Treatment initiated 48 hours after bleeding cessation	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 322 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 9. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal varices

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Burroughs	Assessments at monthly	Mean age:	Causes of cirrhosis:	60 screened/48	Withdrawn=4(8.3%)/0
1983	intervals for first 3 months;	pro=51; pla=49	Alcoholism - Pro=35%; Pla=50%	eligible/48 enrolled	lost to fu/48 analyzed
Hampstead,	then at three-month	Gender(% male):	Chronic active hepatitis - Pro=27%; Pla=32%		
England	intervals	pro=46.1;	Cryptogenic - Pro=19%; Pla=14%		
		pla=45.4	Primary biliary cirrhosis - Pro=19%; Pla=4%		
Fair quality		Race nr	Pugh's grading:		
			A - Pro=65%; Pla=54%		
			B - Pro=23%; Pla=36%		
			C - Pro=11.5%; Pla=8%		
			Previous upper GI hemorrhage: Pro=77%;		
			Pla=77%		
			Transfusion (units) after index bleeding episode: Pro=31%; Pla=41%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 323 of 347

Author Year		Method of advers effects	Se	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)
Burroughs	Rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=12/26(46.1%);	nr	nr	Withdrawals:
1983	pla=11/22(50%)(NS)			pro=4/26(15.4%);
Hampstead,	Death due to variceal rebleeding(# patients/%):			pla=0
England	pro=4/26(15.4%); pla=2/22(9.1%)			
-	All-cause mortality(# patients/%): pro=4/26(15.4%);			
Fair quality	pla=5/22(22.7%)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 324 of 347

Author Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other medications/
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)	interventions
El Tourabi	RCT	Portal hypertension secondary to	Evidence or history of heart	Long-acting propranolol (LA	NR
1994		schistosomiasis; age 18-65; past history of	failure; significant airway	pro) 160 mg daily	
Sudan		schistomiasis (demonstrated by ultrasound); esophageal varices; recent variceal hemorrhage	obstruction; heart block greater than first degree;	Placebo (pla)	
Fair quality			insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; bradycardia; severe peripheral vaascular disease; pregnant or lactating; severe depression; MI within previous 3 months		

Jensen RCT Liver disease; age <70; bleeding esophageal varices; no previous bleeding; absence of bleeding for 24 hours after sclerotherapy

Known contraindications to beta blockade

Propranolol slow release (pro SR) 160 mg daily Placebo (pla) x six months

Fair quality

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 325 of 347

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
El Tourabi	Full clinical examinations	Mean age: LA	On admission, patients with:	Propranolol: n=42	33(40%) withdrawn due
1994	at 3-month intervals	pro=34.6;	Palmar erythema - Pro=2%; Pla=0	Placebo: n= 40	to "other" reasons/lost
Sudan	Endoscopies performed at 12 and 24 months	pla=37.1 % male: LA	Gynaecomastia - Pro=2%; Pla=0 Spider naevi (bormore) - Pro=0; Pla=0		to fu=2(2.4%)/analyzed 82
Fair quality		pro=80; pla=83	Jaundice - Pro=0; Pla=0		
, ,	Primary endpoints: 1) time	Race nr	Peripheral edema - Pro=0; Pla=0		
	to first rebleed; 2) time to		Clubbing - Pro=0; Pla=2.5%		
	death		Loss of body hair - Pro=2%; Pla=2.5%		
			Bruising - Pro=2%; Pla=0		
			Distended superficial abdominal veins -		
			Pro=9.5%; Pla=15%		
			Ascites - Pro=7%; Pla=15%		
			Venous hump - Pro=2%; Pla=7.5%		
			Livers:		
			Studied - Pro=31%; Pla=15%		
			Shrunken - Pro=24%; Pla=35%		
			Not palpable - Pro=45%; Pla=50%		
			Palpable - Pro=31%; Pla=15%		
			Spleens:		
			Studied - Pro=93%; Pla=97.5%		
			Shrunken - Pro=0; Pla=2.5%		
			Not palpable - Pro=5%; Pla=0		
			Palpable - Pro=95%; Pla=97.5%		

