

School of MEDICINE

Ashley Hilbert, MCD, RD¹, Julie McGuire, MS, RD¹, Shannon Rentz, RD, CDE², David Elliott, MD^{3,4}, John Holland, MD^{3,4} ¹Graduate Programs in Human Nutrition ²Food & Nutrition Services, ³Department of Radiation Medicine, ⁴Knight Cancer Institute OHSU, Portland, OR

Specific Aims

- To implement dynamometer assessment of handgrip strength as part of the nutrition assessment for head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy
- To investigate the relationship between handgrip strength and weight loss before and during seven weeks of radiation therapy

Background

- Malnutrition is a common complication for head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy.
- The negative implications of malnutrition in this population include higher rates of morbidity and mortality, decreased quality of life, increased frequency and length of hospital admissions, as well as higher health care costs.
- Handgrip strength, a criterion for malnutrition, has gained the attention of numerous researchers due to its feasibility and prognostic relevance.
- Despite its recognized validity, minimal research has been conducted to establish normal reference values for handgrip strength during acute or chronic disease states.

Characteristics of Malnutrition



Impact of Weight Loss on Handgrip Strength in Head and Neck Cancer Patients Receiving Radiation Therapy

Methods



- Prospective study conducted through OHSU's Radiation Oncology Clinic.
- Eleven subjects diagnosed with head and neck cancer and prescribed seven weeks of radiation therapy.
- Hand grip strength, assessed by the Jamar[®] Plus Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer, and weight were recorded at the first and last week of radiation as well as the first follow-up visit.
- Each hand was measured three times and the average was calculated. Hand dominance was noted.
- Subjects were classified as malnourished if they met the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (AND/ASPEN) malnutrition criteria for weight loss and reduced grip strength. Reduced grip strength was defined as a grip strength two standard deviations (SD) below the normative standards provided with the dynamometer.
- Descriptive statistics were used to describe the subject population, changes in grip strength and changes in weight. Correlation between change in grip strength and change in weight was performed using Pearson's correlation.

In (n

Fo

treatment

(n = 2)

Results

escriptive (n = 11)			
	Mean	SD	
ge (yrs)	60.8	6.2	
eight (m)	1.77	0.12	
sual body weight (kg)	102.3	32.0	
itial treatment body weight (kg)	90.4	31.0	
ody mass index (kg/m²)	28.4	8.1	
ays since diagnosis	49	32.7	
	CACI Score	n (%)	
oderate comorbidity	1-5	9 (82)	
evere comorbidity	≥ 6	2 (18)	

ncer Localization	#	
lditory canal	1	
thesioneuroblastoma	1	
rynx	1	
isal	2	ř.
riform sinus	2	+
ngue	2	
nsil	2	Ē
The second second second		TIM

verage weight			
	Mean (kg)	SD (kg)	
sual body eight =11)	102.3	31.9	
itial treatment = 11)	90.4	31.0	
st treatment = 11)	83.8	27.8	
ollow-up = 11)	80.5	25.1	

	100	Paranasal)
	(De	sinuses	
	Nasopharynx	Nasal cavity	
Pharynx—	Oropharynx	Tongue	Oral cavity
	_Hypopharynx	Larynx	
	-	3	1
ge o	change ir	n weight	
		3.6	OD

	J	
	Mean (kg)	SD (kg)
Initial treatment – usual body weight (n = 11)	-11.9	14.0
Last treatment – initial treatment (n = 11)	-6.6	6.4
Follow-up – last treatment (n = 11)	-8.3	6.9

erage left hand	dgrip stre	ngth	Average right ha	ndgrip str	ength
	Mean (kg)	SD (kg)		Mean (kg)	SD (kg)
tial treatment = 11)	33.2	8.0	Initial treatment (n = 11)	35.3	5.6
st treatment = 11)	32.1	9.1	Last treatment (n = 11)	34.6	7.7
low-up = 2)	29.3	12.9	Follow-up (n = 2)	29.4	7.9
erage change i ength	n left han	dgrip	Average change i strength	n right ha	Indgrip
	Mean (kg)	SD (kg)	States (States	Mean (kg)	SD (kg)
t treatment - tial treatment = 11)	-1.0	3.8	Last treatment - initial treatment (n = 11)	-0.7	5.6
low-up - last	-2.1	0.2	Follow-up - last	-3.9	2.6

treatment

(n = 2)



Correlations between changes in weight and handgrip strength

	Fig 1. Rig
A REAL PROPERTY.	Change in weight (last-initial; kg)
	Kev

• On	
wit	
hai	
• Wh	
rel	
str	
• All	
we	
tre	

С	on
•	Use
	clin
	TATC

Change in handgrip strength and body weight from initial to last treatment



Findings

average handgrip strength decreased th weight over time for both left and right

nen the two variables were correlated the ationship between changes in handgrip ength and weight were not significant.

subjects lost a significant amount of eight between their last radiation eatment and follow up visit, with an average estimated loss of 0.1 kg/day

clusion

e of the dynamometer is feasible in the nical setting.

We find no significant correlation between handgrip strength and weight loss in head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy.

Further research is needed to establish standardized hand grip strength reference ranges.