Jensen 1989 Denmark	Endoscopy at monthly intervals	Mean age: pro SR=46; pla=47 Gender(% male): pro SR=100:	Liver disease: Alcoholic cirrhosis - Pro=80%; Pla=87.5% Primary biliary cirrhosis - Pro=7%; Pla=0 Chronic active hepatitis - Pro=7%; Pla=6%	NR/NR/31 randomized	NR/NR/31 analyzed
Fair quality		pla=75	Cryptogenic cirrhosis - Pro=7%; Pla=6%		
		Race nr	Child's classification:		
			A - Pro=27%; Pla=25%		
			B - Pro=47%; Pla=44%		
			C - Pro=27%; Pla=31%		

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 326 of 347

Author Year Country	Outcomes	Method of adverse effects assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	Withdrawals due to adverse events (%, adverse n/enrolled n)
El Tourabi	LA pro n=42; pla n=40	Occurrence of	Incidence(# patients/%): LA	NR
1994	Rebleeding(# patients/%): LA pro=1(2%);	adverse effects were	, ,	
Sudan	pla=8(20%)(p<0.02)	volunteered by		
	Death(# patients/%): LA pro=3(7%); pla=7(17.5%)(p<0.02)	patients and elicited	Most common adverse events(# pts/%)	
Fair quality	Median time to rebleeding(# days): LA pro=539; pla=252	at follow-up visits	Abdominal swelling: LA pro=0;	
			pla=1(2.5%)	
			Blurred vision: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Coughing: LA pro=0; pla=1(2.5%)	
			Diarrhea: LA pro=2(5%); pla=3(7.5%)	
			Drowsiness: LA pro=1(2%); pla=1(2.5%)	
			Dry mouth: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Epistaxis: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Fatigue: LA pro=0; pla=2(5%)	
			Fever/hot sensation: LA pro=2(5%);	
			pla=1(2.5%) Gastric discomfort: LA pro=1(2%);	
			pla= (2.5%)	
			Hematemesis: LA pro=2(5%); pla=2(5%)	
			Heartburn: LA pro=2(5%); pla=1(2.5%)	
			Hiccups: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Hypersomnia: LA pro=0; pla=1(2.5%)	
			Indigestion: LA pro=0; pla=1(2.5%)	
			Itching: LA pro=2(5%); pla=0	
			Melena: LA pro=0; pla=2(5%)	
			Nervousness: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Pain in abdomen: LA pro=1(2%);	
			pla=1(2.5%)	
			Tinnitus: LA pro=1(2%); pla=0	
			Wheezing: LA pro=0; pla=1(2.5%)	
Jensen	Rebleeding(# patients/%): pro SR=3/15(20%);	NR	Incidence(# patients/%): pro	None
1989 Denmark	pla=12/16(75%)(p<0.05) Median treatments to achieve obliteration: pro SR=5; pla=5		SR=4/15(26.7%); pla=3/16(18.7%)	
Dominan	Median time to obliteration(days): pro SR-163; pla=151		Types of adverse events	
Fair quality	median time to obliteration (days). pro one 100, pia-101		Pro SR(# pts): Tiredness=2; diarrhea=2	
r an quanty			Pla(# pts): Cold extremitis=1; skin rash=1	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 327 of 347

Author Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other medications/
Country	Setting		Exclusion criteria	duration)	interventions
Lebrec 1981a France	RCT	Histologically proven cirrhosis; gastrointestenal bleeding due to ruptured esophageal or gastric varices; diameter of esophageal varices >5mm at	NR	Propranolol (pro) 80-360 mg daily with goal of 25% heart rate reduction	NR
Fair quality		x-ray exam; GI bleeding spontaneously stopped or did not relapse after cessation of esophageal tamponade; hepatic encephalopathy, ascites and		Placebo (pla) x 3 months	
		jaundice absent or appeared only transiently after bleeding		Treatment initiated 10-15 days following bleeding cessation	
Lebrec 1981b Lebrec 1984 France	RCT	Histologically proven cirrhosis; gastrointestinal bleeding; source of hemorrhage was ruptured esophageal or gastric varices (as determined by endoscopy); volume of blood transfused within first 24 hours was 0.5 liter or more; jaundice was	Heart failure; asthma; chronic disease other than cirrhosis	Propranolol (pro) 40-360 mg daily with goal of 25% heart rate reduction Placebo (pla)	NR
Fair quality		absent or mild; size of esophageal varices was large; gradient between the wedge and free hepatic venous pressures >10mm Hg; GI bleeding stopped and hemodynamic conditions were normal		Treatment initiated 2 weeks following bleeding cessation	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 328 of 347

Number

Evidence Table 9. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal varices

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Lebrec 1981a France	NR	NR	Type of cirrhosis(# patients/%): Alcoholic=24/87.5% Hepatitis-B infection=1/4.2% Unknown=2/8.3%	NR/NR/24 admitted	NR/NR/24 analyzed
Fair quality Lebrec 1981b Lebrec 1984 France Fair quality	Assessments at 2-month intervals through year 1; then at 4-month intervals through year 2	Mean age: pro=52.4; pla=49.9 Gender(% male): pro=81.6%; pla=72.2% Race NR	Causes of cirrhosis: Alcoholism - Pro=87%; Pla=89% Chronic Hepatitis B infection - Pro=8%; Pla= 5% Cryptogenic - Pro=5%; Pla=5% Source of bleeding: Ruptured varices - Pro=74%; Pla=78% Acute gastric erosions - Pro=26%; Pla=22% Previous episodes of bleeding: No - Pro=42%; Pla=36% Yes - Pro=58&; Pla=64%	NR/NR/74 randomized	NR/lost to fu: pro=3/28(7.9%); pla=3/36(5.5%)/analyze d 74

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 329 of 347

Author Year		Method of adverse effects		Withdrawals due to adverse events (%,
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)
Lebrec	Rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=0;	NR	Undesirable side effect incidence: pro=0;	None
1981a	pla=5/12(41.7%)(p=0.037)		pla=0	
France				
Fair quality				
Lebrec 1981b	Rebleeding(# patients/%): Year one: pro=1/38(2.6%); pla=16/36(44.4%)(p<0.0001)	NR	Incidence: NR	NR
Lebrec	Year two: pro=6/38(15.8%); pla=23/36(63.9%)		Types of adverse events(# patients):	
1984	Time to rebleeding(% patients free of rebleeding at years		Pro: transient asthemia=8; feeling of well	l <u>-</u>
France	1/2): pro=87/79; pla=42/32(p<0.0001)		being=10; transietly reduced sexual	
	. , , , , ,		activity=2; heart failure development=1	
Fair quality	Death due to(# patients/%):		Pla: nausea=1; dizziness=1; cutaneous	
	Liver failure/septicemia: pro=3/38(7.9%); pla=2/36(5.5%)		rash=1	
	Rebleeding: pro=0; pla=6/36(16.7%)			
	Percentage of surviving patients at years 1/2:			
	pro=94%/90%(NS); pla=84%/57%(p<0.02)			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 330 of 347

Author Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other medications/
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)	interventions
Lo 1993 Taiwan <i>Fair quality</i>	RCT	Cirrhosis; complete obliteration of esophageal varices; esophageal variceal bleeding; received regular endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (EIS)	Visible esophagogastric varices; association with cancer growth; known contraindications to betablockade; beta blockers received prior to variceal obliteration	Propranolol (pro) 60-320 mg daily Placebo (pla)	NR
Sheen 1989 Taiwan <i>Fair quality</i>	RCT	Cirrhosis; stabilized after after treatment for esophageal variceal hemorrhage	Previous treatment with endoscopic sclerotherapy; heart or lung disease; hepatocellular carcinoma	Propranolol (pro) 40 mg daily(mean dosage; range 30- 60 mg) with goal of a 25% heart rate reduction Placebo (pla)	NR

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 331 of 347

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed
Lo 1993 Taiwan	Study endpoints: 1) esophagogastic variceal rebleeding (defined as presence of hematemesis,	Mean age: pro=54.3; pla=51.2 Gender(% male):	Etiology of cirrhosis: Alcoholic - Pro=11.5%; Pla=15% Post-hepatitic - Pro=81%; Pla=74% Cryptogenic - Pro=7%; Pla=7%	NR/NR/59 enrolled	6(10.2%) withdrawn/lost to fu: pro=1(3.3%); pla=2(6.9%)/53 analyzed
Fair quality	melena and when more than two units of blood transfusion were required and the bleedign site was identified from esophagogastic varices by emergency endoscopy); 2) death	pro=88; pro=92	Pugh's grading: A - Pro=69%; Pla=70% B - Pro=23%; Pla=26% C - Pro=7%; Pla=4%		
Sheen 1989 Taiwan <i>Fair quality</i>	Study endpoints: 1) Rebleeding from esophageal varices (proven by endoscopy); or 2) loss to follow-up Patients were seen every two months	Mean age: pro=43.6; pla=45.3 Gender (% male): pro=83; pla=88	Cause of cirrhosis: Alcoholic - Pro=33.3%; Pla=55.5% HBV - Pro=55.5%; Pla=33.3% Cryptogenic - Pro=22.2%; Pla=22.2% Previous bleeding: Pro=55%; Pla=53% Encephalopathy: Pro=0; Pla=0 Ascites: Pro=22%; Pla=28% Pugh's grading: A - Pro=78%; Pla=72% B - Pro=22%; Pla=28% C - Pro=0; Pla=0	230 screened/36 eligible/36 randomized (pro n=18; pla n=18)	NR/NR/18 analyzed

Page 332 of 347

Author		Method of adverse	•	Withdrawals due to
Year		effects		adverse events (%,
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)
Lo	Esophagogastric variceal recurrence (# patients/%):	NR	Propranolol(%)	Propranolol(#
1993	pro=15/26(58%); pla=21/27(77%)		Dizziness=28%	patients/%):
Taiwan	Esophageal variceal rebleeding (# patients/%):		Drowsiness=18%	3/26(11.%) due to
	pro=5/26(19.2%); pla=3/27(11.1%)		Chest tightness=11%	"intolerable general
Fair quality	Cardiac variceal rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=2/26(7.6%);			malaise
	pla=2/27(7.4%)		Placebo: NR	Placebo: NR
	Total rebleeding(esophageal+cardiac rebleeding)(#			
	patients/%): pro=7/26(26.9%); pla=5/27(18.5%)			
	Death due to:			
	(per protocol analysis: pro n=26; pla n=27)			
	Hepatic failure: pro=2/7.6%; pla=4/14.8%			
	Variceal bleeding: pro=3/11.5%; pla=2/7.4%			
	Hepatocellular carcinoma: 2/7.6%; pla=3/11.1%			
	Cerebral hemorrhage: pro=1/3.8%; pla=0			
	All-cause mortality: pro=8/30.8%: pla=9/33.3%			
Chaan	Deble edia (# 2 + 1 - 2 + 1 - 2 + 1 - 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2	ND	ND	ND
Sheen	Rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=5/18(27.8%);	NR	NR	NR
1989	pla=10/18(55.5%)			
Taiwan	Death due to rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=0; pla=2/18(11.1%)			
Fair quality	Freedom from rebleeding(% at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months):			
Fair quality	pro=94/87/68/57; pla=81/59/30/15			
	pro-94/07/00/07, pia-01/09/00/10			

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 333 of 347

Author Year	Study Design			Interventions (drug, regimen,	Allowed other medications/
Country	Setting	Eligibility criteria	Exclusion criteria	duration)	interventions
Villeneuve 1986 Montreal, Canada Fair quality	RCT	Adult; within 72 hours of variceal hemorrhage (demonstrated by endoscopy)	Previous treatment with beta blockers or endoscopic sclerotherapy; absence of Placebo of hemorrhage for at least 6 hours before randomization, using a Sengstaken-Blakemore tube or vasopressin infusio if necessary; heart failure or aortic valve disease other than aortic sclerosis; asthma or chronic obstructive lung disease precluding the administration of beta blockers; cancer or other disease reducing life expectancy to <1 year	Propranolol (pro) initial dose of 80 mg daily wih a goal of plasma concentrations between 50-150 ng per ml Placebo (pla) Treatment initiated within 6-72 hours following bleeding cessation	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 334 of 347

Final Report Update 3 Drug Effectiveness Review Project

Evidence Table 9. Randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal varices

Author Year Country	Method of Outcome Assessment and Timing of Assessment	Age Gender Ethnicity	Other population characteristics (diagnosis, etc)	Number screened/ eligible/ enrolled	Number withdrawn/ lost to fu/ analyzed		
Villeneuve	Assessments at monthly	Mean age:	Etiology of portal hypertension:	110 screened/79	0 withdrawn/0 lost to		
1986	intervals for first 3 months;	pro=54; pla=58	Alcoholic cirrhosis - Pro=74%; Pla=70%	eligible/79 enrolled	fu/79 analyzed		
Montreal, Canada	then at three-month intervals	Gender(% male): pro=57.1%;	Posthepatitic cirrhosis - Pro=7%; Pla=8% Cryptogenic cirrhosis - Pro=9%; Pla=16%				
Fair quality	intervals	pla=75.7%	Biliary cirrhosis - Pro=7%; Pla=2%				
r an quanty	Primary endpoint=Variceal	Race NR	Portal vein thrombosis - Pro=2%; Pla=0				
	rebleeding (shown by		Idiopathic portal hypertension - Pro=0; Pla=2%				
	endoscopy)		Pugh's grading:				
	Secondary		A - Pro=9%; Pla=13.5%				
	endpoint=Survival		B - Pro=50%; Pla=57%				
			C - Pro=43%; Pla=30%				
			Previous episodes of bleeding: Pro=33%; Pla=30%				
			Alcohol consumtion (>60 gm daily) during month				
			prior to admission: Pro=43%; Pla=46%				
			Requied balloon tamponade for index bleed: Pro=43%: Pla=43%				

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 335 of 347

Author		Method of adverse		Withdrawals due to
Year		effects		adverse events (%,
Country	Outcomes	assessment?	Adverse Effects Reported	adverse n/enrolled n)
Villeneuve	Rebleeding(# patients/%): pro=32/42(76.2%);	NR	NR	Withdrawals:
1986	pla=30/37(81.2%)			pro=5/42(11.9%);
Montreal, Canada	All cause mortality: pro=19/42(45.2%); pla=14/30(37.8%)			pla=0
	Mortality due to(# patients/%):			
Fair quality	Rebleeding: pro=5/42(11.9%); pla=7/37(18.9%)			Propranolol AE
	Liver failure: pro=8/42(19.0%);pla=3/37(8.1%)			withdrawals due to:
				Shortness of breath: 3
				patients
				Cardiac failure: 1
				patient
				Septic shock with
				hypotension: 1 patient

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 336 of 347

Author, Year Country	Randomization described?	Allocation concealed	Groups similar at baseline	Similarity to target population	Number recruited
Colombo 1989 Italy	Adequate. Block randomization. Series of triplet packages provided(ate; pro; pla); the contents of which varied at random.	Block number assignment corresponded to a particular package	Yes	Mean age=53 Gender=80.8% male	94
Gatta 1987	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: 49 71% male	24
Burroughs 1983 Hampstead, England	Inferior method: sealed envelope	NR	Yes	Mean age: pro=51; pla=49 Gender(% male): pro=46.1; pla=45.4	48
El Tourabi 1994 Sudan	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: LA pro=34.6; pla=37.1 % male: LA pro=80; pla=83 Race NR	82
Jensen 1989 Denmark	Adequate: Computer generated randomization schedule	NR	Yes	Mean age: pro SR=46; pla=47 Gender(% male): pro SR=100; pla=75 Race NR	31
Lebrec 1981a France	NR	NR	NR	NR	24

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 337 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Colombo 1989 Italy	Patients for whom beta-blockade was contraindicated, who had active peptic ulcer, neoplastic disease and/or Child's C liver status	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gatta 1987	Child's C grade; massive ascites; renal failure persisting after compensating hemodynamic conditions (serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dl); age < 18 or > 70 years; tumors; contraindications to beta-blocking agents (asthma, A-V block > 1 degree; heart failure; clinically evident diabetes)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Burroughs 1983 Hampstead, England	NR	Yes	No; single-blind	Yes	Yes	Yes
El Tourabi 1994 Sudan	Evidence or history of heart failure; significant airway obstruction; heart block greater than first degree; insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; bradycardia; severe peripheral vaascular disease; pregnant or lactating; severe depression; MI within previous 3 months	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Jensen 1989 Denmark	Known contraindications to beta blockade	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lebrec 1981a France	NR	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 338 of 347

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Colombo 1989 Italy	NR	Attrition reported; others NR	Pla=3(10%) Ate=3(9.4%) Pro=1(3.1%)	Fair	Imperial Chemical Industries (Milan) supplied trial tablets	Yes	Mean=357 days
Gatta 1987	NR	NR	Lost to fu: 5/24(21%)	Fair	NR	Yes	Mean=145 weeks
Burroughs 1983 Hampstead, England	NR	NR	NR	Fair	NR	Yes	21 months
El Tourabi 1994 Sudan	NR	Attrition=33(40%)	Lost to fu: LA pro=1(2.4%) pla=1(2.5%)	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals	Yes	2 years
Jensen 1989 Denmark	NR	NR	NR	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals	Yes	6 months
Lebrec 1981a France	NR	NR	NR	Fair	ICI Pharmaceuticals	Yes	3 months

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 339 of 347

Author,					
Year		Allocation	Groups similar at	Similarity to target	
Country	Randomization described?	concealed	baseline	population	Number recruited
Lebrec 1981b Lebrec, 1984 France	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: pro=52.4; pla=49.9 Gender(% male): pro=81.6%; pla=72.2%	74
Lo 1993 Taiwan	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: pro=54.3; pla=51.2 Gender(% male): pro=88; pro=92	59
Sheen 1989 Taiwan	NR	NR	Yes	Mean age: pro=43.6; pla=45.3 Gender (% male): pro=83; pla=88	36
Villeneuve 1986 Montreal, Canada	Inferior method; sealed envelopes	NR	No; more patients in the pro group had severe Class C liver disease (43% vs 30%); less patients in the propranolol group were male (57.1% vs 75.7%)	Mean age: pro=54; pla=58 Gender(% male): pro=57.1%; pla=75.7%	79

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 340 of 347

Author, Year Country	Exclusion criteria for recruitment	Eligibility criteria specified	Outcome assessors blinded	Care provider blinded	Patient unaware of treatment	Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis
Lebrec 1981b Lebrec, 1984 France	Heart failure; asthma; chronic disease other than cirrhosis	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lo 1993 Taiwan	Visible esophagogastric varices; association with cancer growth; kNown contraindications to beta-blockade; beta blockers received prior to variceal obliteration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Sheen 1989 Taiwan	Previous treatment with endoscopic sclerotherapy; heart or lung disease; hepatocellular carciNoma	Yes	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes
Villeneuve 1986 Montreal, Canada	Previous treatment with beta blockers or endoscopic sclerotherapy; absence of Placebo of hemorrhage for at least 6 hours before randomization, using a Sengstaken-Blakemore tube or vasopressin infusio if necessary; heart failure or aortic valve disease other than aortic sclerosis; asthma or chronic obstructive lung disease precluding the administration of beta blockers; cancer or other disease reducing life expectancy to <1 year	Yes	No; single-blind	Yes	Yes	Yes

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 341 of 347

Montreal, Canada

Evidence Table 9a. Quality assessments of randomized controlled trials of beta blockers for bleeding esophageal varices

Author, Year Country	Maintenance of comparable groups	Reporting of attrition, crossovers, adherence, and contamination	Loss to follow-up:	Score	Funding	Control group standard of care	Length of follow-up
Lebrec 1981b Lebrec, 1984 France	NR	NR	Lost to fu: pro=3/38(7.9%) pla=2/36(5.5%)	Fair	NR	Yes	24-38 months (mean=29 months)
Lo 1993 Taiwan	NR Attrition=6(10.2%)		Lost to fu: Fair NR pro=1(3.3%); pla=2(6.9%)		NR	Yes	Mean follow-up of 2 years and 4 months
Sheen 1989 Taiwan	NR	NR	NR	Fair	Prosperous Foundation	Yes	Mean follow-up of 12.4 months
Villeneuve 1986	NR	Attrition reported(None); others NR	None	Fair	Ayerst Laboratories	Yes	2 years

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 342 of 347

Evidence Table 10. Adverse events in head to head trials of beta blockers for hypertension

Trial	Interventions	Sample Size	Trial duration	Population Characteristics	Quality	Results
Foerster 1985	Atenolol (ate) 100 mg Pindolol SR (pin-SR) 20 mg	107	24 weeks	Mean age=41.4 65.4% male	Good Designed specifically for AE assessment Changes of >1 cm on VAS interpreted as AE	Data for weeks 13-24(% patients): n: ate=53; pin=54 Sleep disturbance: ate=18; pin=44(p=0.01) Dreams: ate=16; pin=15 Fatigue: ate=28; pin=22 Raynaud's phenomenon: ate=14; pin=26 Muscle cramps: ate=12; pin=20 Sexual disturbance: ate=14; pin=8 GI disturbances: ate=21; pin=20
Fogari 1999	Atenolol (ate) 100 mg Bisprolol (bis) 10 mg Celiprolol (cel) 400 mg Propranolol (pro) 160 mg	152	18 months	100% male Mean age=52	Fair	Overall AE incidence(# pts; %): pro=6/37(16.2%); ate=5/38(13.1%); bis=4/39(10.2%)
Lithell 1987	Atenolol (ate) 50 mg Bisoprolol (bis1) 5 mg Bisoprolol (bis2) 10 mg	292	6 months	59.9% male Mean age=52.6	Fair	Withdrawals due to adverse events (# patients/%): ate=2/97(2.1%); bis1=4/97(4.1%); bis2=4/98(4.1%)
Walle 1994	Metoprolol CR 100 mg Atenolol 100 mg	58	6 weeks	43.3% male Mean age=58	Fair	Overall AEs: no differences (data NR) Serious AEs: meto vs ate = 0 vs 2 (3.3%) (bradycardia and syncope; both leading to withdrawal)
Sundar 1991	atenolol: 100mg propranolol: 80mg	26	4 weeks	100% male Mean age=NR	Poor	ate vs pro (%) headache: 0 vs 0 weakness: 10.5 vs 10.7 warmth: 2.6 vs 0 oedema: 0 vs 0 dyspnoea: 5.3 vs 0 constipation: 0 vs 0

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 343 of 347

Evidence Table 10. Adverse events in head to head trials of beta blockers for hypertension

Trial	Interventions	Sample Size	Trial duration	Population Characteristics	Quality	Results
Steiner 1990	Propranolol 80-240mg (mean=133.4mg per day) Atenolol 50-100mg (mean=56.4mg per day)	pro: 73 ate: 78	4 weeks	100% male Mean age=NR	Fair	pro(%) vs ate(%), all NS Bradycardia: 4(4.5) vs 9(10) Gastrointestinal distress: 9(10.1) vs 7(7.8) Dry mouth: 5(5.6) vs 4(4.4) Anxiety: 7(7.9) vs 2(2.2) Sleep disturbance: 4(4.5) vs 6(6.7) Libido decreased/impotence: 8(9): 5(5.6) Weakness/fatigue: 15(16.9) vs 8(8.9) Headache: 12(13.5) vs 9(10) Total: 57(64) vs 50(55.6) Withdrawals due to adverse events: pro: 5(6.85); ate: 0(0)
Dahlof 1988	atenolol 50 mg metoprolol CR 100 mg	74	6 weeks	51(66%) male Mean age=54.4	Fair	Subjective symptoms- leg fatigue, constipation, diarrhoea, bradycardia, cold hands and feet, heavy breathing: NS Palpitation: meto> ate, p<0.05 Withdrawals due to adverse events: 2(2.6%)
Blumenthal 1988	atenolol 50-100mg propranolol: 40-80mg	26	2 weeks	100% male Mean age=42.5	Poor	sleep items: NS sexual functioning: NS energy: 4 (ate) and 4 (pro) reported being more tired in the morning, while 6 (pla) reported less fatigue.

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 344 of 347

Evidence Table 10. Adverse events in head to head trials of beta blockers for hypertension

		Sample	Trial	Population		
Trial	Interventions	Size	duration	Characteristics	Quality	Results
Buhler	Bisoprolol 10-20mg	104	8 weeks	82.7% male	Fair	Baseline:bis / baseline:ate (number), all NS
1986	Atenolol 50-100 mg			Mean age=53.8		headache- 20:7/ 19:9
						tiredness- 17:20/ 17:13
						Nervousness- 17:10/ 10:8
						Sleep problems- 18:11/ 15:10
						Cold extremities- 14:13/ 16:12
						Sweating- 12:9/ 11:11
						Tingling sensations- 12:6/ 9:5
						Feeling of weakness- 11:6/ 5:7
						Dizziness- 11:3/ 8:7
						Joint pain- 9:9/ 6:8
						Depressed mood- 12:11/ 9:5
						Sex problems- 5:7/ 6:4
						Withdrawals due to adverse events:
						bis (1): dizziness
						ate (5): diarrhea, skin rash, asthmatic bronchitis,
						vertigo, headache

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 345 of 347

Evidence Table 11. Safety of all head to head trials of beta blockers

Sample

Trial	Indication	size	Duration	p-value	Selec	tive beta	blocker	•			Non-s	electi	ve bet	a bloc	kers		
				-	ate	bis	met	bet	ace	cart	carv	lab	nad	pen	pin	pro	tim
OVERALL ADVERS	E EVENT INCIDENC	<u>CE</u>															
Fogari, 1999	Hypertension	152	18 mos	NS	13.1%	10.2%										16.2%	
Frishman, 1979	Angina	40	8 wks	<0.0001											17.4%	94.4%	
van der Does, 1999	Angina	368	3 mos	NS			30.0%				25.0%						
Narahara, 1990	Angina	112	10 wks	nr				50.0%								42%	
								37.0%								45%	
Poole-Wilson, 2003	Heart	3029	58 mos	NS			96.0%				94.0%						
COMET	Failure																
Tfelt-Hansen, 1984	Migraine	96	40 wks	NS												42.0%	46.0%
Worz, 1991	Migraine	78	12 wks	NS		29.5%	23.1%										
*Kangasniemi, 1984	Migraine	35	8 wks	NS			57.1%									68.6%	
							45.7%									48.6%	
*Olsson, 1984	Migraine	53	8 wks	NS			58.5%									58.5%	
							56.6%									58.5%	
Dahlof, 1988	Hypertension	74	6 wks	NS	NR		NR										
Walle, 1994	Hypertension	58	6 wks	NS	NR		NR										
Buhler, 1986	Hypertension	104	8 wks	NS	NR	NR											
Steiner, 1990	Hypertension	151	4 wks	NS	55.6%											64.0%	
BRADYCARDIA INC	IDENCE																
Metra, 2000	Heart	122	44 mos	NS			2.7%				4.0%						
	failure																
Dahlof, 1988	Hypertension	74	6 wks	NS	NR		NR										
Walle, 1994	Hypertension	58	6 wks	NR	3.3%		0.0%										
Poole-Wilson, 2003	Heart Failure	3029	58 mos	NS			9.0%				10.0%						
Steiner, 1990	Hypertension	151	4 wks	NS	10.0%											4.5%	
DIZZINESS INCIDEN	<u>ICE</u>					••••••											
van der Does, 1999	Angina	368	3 mos	NS			5.0%				4.8%						
Metra, 2000	Heart	122	44 mos	0.0046			1.3%				14.7%						
·	failure																
Stensrud, 1980	Migraine	28	6 wks	NS	0.0%											3.6%	
Tfelt-Hansen, 1984	Migraine	96	40 wks	NS												5.0%	6.0%
Worz, 1991	Migraine	78	12 wks	NS		10.2%	5.1%										
Buhler, 1986	Hypertension	104	8 wks	NS	2.9%	6.7%											
																	

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 346 of 347

Evidence Table 11. Safety of all head to head trials of beta blockers

Sample

		Cumpic															
Trial	Indication	size	Duration	p-value	Selective beta blockers				Non-selective beta blockers								
					ate	bis	met	bet	ace	cart	carv	lab	nad	pen	pin	pro	tim
HYPOTENSION INC	<u>IDENCE</u>																
Poole-Wilson, 2003	Heart failure	3029	58 mos	NS			11.0%				14.0%						
Metra, 2000	Heart failure	122	44 mos	NS			2.7%				2.7%						
WITHDRAWALS DU	E TO ADVERSE EVE	ENTS				••••••			1								•••••
Lithell, 1987	Hypertension	292	6 mos	NS	2.1%	4.1%											
Colombo, 1989	Bleeding esophageal varices	94	357 days	NS	12.5%											0.0%	
Katritsis, 2003	Atrial arrhythmias	90	12 mos	NS		6.4%					4.7%						
Tfelt-Hansen, 1984	Migraine	96	40 wks	NS												5.6%	10.1%
Waagstein, 2003	Heart failure	172	6 mos	NS			11.6%										
Worz, 1991	Migraine	78	12 wks	NS		10.20%	6.40%										
Dahlof, 1988	Hypertension	74	6 wks	NS	NR		NR										
Walle, 1994	Hypertension	58	6 wks	NR	3.3%		0.0%										
Buhler, 1986	Hypertension	104	8 wks	NS	0.9%	4.8%											
Steiner, 1990	Hypertension	151	4 wks	NS	0.0%											6.9%	
									•								

^{*}Values represent rates from first and second months of treatment, separately

Beta adrenergic blockers

Page 347 of 347